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12:14 p.m.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, are we finally to hearings?
Okay. Oh, isn't there a preliminary matter, Ms.
Rose?
MS. ROSE: I'll call the case, and the parties can address the preliminary matter. It's the Appeal 19632 of AMT-Varnum LLC, pursuant to 11 DCMR, Subtitle Y, Section 302, from the decision made on August 23, 2017 of the Zoning Administrator, Department of Consumer Regulatory Affairs, to deny building permit $B-16119420$ to construct a new threestory flat in the RF-1 zone at premises 1523 Varnum St., N.W., Square 2698, Lot 86.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Could the parties please introduce themselves.

MR. TONDRO: Maximilian Tondro on behalf of DCRA and the Zoning Administrator.

MR. SULLIVAN: Marty Sullivan from Sullivan \& Barros, on behalf of the appellant.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, Mr. Sullivan. Does Mr. Tondro have something before us?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, we've come to an agreement that settles the issue in the appeal. And so based on that agreement, the appellant would like to formally withdraw the appeal.

We can draw up something in writing later today, but this just happened this morning, so we didn't have a chance to write something up. But I would like to make a request or a motion to withdraw at this time.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any comments to anybody? Okay, then I would be comfortable granting the motion to withdraw the appeal. And Ms. Rose, do I need to do anything else?

MS. ROSE: I think the withdrawal is enough.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, great. All right, well thank you, gentlemen. Ms. Rose, whenever you like. This one's not on me. This is, Mr. Hart was the leader on this, so.

MS. ROSE: We have Application No. 19572 of SIM Development, LLC. This is a limited continued hearing, pursuant to a 11 DCMR, Subtitle X, Chapter Ten, for variances from a nonconforming structure requirement of Subtitle C, Section 202, and the parking requirements of Subtitle C, Subsection 701.5, to add two stories containing 16 units to an existing two-story housing unit mixed use building in the A-4 zone at premises 1916 15th Street, SE, Square 5766, Lot 845.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you, Ms. Rose. We'll get a few minutes for folks to settle down, and. So why don't we go through some introductions. If you could introduce
yourselves and give me your address as well.
MR. JONES: Address and?
VICE CHAIR HART: Just your name and address.
MR. JONES: Phinis Jones, 1845 Woodmont Place, SE.
MR. BELLO: Toye Bello, 1917 Benning Road, NE.
Excuse my voice. I've got a cold.
MR. ANDRES: Erwin Andres with Gorove Slade Associates, 1140 Connecticut Ave., NW.

MR. CRUIKSHANK: Neil Cruikshank, architect, 1323
Fenwick Lane in Silver Spring, MD.
MS. AGYEI: Dorcas Agyei, 1926 15th Street, SE, a resident.

MS. FULLER: Greta Fuller, 1352 Maple View Place, SE.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you, and welcome. Good afternoon, everyone. So at the last public hearing that we had, we had a --

MS. FULLER: Pardon me, Mr. Hart, I'm sorry. She wasn't sworn in.

VICE CHAIR HART: Okay, actually, I'm glad that you brought it up. If there's anyone that is in the room that has not been sworn in, can you please rise. I know you may not be talking or testifying right now, but we do this at one point. So if you could stand up, the Secretary will give you an oath.

MS. ROSE: Raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that will be presented today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
(The witnesses are sworn in.)
VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you, Ms. Fuller. So at the last public hearing we had, we wanted to, we heard a lot of discussion about some of the community concerns, as well as what the applicant said that they had, were willing to do, I guess, for the community. But at this meeting, we're really focused on the transportation concerns.

I think we've, we're supposed to indicate that at the last meeting but we didn't, but now it looks like we're going to be talking about that. If we could focus on that. I think we were also looking for just some additional conversations that the applicant had had with the community because of the community concerns that had been raised at the last meeting. If you could give us, Mr. Bello or Mr. Jones, if you'd give us an update on that as well, that'd be helpful.

MR. BELLO: Well, I think on community issues, I'll yield to Mr. Jones to speak to that issue.

MR. JONES: Yes, the Board had asked us if we'd willing to go back and get with the community one additional time and go over what we had offered to the community,
discuss the height of the building and the facade of the building. And we've done that.

VICE CHAIR HART: And the, what was the results of that?

MR. JONES: The community want, again, asked us to change the height of the building. Had very little discussion on the facade of the building. And we had indicated that in the last meeting that we wanted to move forward with the height that we had proposed to the Board.

VICE CHAIR HART: And you say the facade was?
MR. JONES: Yes, we did agree to look at the facade and discuss, and would be willing to continue to discuss the facade of the building.

VICE CHAIR HART: And what is the, did you supply what, have you come to an agreement as to what that facade would look like, or we've seen what that is?

MR. JONES: We showed what was proposed and what we would be willing to do, and the architect would probably be, could speak to that perhaps, what that facade is.

VICE CHAIR HART: That's fine. We might as well just start going through that now, and then talk to Mr. Anderson about the transportation after this.

MR. CRUIKSHANK: So after discussing with the owner, we did propose to do a complete brick facade. Which, when we met with the Committee, they weren't interested in
having a brick facade, they were more interested in having a shorter addition, less stories added to the existing building. From my understanding, they only wanted a onestory addition, which is something that the owner doesn't want to have to entertain.

VICE CHAIR HART: And so did you say that the, you said that the facade was going to brick, is it?

MR. CRUIKSHANK: We proposed a brick facade, which, like I said, the community was not interested in.

VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. Okay, well, we'll hear from the community in a minute. Okay, so why don't we move into the transportation aspects of it, and we'll get back to the community discussion. But since we have you here for the second or third time --

MR. ANRES: Right, thank you, Vice Chair Hart. Good afternoon, Chairman Hill, members of the Board. My name again is Erwin Andres, and I'm principal and vice-president of Gorove Slade Associates. With respect to our coordination with the client, I'd like to put our involvement a little bit in context.

The client had coordinated with DDOT, and DDOT had issued a review letter that recommended approval on October 3, 2017. With respect to sort of the work that we did, our work was actually after that because of the fact DDOT didn't need a study. Our involvement was essentially to address
questions that were raised by the ANC.
The applicant brought me in because they wanted to be proactive, because they didn't, DDOT didn't request anything. But they wanted to make sure that the ANC issues were addressed relative to the parking assessment.

So consistent with many of the parking studies that we've done, actually, the studies that this Board has reviewed, we prepared a parking study that identified the number of spaces that are within a two to three block of the site; its level of utilization occupancy throughout the course of the day, from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; and identified, you know, whether or not the project would have any adverse parking impacts.

So we had submitted that to the ANC. We also submitted it to DDOT. DDOT subsequently in their December 6 --

VICE CHAIR HART: When did you submit it to the ANC?

MR. ANDRES: I believe it was November 7. There was an ANC meeting that $I$ believe was, that was handed out. But it was submitted I believe the day before. So --

PARTICIPANT: The day of, I believe.
MR. ANDRES: Okay. So in that case, in that instance, we also, you know, in our last hearing, I believe you had requested that DDOT take a look at the study that we
had done, which was not requested by DDOT. But you know, since it was done, you requested that DDOT opine on it.

So DDOT, in their December 6, 2017 review letter, essentially reviewed our study and again looked at the availability of parking in the neighborhood. And essentially confirmed all our findings.

So what our findings were, were that within a two to three block around the site, at any point in time, there was about 400 available spaces. So DDOT in their study, in their review of our study, looked at that and said, okay, you know, maybe some of these blocks are little bit further out, you know, why don't we reel it in.

So in their revised review letter dated December 6, they said, okay, you don't have 432 or 498 spaces, you have close to 290 spaces, 293 spaces. So in that instance, you know, obviously it overwhelmingly confirmed our findings that there is parking available in the neighborhood. DDOT's review condensed our site, our area, from two to three blocks to roughly one and a half to two blocks.

What's telling is that a Ketcham Elementary School is across the street from our site on 15th Street. And there are parking restrictions along the Ketcham Elementary side of 15th Street during the day between 8:00 and 4:00.

So there would be, you know, what I would assert is that after 4:00, when most people come home from work,
there is parking available that's practically empty for most of the day and most of the evening, because it can't be used during the day, and in the evening there's not a lot of demand that people parking on that side of the street.

So given that, we, you know, like I said, the parking relief that's being sought is three spaces. Our study that DDOT has confirmed in their review letter has identified that there's over 283 spaces, excuse me, 293 spaces available within one and a half to two blocks. As part of DDOT's review on October 3, they have identified four conditions that the applicant has agreed to implement in order to address the relief being sought.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yeah, and Mr. Andres, you said that there are these many parking spaces, and those are all available spaces, not that they, you have to--

MR. ANDRES: Yes, yes, yes. So when we did our first sweep that looked at two to three blocks out, in the neighborhood, if you were to count them regardless of whether they were occupied or not, there was anywhere between 860 and 920 spaces that are physically there. The reason why that number changes is because there are some spaces that are peak hour restricted, and so some of the spaces aren't available at certain times.

But it's also important to note that most of the blocks in and around the site, south of Good Hope Road is
actually unrestricted. Anybody can park there. If you have Maryland plates, you can park there all day with no real penalty. And that's consistent with the fact that there's a lot parking available.

As identified in our memo, there's, south of Good Hope Road, there's one, two, three, four, approximately five blocks that are unrestricted. Some of the restrictions that are available are actually north of Good Hope Road. So with that, I'm available for questions.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you very much. I don't know if any of the Board members have questions of the, about the transportation. Any questions with what was just presented?

MEMBER WHITE: My one question is I'm looking at the ANC letter, and they seem to be questioning the veracity of the findings. Do you have any comment with respect to that?

MR. ANDRES: We've, you know, we've attended a few of the ANC meetings that were identified. You know, some of the questions that they raised were, you know, what about a lot of the other pipeline developments, did you take that into account?

And the answer is no, because typically, those pipeline developments are required to meet the parking requirement like we are. And none of those developments are
before this ANC for anything parking related. So there's, you know, if those parking developments, you know, require relief like we do, they would have to go through the same process. So that's the first point.

Second point is some of the citizens that have raised sort of question about parking are concerned about parking essentially in and around where they live. And understandably, you know, they're, given the sort of the lack of density in this neighborhood, that they've been accustomed to parking maybe in front of their house or maybe in front of the house next to them. And they're concerned that maybe they might come home and somebody else might be parking in front of their house.

You know, as a District policy, we try and identify parking that's available within walking distance, at reasonable walking distance. So it's been our experience working throughout the District that there are some neighborhoods where we try new counts at 5:00, and you're really circling blocks and blocks and blocks looking for parking spaces.

In this instance, any time of day, especially as I've mentioned, after 4:00, the parking in front of Ketcham, which can easily fit probably 11 or 12 vehicles on their side of the street, is completely empty. And that's been consistent. You know, if anybody really wanted to question
the veracity of our report, all you got to do is drive by there when people would typically come home, between 5:00 and 7:00. There are many spaces that are available.

MEMBER WHITE: Thank you.
VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you. So I think we've already been, our process so far what we've gone through. We've had several meetings on this, so, and I guess I should get back to the concerns which -- excuse me, thank you very much, Mr. Andres, for the information. It's very helpful to hear that. And in seeing the DDOT supplemental report. Just, it's always helpful to hear that process, and providing some of that process was also very helpful as well.

Getting back to some of the concerns, Mr. Jones, from the community. You said they raised two issues, one about height, one about the facade. And I understand that those are not what the applicant is kind of here to request from us, that that's not the relief that they're looking for. But it doesn't seem like, it's seems a little bit to me that the community is just kind of against this application. And I'll listen to them in a minute.

MR. JONES: Sure. Yes, as you recall, the last time we were here, and I guess that was the third time, I suggested that the Board ought to vote on the case as it was before. Because I said exactly what you just said, the community was opposed to the project at its height. And I
didn't think that was going to change by going back.
One of the Board members asked if we'd be willing to go back and walk through it one more time, and we agreed to do that, and we did that. But it was very little discussion about anything other than knowing the height of the building in the last meeting.

VICE CHAIR HART: And you said that your architect, Mr. Cruikshank, said that possibly making the building brick was something that presented to the neighbors, community, and that the community did not -- it's not that they didn't want it. That's not something that they were looking for, because the issues that they had were not about materiality, it was more about the height and the actual building asset.

MR. JONES: Absolutely. Now yours, Mr. Bello.
MR. BELLO: Yes, sir, I think perhaps the architect's in that position to respond to your question, because there's a basis for what they proposed for finish facade was, as proposed. But in response to community concerns about the proximity of the historic district, we did offer that we were willing to change the facade to all brick.

But again, the desire of the community seems to be either the adaptive use of the existing building or no more than one-story addition. But I'll let the architect explain to you the reason for the choice of the sizes.

VICE CHAIR HART: I don't think I need to hear that at this moment, unless one of the other Board members wants to hear it.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I have some questions which may not be in line with where you were going. First of all, let me apologize. I was the Board member who asked you all to get back together, and obviously it went further downhill and wouldn't work. Let me just ask Mr. Bello this, or maybe the architect. What height is it now?

MR. BELLO: Well, the main building height is at 50 feet, which is the height permitted under the MU-4.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So that's the height now?
MR. BELLO: That is the height --
MEMBER WHITE: No, that's not the current height now.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Let me do this. Just go on, what's the existing height, what is it right now?

MR. BELLO: The existing height right now is about 20, 27 feet, there around.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, what height can you go to under the regulations?

MR. BELLO: We can go to 50 feet for the main building, and we can go to 15 feet for the penthouse.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. So what height are you all proposing?

MR. BELLO: So we're proposing 50 feet for the main building and ten feet for the penthouse.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, I thought it was 49, did I miss something? So 50. Well, a foot around here makes a lot of difference.

MR. BELLO: There about.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, all right, that's all I need to know. Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you. Excuse me. As I said, I'm also battling a cold, so. Right now, I know that we've kind of been through a back and forth with you all testifying.

We've heard from the Office of Planning previously, and since members of the community are here and one of the commissioners is here as well, from the ANC, if you could provide us with some comments about the process since our last hearing.

MS. FULLER: Thank you very much. My name is Greta Fuller, I'm here representing ANC 8A. I am the commissioner for ANC 8A-06, which is the single member district but, where this project will take place. It is not my single member district, but it abuts that.

To first start off, I'd just really like to clear up some things that were said from what the testimony that was given today here from the architect, the traffic study
personnel, and the community outreach. And one was about the facade.

I specifically remember at our ANC meeting before we took our vote, we asked the group that's representing STAMS if they would consider working with the community on the materials and the facade of the project if we did not agree on a special exception for the parking and variance from a nonconforming structure.

And they said no outright, that they would not work with us on the facade or the materials, that they would move forward. But if we did vote in favor of those, they would continue to work with us. That's one.

The other thing -- and you can also see it in our letter, that where we said we are disappointed, that the applicant's dismissal of the residents' concerns on this project. That does not show a willingness on their part to work with the community in good faith to develop a project that residents can be proud of and that we could live with for years. So that was untrue.

The next thing by the architect that wasn't true that he says that once again we weren't interested in the facade. I am a member of Historic Anacostia Preservation Society, where one alley and one street separates this building, which is outside of the historic district. No way, nowhere, no how would I ever say I would not work with a
facade of a building, of the look and feel of any building that abuts the historic district. So that, too, is untrue. The other thing is about the parking study. The ANC commissioners received the parking study on November 7 at our ANC monthly meeting only minutes before we took the vote. So even though they may have sent this study to the Chair the day before, we did not receive it until the day of the meeting.

Because Mr. Jones brought hard copies to the meeting and presented it to the commissioners at that time in case, $I$ guess he may have thought ahead maybe we didn't receive it. But even one day before to receive this type of study, for commissioners is not enough time, when we all have jobs and other activities that we do in our everyday life.

As far as no demand is expressed for parking, that is untrue. As the ANC commissioner, almost every month we have new requests from residents for RPP parking because of this sort of situation, and because of all the new development that's coming online.

So while there may not be restrictive parking at this time, the residents are now seeing that construction that's coming into the neighborhood, they're seeking these private parkings. And if you go to DDOT, you will see that we have all sorts of new applications in for restricted parking.

It is also important to note that the unrestricted parking right now is actually used sometimes for overflow for the school Ketcham, which is right across the street, and for visitors and parents who come to see about their children in different programs that's going on. And that's what $I$ want to say about that part.

And I'm going to try to keep moving along and be really quick, as quick as possible. But there are just three main points that $I$ want to make. One, one is from the Office of Planning. I just want to know if they did their due diligence. We have a Frederick Douglass House that sits at 15th and W Street, which is only two blocks from this site.

Did they do their due diligence to make sure that the view shed from the Frederick Douglass would not be interrupted by the size and height of this building? We still don't know if this building is taller than Ketcham, or how high this building is to Ketcham.

What I'm hearing that it's 60 feet, but no one has actually given us the actual height of Ketcham to tell us how tall this building is going to be in comparison to Ketcham Elementary, which is a historic building which was built in 1909 and part of the historic district. We would like to understand if this building, if you allow it as it is proposed today, would it interfere with the view shed of Frederick Douglass House, one.

The DDOT study, the new buildings coming online. Yes, there's, we have the MLK Gateway, we have new buildings at Good Hope Road on the 1300 block, we have new houses at 15th Street, at 15th and W Street. We have houses on U Street, on V, which are all within one to two blocks that no one's talking about, and that DDOT didn't even address in this study.

Also, DDOT did not address that they're also taking away our circulator bus that drives in front of this building, also pulling back public transportation. Which means that more people may be parking on the street. It's because of the circulator is being moved away. No one in this thing from DDOT talked about the safety and the traffic study according to the children that attend Ketcham Elementary. They did not address the school, they did not address safety for the children, they did not address a lot of things.

And when I look at the documents from DDOT, I'm perplexed, and why almost every sentence that I've seen says, After extensive review of the case materials submitted by the applicant. What about DDOT? Why are we taking all this information from the applicant? Is DDOT going out, actually doing their due diligence? And then that was the original letter.

On the second one is part of the application. The
applicant, once again, gives us a traffic study. Did DDOT do a traffic study? And as far as the TDM, it's almost laughable. To identify a staff member to be a coordinator. How do we know that this is going to continue as long as this building is online?

It may start in the beginning, but we all know, when buildings come online, people go away, people change, new people are in office, etc. There is nowhere in here where they're providing us for any relief for our parking at all.

So that's basically what $I$ have to say about that. And then in conclusion, the community wants development. We have been seeking development. And this is a blighted property that has been across the street from Ketcham for many years and as long as I've lived in the community. So what I would like to say is that the community welcomes the development.

But we have some solutions. We're not trying to shut this down. The building is already a two-story building. It has a ground floor, and it has a second floor, and it has a basement. So to me, that's three floors. They have nine existing units. They want to make this building a 25 unit building with retail on the ground floor.

They can -- we, the community have decided we will forgo the retail and take the building down by a story. Take
the second, the fourth floor that's proposed and make that the ground floor. The existing first floor, and you could put eight units there. On the second floor, you could put eight units. Your basement, you could put eight units. That, eight times three gives you 24 units.

You may not get your twenty-fifth, but you got 24 units. And if you don't, if you just take the building as it is today and work with what you have, you don't have to be in here with nonconforming structures and with special exceptions.

It seems like if this project goes ahead as proposed, the community is getting nothing. As a matter of fact, we're losing. We're losing on a building that's already nonconforming that's going to be even more nonconforming with two additional stories on it and a penthouse.

So there is a solution and it can be done. Basement, eight apartments; first floor, eight apartments, no retail; second floor, eight apartments, no retail. It can be done without making this a four, actually five-story building with a penthouse.

And if you must, if you must have a penthouse, we suggest that it sits back 30 feet from either side, 15th Street, Good Hope Road, so that it can't be visual from the street. But we are not on Board with this four-story
building and a penthouse that could be taller than Ketcham Elementary. Thank you for your time.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you very much. Are there any questions for the ANC commissioner?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Ms. Fuller, you mentioned that your last statement about the penthouse, are you saying push it back away from 15th Street as well as Good Hope Road? So that would push it back towards, what's that, the alley?

MS. FULLER: You're correct.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: And let me just say this about the reports. I know you said you had a flashback. It took me back to when I first started down here with reports, when I learned how reports were done. DDOT, I'm not defending any of them, they don't do their own reports. What they do is take and validate whether the information provided -- they don't write a separate report. And if that's changed, I'm sure that Ms. Vitale will tell me or somebody will tell me something different.

What they do is take the information from, that's provided by Mr. Andres and others and they can see whether it's valid or not, whether it meets the code book or whatever they call the transportation code book. And whether or not if they do a comparison analysis. And that's how they get to their conclusions. Mr. Andres, if I'm stating that incorrectly.

MR. ANDRES: No, you're right, you're correct.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: The reason $I$ know that is those were my questions early on when it's a different report. But what they do is just sort of look at the validity of the reports that are provided. But if they're not, then they will have an issue or they will make a correction. So there is some checks and balances, $I$ wanted to assure you of that. You look like you don't believe me, but I wanted to assure you.

MS. FULLER: No, it's not true that $I$ don't believe you. I just believe that they didn't do their due diligence. Because they did not look at, they're taking away the circulator bus that runs on that road. And also didn't look at all of the projects that's going online. They did not put that into the record and look at those as viable things.

And some of these projects have already built. Some of them have already been rehabbed and they're there. And nobody's talking about that.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: All right, thank you.
VICE CHAIR HART: I think you have -- actually, I have a question for the Office of Planning. I think Sharon may also have one additional.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Fuller, I'm over here.
MS. FULLER: Oh, okay.

CHAIRMAN HILL: The, I was just curious about a couple things. I mean, you mentioned, and we're going to get back to some of this $I$ suppose, but that the, you would be interested in working with the developer in terms of the facade and the materials that would be used. And I understand in terms of their discussion they might be saying, you know, we want you to agree with us before we agree to talk to you.

But was there, was the all-brick something that they were interested, or do you know, or is that just you couldn't necessarily tell me what their thoughts, what people's thoughts were in terms of the facade.

MS. FULLER: Well, people were interested in the facade being within the character or carrying over from the historic district. Not that it should be in line the historic district or mimic, but that it should be a natural flow. So that the materials that are being used, that it's not such a big jolt when you get to this new building and the addition that they're adding. That it also complements the kind of art decor type building that it is today.

CHAIRMAN HILL: And does brick resolve, I'm just curious, does brick work out for that?

MS. FULLER: Yes, brick can work out for that. But it's how that brick is placed, is it blemished by, how the windows are situated. You know, there are lots of things
that go into it, not just brick.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. FULLER: But it's how it's put together and what it looks like, the end product.

CHAIRMAN HILL: And then, and I'm always just curious as to who the outlier is on the commissions and stuff, and so like there's a vote that was in favor of the application, correct?

MS. FULLER: No, there was a vote that was not in favor, four of the, there was one abstention and four commissioners voted --

CHAIRMAN HILL: I got you, somebody just didn't vote.

MS. FULLER: Yeah, yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Do you know why they didn't vote?

MS. FULLER: It was the chair. He didn't vote, and honestly I'm not quite sure.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, just curious. Okay, thank you.

MR. JONES: May I address the facade as well as the community benefit package? Because that came up in all of our years we've been here. We agreed, and I was the one who answered the questions, by the way. The community benefit package is, as been pointed out by Board members, is
unusual for a variance. It is normally done when you do a planned unit development. We agreed to a community benefit package.

The question was asked of me just before the ANC voted this last time to oppose this project, if they opposed the project, would we still do the community benefit package, would the developer do it. And I said yes to everything we agreed to, no matter how they voted. If they voted to oppose the package, we would do the community benefit package.

And I was thinking about my answer. The whole purpose of the community benefit package was to get the ANC to support the project. Even though I'm saying yes, we would do it, the next question was will you still do the brick facade. And I said, they've already indicated they're going to oppose it. And I'm thinking why would the developer spend additional money with the community benefit package and the facade without --

VICE CHAIR HART: They could if we imposed it as a condition.

MR. JONES: After --
VICE CHAIR HART: So it has nothing to do with them. They're the ones that are --

MR. JONES: And you still can.
VICE CHAIR HART: So I'm just saying that that's why the developer --

MR. JONES: I understand that. If you let me finish, I think I can get to your point.

VICE CHAIR HART: Sure, sure.
MR. JONES: Thank you. I said no to the community benefit package. And they asked about the brick facade, as been pointed out here, and I said no. I -- the developer still wanted to do the brick facade, you don't have to impose it. Listen, $I$ live closer than most of the ANC commissioners to this project. I live four blocks away. All the commissioners that's testifying live further from this project than I do, where I bought my home.

I absolutely want this development done. I pass it every day. It was there for decades undeveloped. As a community person who lives in the community, four blocks from this project, $I$ want it developed. I want it to look nice. I have spoken with the developer, they still wanted to do the brick facade. We're still going to do the community benefit package that we agreed to. You don't have to impose it, we are willing to do it. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you. I did have a question for the Office of Planning. The ANC commissioner, Commissioner Fuller, made $a$, or she testified that she was wondering whether or not the Office of Planning had looked at the view shed, where the building is located with respect to the Frederick Douglass House. And I was wondering if
you'd actually done any case work view shed analysis.
MS. VITALE: Good morning, Elise Vitale with the Office of Planning. The Office of Planning evaluated the application submitted for zoning relief. The property is not located in a historic district and did not require review by a Historic Preservation Review Board or HPO staff.

We don't have any information from the applicant as it relates to view sheds from the Frederick Douglass House as it would relate to this proposed development. So that was not part of our analysis.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you, I just wanted to know where you were with it. Let me stop -- sorry.

MS. AGYEI: May I say something about that?
VICE CHAIR HART: Actually, I'm -- this is, I was asking her where they had gotten it. She was responding to the question that $I$ had raised on it. Someone just asked to say something, $I$ don't know who that was.

MR. BELLO: Actually, I did.
VICE CHAIR HART: Okay.
MR. BELLO: I was going to explain the issue, but if you don't want to entertain it --

PARTICIPANT: It was that lady right there.
MR. BELLO: I was just going to say there's a significant topographical change between where this property is and where the Frederick Douglass Home is of at least 50
feet. So it's not in the view line at all.
VICE CHAIR HART: But there wasn't any information that was provided that shows that you're just saying that that's what the -- I'm just saying.

MR BELLO: I'm providing my observation of the surrounding area.

VICE CHAIR HART: Okay.
MR. BELLO: And anybody who knows where the Frederick Douglass Home is knows that it's quite elevated. VICE CHAIR HART: That's fine, I appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Fuller had a comment.
VICE CHAIR HART: Ms. Fuller.
MS. FULLER: Yes, I'd like to say every project that's come to this community that builds a building of that height has always looked at the Frederick Douglass House. And it doesn't matter that it's 50 feet below. We have several PUDs and several projects, like from the MLK Gateway to the PUD at Reunion Square, to Columbian Quarters that are well below 50 feet that still look at the view shed.

And if the Office of Planning overlooked this, they should maybe go back and think about looking at this view shed just to make sure. It doesn't take much to look at this and make sure. But everybody's always looked at the view shed. And just because he says it's well below doesn't
mean that it's so.
And also, to state Mr. Jones, he does live in our community. But he lives in a semi-gated community where everybody has a garage, a driveway, and it's off-street parking.

VICE CHAIR HART: Well, I mean, I appreciate that. I'm not sure if that's necessarily relevant to --

MS. FULLER: Yeah, well he shouldn't bring it up.
VICE CHAIR HART: I understand.
MS. FULLER: Well, what is relevant is the Frederick Douglass House.

VICE CHAIR HART: Excuse me, excuse me, you've made the point. Thank you very much.

MS. FULLER: Okay, thank you.
VICE CHAIR HART: So right now we have heard from the applicant, we've asked a question of the Office of Planning, we've heard from the ANC. We actually have not gone through the, if anyone is -- oh. One of the Board members has a question, so we're going to have Chairman Hill.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thanks, this is for the Office of Planning. Ms. Vitale, I know, so again, just to be clear. I read the record, $I$ watched the video, and so $I$ missed it the first hearing, I was here for the second one. And I asked Ms. Vitale in the second one to go over again your analysis of your reporting.

And just want you to do it for me again, if you wouldn't mind, sort of just going over your report, in terms of what the actual request is and what we're evaluating, and how you got to the decision that you got to.

Because for me, I guess, I continue to go through with this kind of, the nonconformity and where the lot occupancy already is, and then versus kind of like what is trying to be done by right and how -- if you just kind of walk me through a little bit of that, if you wouldn't mind.

MS. VITALE: Certainly, Mr. Chair. Again, Elisa Vitale with the Office of Planning. The request by the applicant was for relief for an addition to a nonconforming structure. That's Subtitle C, Section 202. In this instance, in the MU-4 zone, 75\% lot occupancy is permitted.

The existing two-story structure that, it's a mixed use zone, we have a mixed use structure on the property with ground floor commercial and residential. That building is at $91 \%$ today. So for the applicant to do any addition to that building would require relief, because it's an existing nonconforming structure. So that's that first request.

The applicant is proposing additional stories within the maximum permitted height. That addition $I$ believe would be at approximately 67\% lot occupancy if looked at on a floor by floor basis. So that would be below that $75 \%$ maximum. So OP is recommending approval of that. This is
a mixed use zone that contemplates, you know, 50 feet in height.

The applicant is proposing an addition that is within the FAR maximums, the height maximums. So we felt that the relief for the addition to the nonconforming structure was appropriate, given that the lot occupancy proposed for those additional floors was below the permitted maximum in the zone.

The second piece of relief that was requested was special exception relief from the parking requirements. They would be required to provide three spaces. The applicant is proposing to not provide any onsite parking. That would be relief under Subtitle C, Section 701.5. Again, given the existing structure on the property that's at 91\% lot occupancy, the applicant is constrained in terms of available area on the property to provide parking.

There are a number of criteria when you look at the evaluation for the parking relief request. Those have to do with the, you know, the ability of the residents or visitors to the site to access mass transit, shared parking, bike facilities. The property is about one mile from the Anacostia Metro station. There's also Metrobus service on Good Hope Road and Minnesota Avenue, so we felt that that piece was met. The applicant will provide bike parking onsite.

Another component to look at is just kind of general neighborhood characteristics in terms of access to transit and other, you know, ability to get goods and services. The property has a walk score of 84 and a transit score of 70. So again, those are indicative of a property that's well served by transit in an area where folks can bike or walk or take bus to get goods and services.

Let's see, I believe the majority of the other criteria weren't applicable to this property and to the relief that the applicant was requesting. You know, it does look at the presence of on-street parking. We have heard and DDOT evaluated that in its report, and there does appear to be, you know, available on-street parking within the area.

And again, $I$ guess the final component of that evaluation would be the provision of a transportation demand management plan that is reviewed and approved by DDOT. And as you can see in the record, the applicant did propose a TDM plan and DDOT did review and approve that and finds it sufficient.

So that's a brief summary, perhaps not that brief of the OP analysis.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, so just for my clarity again, the three parking spots, in terms of relief, they would have to obviously tear down some portion of the building in order to provide the three spots, correct?

MS. VITALE: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And then the, they're within the height that's allowed, and they're within, it's 67\% for the other floors rather than the 70\%. So they're in there. And then the only question that I had, when FAR is not coming into this, they're within the FAR.

MS. VITALE: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Okay, thank you.
MEMBER WHITE: And just verifying, is this
definitely not a historic district?
MS. VITALE: It is not.
MEMBER WHITE: Okay.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Vice Chairman, a point of clarification. What are we doing? Are we going back through hearing process, or looking at the scope? Help me get my bearings here.

VICE CHAIR HART: No, no, no, I appreciate. The issue was there was some, $I$ wasn't planning on doing it, but it seems like we are having to go through that. And I apologize for my little astray in that. But we did hear from the applicant about the two issues regarding the transportation analysis, the DDOT report, and conversations, and I guess a meeting that happened with the community after our last public hearing.

And really those were the kind of things that we
were really looking for that we had actually requested. So I think we've kind of hit all of those pieces. I do note that I didn't know you if you had any, I was making sure that the Board members didn't have any further questions. And some of this has gone a little longer because we've had a little, kind of some followup questions about things to get more clarity around that. So I do appreciate the time that you all have taken to think through all of this.

I was actually making sure that $I$ had actually hit all of the pieces that, all of the, procedurally had gone through all of the process that $I$ needed to. It's a little bit disjunctive because we've had this over several, over several meetings, excuse me, several hearings. I'm realizing that more that as I talk, the drier my throat gets. So I apologize for the coughing, as I submitted earlier.

So right now, I think we have heard from everyone.
MS. AGYEI: No, I have --
VICE CHAIR HART: Everyone that we had asked for information from. I will provide you an opportunity to speak. And we can do that right now. I'll give you a few minutes to be able to make some additional points that you would like to make.

MS. AGYEI: If I may.
VICE CHAIR HART: You need to actually --
MS. AGYEI: If I may, and I have two exhibits that

I would like to give to you all. They are existing exhibits that are already in the record, but $I$ just kind of modified them a little bit, and I've brought copies.

VICE CHAIR HART: They are?
MS. AGYEI: They are basically looking at the residents --

VICE CHAIR HART: Which exhibits are we talking about?

MS. AGYEI: Sure. In the file is exhibit -VICE CHAIR HART: Hold on, if you could just provide all of the information to the Secretary.

MS. AGYEI: In the file is Exhibit 7, which is the list of names and addresses of property owners within 200 feet. And that's basically a listing of the names, as well as kind of a diagram of the streets in question. And $I$ think that that diagram, as well as the names in the petitions, can kind of tie in together what the traffic study that I've already kind of, already submitted into the record.

So I've already submitted my kind of version of what the residents see for parking, which are Exhibits 89 A-1 and 89 A-2. So $I$ think that we can tie kind of all of those together with the diagram that's in Exhibit 7, which you all have.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm sorry, I'm trying to understand. Is this the list, this is the list in blue of
the police officers, correct?
MS. AGYEI: Correct.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: And you're just showing us --
MS. AGYEI: So I have shown you the boxes of those people or folk that have signed the petition against the parking special exception. And then there's also a diagram that has the dots here.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Now let me ask you about the petition.

MS. AGYEI: Sure.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: The one that we had, is that three additional names?

MS. AGYEI: Yes, that's a continuation of the petition that was started of folks that were against the parking exception.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So that's not a new person.
MS. AGYEI: No.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Because I was going to say --
MS. AGYEI: No, no, no. No, no. The continuation and because of weather constraints, you know, we were able to go to where we were going to.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, all right.
VICE CHAIR HART: Good? Okay, thank you.
MS. AGYEI: So what I would just kind of like to bring to the Zoning Board's attention is the blue dot is
where most of us that have spoken to you live, which is on 15th Street. The red dots outline basically the traffic study saying that they can park, we should be able to park three blocks out from where the blue dot is. So it's those kind of more than just convenience, it's also a safety concern.

We don't live in the best neighborhood. Actually while we've been here at $11: 10$, there are three people that were shot, actually four people that were shot, one that's in critical condition. Which is actually right where this red dot is, if you look at square lot 5765, and then the square, the lot number 879.

So it's more so if we have to park three blocks away from our home and to leave our cars there, we don't know what's going on with our cars. So it's the safety of our property, as well as our safety walking home, if we have to park further away from where our homes are in the neighborhood that we live in currently with the conditions there are now.

And then also, with what I've already entered into the exhibits, I've pretty much shown U Street, which borders 15th and $U$, which you all can see from the diagram, those parking spaces are pretty much full from U Street, the 1500 block, up to the 1600 block. And I've submitted a PowerPoint showing, you know, I've taken pictures at various times. And
you can literally see how far the down the car spots are.
And the same is with that new team. So pretty much it's one thing to see the traffic study, and it's another thing to actually see the visual representation of what the community members see all the time. And we've had several community residents have sent you all letters of their concerns about the parking on U Street that you all hopefully have had a chance to review.

And our concern with them increasing the existing height of the building is that not only from a structural standpoint of how it's going to look, it's going to over, in my opinion, it's going to overshadow the neighborhood. But you're increasing the density of people on that space where there's really not, that street is basically only two blocks. 1916 is the lot, and then our block, which consists of four residencies and six residency, four homes.

So it's a very small block that you're going to put all these extra heads on, which could potentially put these 75 cars just for the residents. Because there's no restriction for them having a car there. And then also the people that can potentially visit them. So those are our concerns.

VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. Do you have a question?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, I have it actually for Mr. Bello. Mr. Bello, in terms of the three parking spots, how
would they provide the three parking spots? They would have to tear down a portion of the building?

MR. BELLO: Yes, Mr. Chair, a portion of the building would have to be demolished. And ironically, if the property owner were forced to do that, the likelihood is that the density will be transferred to the upper floors, making for a much larger mass of a building, which is contrary to the interest of the neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, well so anyway, I'm just trying to visualize how the density would necessarily change. You mean it would still be the same height. You might be a little, you'd take up a little bit area on -- well anyway. So you would, so the developer has looked at tearing down some of the building to provide the three spots. I'm just talking this through.

MR. BELLO: Well, that's a possibility. It's cost-prohibitive, which is why we're here. But yes, it is an option that can be looked at.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Because I don't know, and just for the community, I'm trying to figure out, you know, what three spots is actually going to do for you. That's what I'm just trying to think through. Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair.

MEMBER WHITE: I have a question. Where would the parking actually be if, let's say, the requirement were that
you have to provide three spaces? Would it be on the alley side here by those red cars?

MR. BELLO: It would have to be on the alley side. Because there's a little slip of court in the alley. So if there was going to be a partial demolition of the building, that would be the most practical area to do something.

MEMBER WHITE: Underground is not a possibility?
MR. BELLO: Underground is not only cost prohibitive, but it'll be nearly impossible to engineer to have enough driveway slope to get cars in and out of there.

MEMBER WHITE: Okay. Thank you.
VICE CHAIR HART: Any other questions? We've already gone through your testimony. Do --

MS. AGYEI: I just have one --
VICE CHAIR HART: And it's, do you have another question that you're asking us?

MS. AGYEI: No, I don't have, it's not a question.
VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. Do the Board members have any other questions? No? Okay.

So I'm trying to kind of figure out where we should go from here. My inclination is to, I don't know, maybe think about this for a week. But I don't know if I, I don't know what the other Board members are. And partially it is to kind of understand some of the testimony that's been given today and just understand and kind of where $I$ think
about this.
And I should have said that I wanted to close the record.

PARTICIPANT: They'll be back here next week.
VICE CHAIR HART: Oh, yeah, this is true. I
should have actually --
CHAIRMAN HILL: Before you close the record I got a question.

VICE CHAIR HART: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, sorry, before you close the record, I just got one question again for Mr. Bello and Mr. Jones.

So again, we can't put this as a condition because it doesn't necessarily apply to the zoning. But $I$ know that you all will come back here again. So you're testifying that you're going to, if we were to move forward with this, you would continue to offer the community benefits package that you were offering, as well as a brick facade to the building.

MR. JONES: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: So I will like to, I am closing the record now. And as $I$ just noted a few minutes ago or a minute ago, that $I$ think $I$ need a little bit of time. I want to hear from the other Board members, just to think about this. I know that Commissioner Hood is going to be back next
week anyway. So one more thing for you. But if you feel like strongly that you, you know, want to have a discussion today, maybe we can do that too. But I --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Vice Chair, I'm comfortable waiting next week if you wanted to meet. Like I said, Mr. Hood's going to be here, but I'll let the other members speak for themselves as well.

MEMBER WHITE: It's fine, it's fine with me as well. I mean, I guess my question is you just want to kind of look at the full record and look at what the parties are willing to do on both sides and make a ruling.

VICE CHAIR HART: That's correct.
MEMBER WHITE: Okay.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yeah, I'll be fine. I can come back next week. Keep messing around, maybe. But anyway, I would ask though, that you look at the view shed. Even though it's outside of the, and I don't think, I don't know if that's going to extend the hearings. I don't want to extend. I think we've exhausted, I've heard enough to be able to move forward, but $I$ do want to digest this as this Vice Chair has mentioned.

The only thing I think that's outstanding, and I would like to have some resolve to that, I would ask Ms. Vitale if they could, if we could look at the view shed. If that's not on a active, be commented on. You know, I don't
want to put anything out there that's going to have to be commented on and we have to come back and have another hearing.

Even though I know it's outside of the historic district, $I$ live, personally, $I$ don't think it's a big deal. But I do agree with Commissioner Fuller, when we get the Nationals' Stadium, was the view shed to the Capitol. So if we can look at, make sure all the view sheds to the Capitol Street, we can make sure of the view sheds to Frederick's home, the Douglass home is the same.

So if we could look at that, I'd greatly appreciate it. Other than that, after that, Mr. Chairman, I would be ready to deliberate and decide this case.

VICE CHAIR HART: And I'm trying to understand if we're looking at the view shed issue, is that something that you're asking the applicant to provide, or are you looking for the Office of Planning to provide it? I'm not sure what --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm just asking the Office of Planning to take a look for us. If that's going to create additional hearings, then don't worry about it. Because I know what my personal view is, but $I$ just wanted to have something for record since it was brought up by the Commissioner.

VICE CHAIR HART: And so would you want a
supplemental report from the Office of Planning?
ZC CHAIR HOOD: If they give us a sound bite, a half-page, just say that they looked at the view shed and there's no effect.

VICE CHAIR HART: And now I'm trying to understand if we would need the whole, the week issue. Because they would have to be submitting that into the record. We would have to hold the record open for that, and I don't know if we would then need to have $a$, the ANC response issue that --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Who's going to respond?
VICE CHAIR HART: I don't, maybe the ANC or the applicant. Which are both --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, you know, since this is, I'm going, since this is not an historic district, I just wanted to look. I'm going to withdraw my request.

VICE CHAIR HART: I don't want --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: No, I want to withdraw. Because it seems like this would complicate things, and I don't want to do that.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Now I'm just curious is if does -now I'm sorry, now I'm just going to ask. Ms. Nagelhout, does that mean that if we were to ask for something, then does that start that seven-day circle?

MS. NAGELHOUT: Well, I'm looking at the reg now. The OP is not a party, so and if you didn't ask for
responses, I'm not sure if that would or not.
VICE CHAIR HART: I appreciate it. I just wanted to know, I just wanted to understand. Well, let me ask the Office of Planning. Since you've now been hearing this, would you be able to provide us something before the meeting next week? And I'm trying to think of what that day is, so I don't know exactly. Maybe it's Monday?

MS. VITALE: I can certainly -- I'm not
comfortable answering that on the spot since it would require coordination with other folks that aren't here to kind of speak to work load and availability.

MS. NAGELHOUT: The regulation does say the Board shall allow all parties an opportunity to file written responses to any exhibit, so.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Let me go back to withdrawing my. VICE CHAIR HART: That's fine.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: No, I'll tell you why I'm
withdrawing, seriously. I was trying to take care of this. But it's not in the historic district. I think the record and the merits of this case stand for themselves, and I don't want to put it something that we normally don't do that's not in our process.

VICE CHAIR HART: That's fine. So it looks like we will have this, the public meeting for this decision date would be next week. And I'm assuming it's the 24 th.

MEMBER WHITE: January 24.
VICE CHAIR HART: And I think that's, that would be it. I appreciate the comment from the fellow Board members, as well as the applicant and the Commissioner. And Ms. --

MS. AGYEI: Agyei.
VICE CHAIR HART: Agyei, I'm sorry.
MS. AGYEI: No worries.
VICE CHAIR HART: For coming in. It was very helpful to hear that, and you gave us something to chew on. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, we're going to take a quick three-minute break, five-minute break.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 1:22 p.m. and resumed at 1:51 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, everybody, let's get started again. Thank you.

MS. ROSE: You mean Shapiro?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
MS. ROSE: Next is Application Number 19661 of Seth and Megan Shapiro. Pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for special exceptions under Subtitle E Section 5201 from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E Subsection 504.1, and from the nonconforming structure requirements of Subtitle C Subsection 202.2, to construct a two-story rear
covered porch on an existing flat in the RF-3 Zone at premises 506 Second Street Southeast (Square 765, Lot 59).

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you, Ms. Rose. Before we start, I'm just curious, is District Properties here? The case for -- okay, great. Then, just we might be hearing you next, so just wait a second. All right. If you could please introduce yourself?

MS. FOWLER: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Fowler, I'm the architect on this project. I reside at 1819 D Street Southeast.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, Ms. Fowler. You've been here before and it's been a long day already and you're like the cleanest thing all day today, okay? I'm just letting you know, all right. So, congratulations. Congratulations that you had to wait all this time to get here.

So, if you can go ahead and tell us a little bit about the project. I don't have any specific questions, I think the record's pretty full. But please do tell us about the project. Please tell us again how you're meeting the standard for us to grant the relief requested.

I'm going to put ten minutes on the clock, Ms.
Rose, if you wouldn't mind, just so I know where we are. And then, you can begin whenever you like.

MS. FOWLER: So, this is an existing row house in Capitol Hill, the RF-3 Zone. Currently, it has a rear two-
story covered porch that's in disrepair and approximately six feet deep. And it matches the adjacent porches of the neighbors at 504 and 508.

The proposal is to demolish this porch and rebuild it on a slightly larger footprint. So, we're hoping to expand an additional four feet beyond the existing rear wall of the porch, the rear structure. So, the resulting porch would be a ten-foot space, which is going to be four feet out beyond the adjacent neighbors.

The rear of the porch will be open and screened. The sides will have solid walls for privacy, with some screens at the tops of the walls, so that it's not completely closed off. The whole will be a roofed and kind of screened in structure.

We do have support from neighbors. We have a
letter of support from 504 Second Street, 508 Second Street, as well as the neighbor at 215 E, just immediately behind this property. They face the north.

We also have support from the CHRS, who's -- they looked at the project and are fine with it. As well, the Historic Preservation Staff has agreed to approve it at staff level.

So, overall, it's been well received and we haven't heard any issues about light and air. It is a very modest extension beyond the existing structure.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any questions for the Applicant? Okay, good. Turning to the Office of Planning.

MS. MYERS: Hello, Crystal Myers for the Office of Planning. The Office of Planning is recommending approval and stands on the record with the staff report.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Does the Board have any questions for the Office of Planning? Okay. Does the Applicant have any questions for the Office of Planning?

MS. FOWLER: No, thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Is there anyone here from ANC wishing to testify? Is there anyone here wishing to testing in support of the application? Is there anyone here wishing to testify in opposition of the application? I'm going to turn it back to the Applicant, anything else you'd like to add?

MS. FOWLER: I do want to add that the ANC did support the proposal as well, $I$ neglected to mention that before.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, great, thank you. Board have any questions? No? Okay. All right. I'm going to go ahead and close the hearing. Is the Board ready to deliberate? Okay. As I mentioned earlier, I thought that the record is very full and I thought that the Office of Planning provided a very good analysis as to how this meets the criteria for
us to grant the relief requested.
As well as, the Applicant had mentioned the letters in support. Also, the Capitol Hill Restoration Society, as well as the ANC, having unanimous support. So, I didn't have any questions or issues with the application. Does the Board have anything else they would like to add?

MEMBER WHITE: I concur with what you said, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. Then, I'll make a motion to approve the Application Number 19661, as captioned and read by the Secretary, and ask for a second. MEMBER WHITE: Second.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Motion made and seconded. All those in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All those opposed? The motion passes, Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Staff will record the vote as four to zero to one, with the motion by Mr. Hill, seconded by Ms. White, with Mr. Hart and Mr. Hood in support of the motion to approve the application, one Board seat vacant.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you. Summary order, Ms. Rose?

MS. ROSE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you. Thank you.

MS. FOWLER: Thank you.
MS. ROSE: Next, the Board will consider 19665 of District Properties.Com, Inc. As amended, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for a special exception under the new residential development provisions of Subtitle U Subsection 421.1, and pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 10, for a variance from the side yard requirements of Subtitle F Subsection 306.3, to construct six new one-family dwellings in the RA-1 Zone at premises 18-28 Brandywine Street Southeast (Square 6170, Lots 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, and $63)$.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, great. Thank you. Can you please introduce yourself?

MR. SECK: Yes, Saidiwa Oumar Seck.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Could you spell your last name for me?

MR. SECK: S-E-C-K.
CHAIRMAN HILL: SECK, okay. Mr. Seck, were you sworn in this morning?

MR. SECK: No.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. If you would stand and take the oath administered by the Secretary to my left. And if there's anybody else that missed the oath, they can stand and take it, please.
(Witness sworn.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, Mr. Seck. We brought you up here real quickly, because, as $I$ was at lunch, I learned a little bit more about what was going on with you and I don't know if we're necessarily going to be able to hear this today.

So, there are a variety of things, 1 guess, in terms of posting and whether you were properly posted. You also seem to be -- there's some issues with -- I'm a little confused as to what you're trying to do.

In terms of, you have one lot that's requiring variance relief and the other lots do not. So, can you tell me about the posting?

MR. SECK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The posting, I know there is a confusion there, but $I$ do -- we uploaded yesterday that we posted it on December 28 versus what one of my colleagues in the office uploaded previously that it was posted on January 11, which is not the case.

And this shows an email that was sent out by my project manager when he went to the site and posted the signs and took the pictures and emailed it that same day, at 12:46 p.m. So, the posting was proper and it shows two pictures with the address.

CHAIRMAN HILL: So, but you -- did you give referrals to the people that you needed to give referrals to? MR. SECK: Referrals, as far as --

CHAIRMAN HILL: The different agencies that you need --

MEMBER WHITE: That was the Office of Zoning.
MS. MYERS: Yes, I can -- I came up, just so I could address this on the record. I'm Allison Myers with the Office of Zoning.

So, for this kind of application, the Office of Zoning, beyond the typical referrals to the Office of Planning and to DDOT, were required by the criteria of the special exception to send further referrals to agencies such as OSSE and Department of Parks and Recreation.

And on Friday the 12th is when we realized we needed to send those referrals. And so, those were sent on Friday the 12th, after the original referrals were sent by our office on, I believe, December 1.

CHAIRMAN HILL: So, we haven't heard back from those referrals, though?

MS. MYERS: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. MYERS: That would be -- those were late sent referrals by our office. That wasn't the Applicant's responsibility.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, we need to hear back from them before we can move forward?

MS. MYERS: The Board, as far as I understand it,
the Board is not required to receive a report from those agencies. We are merely required to send a referral. And in some cases, they would not respond, but the Board can determine what would be an appropriate amount of time.

We did reach out directly to those agencies to follow-up on the situation, because it was sort of a different situation than a typical referral.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Well, I think the Board would still like to hear back from them. So, then, there's an issue, Mr. Seck, I guess you're trying to hold variance -I'm confused as to what you're trying to do.

MR. SECK: Yes, Mr. Chair. We are proposing to build six row houses, from the corner of First Street to the alley on Brandywine Street. So, there's a special exception for the fact that they're single-family houses there, which would bring in this new construction of six attached homes.

And we did have the ANC support letter that was uploaded, I've been to their meeting twice and with the executive Board meeting once. So, I attended three times, with the Commissioners, and they approved it without a problem.

And the variance would be for the house abutting the alley, which according to the RA-1, it requires an eightfoot setback, which is a ruling that was issued by the Office of Planning.

And Zoning has raised concern on that, based on the burden that is being put on builders in general, to have, when you're building a house and there is not, a row house, and there's not an existing house that you can attach to and you're building it on a property line, Office of Planning had introduced this setback ruling. Which I believe is in the works to be revoked.

And we asking for that variance to be able to build right on the property line, as normal townhouse. Otherwise, it would be impossible, because we have a 20 feet wide lot on Lot 58 . Lot 58 is 20 feet by 100, similar to the rest of them. And that's --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Seck, I'm sorry, just trying to get through this whole thing about your motion. So, you're trying -- you made a motion to waive the notice requirements for the variance relief.

MR. SECK: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, can you explain what you --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, in your Exhibit 39, you have a motion to waive the notice requirements. Form 153. Sorry, Form 150. In order to add the variance relief.

MR. SECK: Okay. I do apologize, Mr. Chairman, the Exhibit 39, I do not have.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Twenty-nine.
MR. SECK: Oh, 29?

CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, sorry, 39.
MR. SECK: Thirty-nine? I do not have with me and not very familiar with that motion, honestly, so $I$ wouldn't want to speculate on something I'm not sure about. Because I have, and I do know this sounds --

VICE CHAIR HART: Mr. Seck, it looks like someone by the name of Mohammad Sikder submitted a form and this is what the form says. That the Board waive its notice requirements in order to add a variance relief. So, that form was sent by -- was submitted for the record by Mr. Sikder on adding the variance relief that you just spoke of for this project.

And because it was added, it was sent on the 11th of January, which is fairly recent, they wanted to waive the requirement to notice to the public that you are making this addition to your relief request.

So, it is something that we were just trying to understand what you were talking about and making sure that was what that comported with this.

CHAIRMAN HILL: So, typically, when -- so, again, when variance relief is added, a case is renoticed by republishing the notice in the Register and resending letters to neighbors within 200 feet at least 40 days before the hearing.

In some cases, $I$ guess, and I'm just looking at
some information, the Board instead ask the Applicant to merely post revised notice of the property for 15 days and meet with the ANC about the revised relief. So, when you met with the ANC, did they understand that you were asking for variance relief?

MR. SECK: Yes, I did explain that to them, that there was a variance that we were going to ask for for the last lot. And -- but the special exception was the main reason that we were there for. That $I$ was there for.

VICE CHAIR HART: I think what the -- the main
issue for us was really around trying to get the -- there were several District agencies that should have gotten notice prior to when they actually got notice, and we're waiting back to get that information.

That was last month, if I heard correctly, that the information was sent to them. And I just think it was just not enough time to get their comments back.

And so, we were trying to move this particular hearing to when we -- to a time after we would have thought we had gotten any comment back from them, some sort of report back from those agencies.

We ultimately may not get those comments, but we do want to provide enough time to be able, for them to be able to respond.

And as Ms. Myers said a little earlier, the Office
of Zoning staff person said earlier, the application was submitted in November, early November, and the request or the project was not referred to those agencies until December. Is that what $I$-- was $I$ off on the dates?

MS. MYERS: The original referrals to Office of Planning and DDOT were sent in December. But the further referrals to OSSE and to the Department of Parks and Recreation were sent this past Friday.

VICE CHAIR HART: Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you said December, you said January.

MS. MYERS: If I said December, I'll correct the record, it was January.

VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. So, we've only had -those agencies have not had an opportunity to be able to actually have responded since they've really just gotten the request last week.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: So, they just need a little more time.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Vice Chair, thank you so much for your help. The -- what $I$ would suggest is, go ahead and post the revised notice for your property for the variance. And we'll allow 15 days for that. The ANC, you're saying, already did hear about the variance?

MR. SECK: I did mention it when $I$ presented the
case, that there is a variance that we're going to be needing for that last lot. But the main focus with that, the special exception.

And what I would like to say, Mr. Chair, real quickly, is that we did get a letter, an email from Ms. Myers on January 9, after the submittal, if I'm correct, on November 2. And we replied immediately and that was regarding, if I'm correct, the self-certification that we sent.

So, I think Ms. Myers explained it clearly, it's not our fault in this way. But if you would consider, Mr. Chair, the special exception today and approve on that and the variance, we can put up five of our houses, we can wait on the variance.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, I'm sorry, I don't think we'll be able to do that. I mean, it's just -- there's like, we have plans for the way the project is now. And so, and I'm looking at your report from the ANC and the ANC doesn't mention anything about a variance, it only talks about the special exception.

So, I would go ahead and send you back to the ANC to get something from them that approves what you're asking us for, which is the special exception and the variance. I would go ahead and repost for 15 days about the variance.

And then, that will give us a little bit of time
also to get back any information we might have gotten from -I mean, even if there was a delay that wasn't your fault, right, the variance is not something that was posted or is something that the ANC responded to. I mean, you say you talked to them about it, but it's not in their report.

MR. SECK: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And so, I need something that talks about the special exception, talks about the variance. Go ahead and repost for 15 days at the property about the variance, okay?

And then, we'll get you back here as quickly as we can, giving enough time for people to get back from OSSE and DPR. So, that being all said, Ms. Rose, when would be -or, Ms. Myers, when do you think might be enough time to get Mr. Seck back here?

MS. ROSE: February $14 ?$
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, February 14?
MR. SECK: That will work.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. Is that good?
All right. All right, so we'll see you February 14. And if you have any questions, I'd go ahead and reach out to Ms. Myers. Okay, all right.

MR. SECK: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: You're welcome.
MS. ROSE: Next we have Application Number 19635
of HJB Properties, LLC. As amended, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for a special exception from the residential building conversion requirements of Subtitle $U$ Subsection 320.2 , to construct a rear addition to an existing one-family dwelling and convert it to a three-unit apartment house in the RF-1 Zone at premises 1121 Morse Street Northeast (Square 4070, Lot 138). There's a party status request in this application.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Let's start with everyone introducing themselves, if they could, from my right to left over here. If you could just please introduce yourselves and state your address, home address.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Commissioner Yvonne Buggs, 1113 Montello Avenue Northeast, Washington, D.C.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner Clarence Lee, 1519 Trinidad Avenue Northeast. I'm the Chairman of 5D.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner, what was your last name again, I'm sorry? What was your last name?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner Lee.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Lee, thank you.
MS. WARD: Doretta Ward, 1141 Morse Street Northeast.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Wait a second. Go ahead.
MR. SULLIVAN: Marty Sullivan from Sullivan and Barros, on behalf of the Applicant.

MR. WILSON: Alex Wilson from Sullivan and Barros, on behalf of the Applicant.

MR. JACKSON: Brandon Jackson, I'm the Applicant and property owner.

MR. MARKUS: Rich Markus, I'm the architect.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Just real quick, so, Ms. Ward, are you the party status requested individual? MS. WARD: The party -- I'm sorry, I'm not following.

CHAIRMAN HILL: That's all right, that's okay.
Somebody had asked for -- somebody had requested for party status and it looked like it was a David --

MS. WARD: Hale?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
MS. WARD: No, he was not able to make it.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, then, David Hale is not here. So, then, David Hale was asking for party status. Okay. And so, you all are here representing the ANC? COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HILL: And --
MS. WARD: And residents.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And you're a resident? Okay. So, you guys are here in either support or opposition, okay. So, we'll get to you guys, it's later in the hearing, just to let you know.

So, I'm going to ask you to step away from the table, then. I thought you all were the party status people, I'm sorry. Now, you pointed that there were other people as well?

MS. WARD: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: So, the other people that are here are also members of the community?

MS. WARD: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, all right. Then, we'll get to that portion of the hearing as well.

MS. WARD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: There was a gentleman who had requested party status and that's who I thought you all were with. And so, that's why $I$ was trying to get to that part. So, all right. So, now, Mr. Sullivan, I assume you're going to be presenting to us?

MR. SULLIVAN: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, then, as I understand it, if the party status individual has not shown, then that -- I thought that would be automatically dismissed, but the person is not here, so $I$ will deny a party status of the person who is not here.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I think a motion would be safe. I'll second that motion.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I will make a motion to deny
party status for David Hale, due to him not being here. ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'll second that motion. CHAIRMAN HILL: The motion is made and seconded. All those in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All those opposed. All right.
Okay. All right. So, Mr. Sullivan, I'm going to go ahead and give you time, because it doesn't look like anything's going to be easy today. So, you can go ahead and take, I'm going to put -- well, apparently my clock doesn't work either. So, I'm going to put 15 minutes on the clock for you.

If you can please walk us through what you're trying to do, what your Applicant's trying to do, and again, how you're meeting the criteria for us to grant the requested relief? And, obviously, there are a number of people here in opposition.

There was a number of letters in opposition. And so, you might want to try to address some of those issues that are going to come up later as well. Or we can address those when we get to them.

Does the Board have any other specifics they might like to hear from the Applicant? No? Okay. Then, Mr. Sullivan, you can start whenever you like.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I thought I
would give a bit of a big picture and then, we'll move on to the architect and I can specifically go over the special exception requirements. On Mr. Hale, he is a supporter now, so $I$ assume that's why he's not here.

And I wasn't even aware he had filed the party status application, but he, to my knowledge is the adjacent neighbor on what I'll call the short side, the side with the shorter house. And he is now in support of the application.

So, the big picture, the subject building is adjacent on one side to a couple of buildings, which were converted to three units under the old regulations, before 2015. And these conversions included additions that were 40 feet in height and 53 feet back.

So, they were a full-scale, full-size matter of renovations under the old regulations. This application originally sought to match that length and height of that adjacent building. And it also sought to alter the front of the building, similar to those two buildings as well.

The Applicant, since then, hired this firm and changed architects. And based on discussions with the other adjacent neighbor and with the Office of Planning, revised the application with two significant changes. One was to the front of the building.

There is now no material alterations to the front and the third story addition is going to be set back almost

32 feet from the front of the building, so that it's not really visible from the front. Which was an important concern of both Mr. Hale and the Office of Planning.

The other change is reducing the proposed expansion in the back by 20 feet, so that it is now more in line with the angle of the adjacent house that's got a 53foot addition and the shorter house, Mr. Hale's. So, I won't get into the shadow studies.

We believe it was these two changes that it caused the Office of Planning and the adjacent neighbors to now support this project. With that, I'll turn it over to the architect to explain the project in more detail.

MR. MARKUS: Thank you. I just wanted to reiterate a couple of things that Marty just said. So, the original submission was a full 60 percent lot occupancy and it also went to 40 feet in height.

This application, after talking with -- getting comments from the OP and neighbors' comments, is responding to those. And it's now 35 feet in height and it's a shorter building.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Just for clarification, Mr. Hale's house is the house there to the right on the diagram?

MR. MARKUS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, thank you.
MR. MARKUS: 119, 1119, sorry. So, one major
difference, too, in this project is that the front is being maintained, the front of the house. So, we still are putting on a third story, but that's pushed back far enough so you're not going to see it directly across the street.

But now, we're keeping -- the original submission actually was removing the front porch, too, which is a defining element along the street. But that's being retained now.

And then, the front material and the front massing and the mansard roof is all being kept. And so, that piece is being maintained and maintaining the character on the street. And then, the third story is set back, so the massing on that street is maintained.

And then, we are still going past the neighbor's addition, more than the ten feet, but previously it was 54 feet back and now, it's 33 feet.

And what we based the footprint on is that each -there's three units and each unit is now just about the same size as the existing living area that's in the house now on two stories. So, that's why it is the size that it is.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Can you say that again? Can you -I didn't understand what you're saying.

MR. MARKUS: So, the existing house, on the living area on two stories, the square footage is about 1,300 square feet. So, each unit now, there's three units, one on each
floor, basement, first floor, second floor, each unit is about the same square footage. So, they're nice two-bedroom plus den units.

And then, the top floor actually has additional square footage on the penthouse, and that's actually -- I mean, the third story. That's actually a three-bedroom unit. That's a rough description, $I$ can -- or a quick description. I can answer your questions.

CHAIRMAN HILL: No, go ahead, I was just -- I just wanted to understand what you were saying. Thank you.

MR. MARKUS: Okay. That was it. I can answer your questions.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you, Rich. And thank you, Mr. Chair. I will just briefly go over the special exception requirements.

The general requirement under Subtitle $X$ 901.2, the granting of special exception in this case will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning regulations and zoning maps and will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property, in accordance with the zoning regulations and zoning maps.

We think that the changes made from the original application, while of course this application isn't viewed just in relation to that, were aggressive in relation to other such applications like this.

For instance, the 32 -foot setback is significant and it completely preserves the view of this building from the front street. And one of the things that drove the size of the addition in the back was trying to provide the size that would allow families to move in.

So, that's why it's two bedrooms and a den in the smaller ones and three bedrooms and a den in the larger unit, all while still stepping back significantly from the very large building to the east of this property, and in doing so, securing the support of what we think is the only potentially affected neighbor on the short side.

The specific requirements of $U$ 320.2, the building is now less than 35 feet in height. There is not a fourth dwelling, it's just three dwellings.

This was an existing residential building at the file, although this building has been vacant for some time due to being in need of repair. The -- we meet the minimum 900 square foot of land area, of course. The property has 2,795 square feet, which allows three units, with your approval.

And then, we're asking for a waiver, of course, from the ten-foot rule. The addition has shown to not be blocking any chimneys or vents or interfering with solar panels. And the original rooftop architectural elements are not going to be altered.

There's some minor changes in the front, which the Office of Planning has found do not rise to a level of needing specific mention as a waiver from that requirement, so we're not changing the rooftop architectural elements.

Regarding the waiver from the ten-foot rule, we have shadow studies, which we can go -- that are on the PowerPoint, which show very limited impact on the sunlight to the neighboring property to the west.

And, of course, no impact on the property to the east, which is 40 feet high and a 53-foot addition. And any shadow that's there now is almost entirely caused by that property to the east.

So, I have nothing further, if the Board has any questions. Oh, I'm sorry, you wanted me to address the comments from the community.

I think this community, and understandably they want to protect the single-family feel of the community and I think they maybe take issue with the fact that this relief is available. Although, of course, this relief was -- this was a matter-of-right case a couple years ago.

So, but that's, $I$ think that's what many of the concerns are about. They did mention parking, but we do have two parking spaces in the back. And I don't think any of the -- most of the comments don't really relate to the specific special exception requirements or to the impact of this
particular building.
And I think it's important that the one property that is potentially impacted is now in support. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any questions for the Applicant?

VICE CHAIR HART: Just one, Mr. Chairman. The -and I know that you didn't write the ANC report, but they did say that they opposed the application -- oh, I'm sorry, this is Exhibit 36. So, it is the ANC report and I'll ask them when they come up.

But it says that they oppose the application for variance/special exception. Did you tell them at some point that this was going to be a variance, or was this always a special exception? $O r$ are they just kind of covering their basis as they are describing this?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, it was always a special exception. However, we were asking -- original application asked for relief from the $35-$ foot in height, which is also a special exception.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Chairman, the gentleman who pulled back his party status request, what was his address? Was it 1119? What was his address, was it $1119 ?$

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, 1119.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. And other side is 1123, correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Do we have anything from them? I didn't see it, maybe I missed it. $1123 ?$

MR. SULLIVAN: No, we don't have anything from them. I think that's a three unit condominium.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: 1123?
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIR HART: And did you actually -- did you
reach out to them or they -- did they just not respond to reaching out to them?

MR. JACKSON: I reached out to them, it was hard to get in touch with people from there. It's a building, so you can't really go knock at their front door. But we were mostly concerned with the impact on the neighbor at 1119, because this doesn't affect 1123 at all, because it's much larger than what we have planned. So, we're already in their shadow.

VICE CHAIR HART: I understand, I just --
MR. JACKSON: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- it was more just trying to understand how you reached out to them and it sounds like they were just not around.

MR. JACKSON: Yes. Didn't respond to the door, been ringing the bell.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: And Mr. Sullivan, you mentioned about, what did you say, a couple of years ago, this would have been a matter-of-right. Why did that change?

MR. SULLIVAN: That's a loaded question. It was changed --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I already know the answer.
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, the regulations were changed, I think, to --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I don't usually ask a question if I don't already know the answer.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm just seeing how you all respond.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, in my opinion, 1 think the regulations were changed to give this Board a chance to review specific applications that were -- that would be -first of all, $I$ think that the most important thing from that was protecting the front view.

I think people, when they had a neighborhood with a certain type and style and size of house, they didn't want to see the addition going up right in the middle. And I think, in that respect, this application hits the target on
that by having such a large setback.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Right. Let me help you, most of it was changed because we had no regulations in place, it was out of control, and to help protect the character of neighborhoods. That's why that was done. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you, Chairman Hood. I'm going to turn to the Office of Planning.

MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Members of the Board. My name is Matt Jesick. We reviewed this application against the criteria of Subtitle U Section 320.2.

And the original application, the original design, we did recommend denial of that design. But upon the revisions provided by the Applicant, we're now recommending approval and feel that the application meets the criteria of that section. Thank you and I'd be happy to take any question.

MEMBER WHITE: Yes, I have one question. What were some of the changes? If you could just kind of highlight what the modifications were to get them in your camp, to support the application.

MR. JESICK: Sure. I think the biggest one is preserving the front facade. Whereas, before, they were proposing to completely change the facade, I guess you could
say, to more closely align with the existing convergence to the east. Now, they're preserving the front facade. We felt that was very important.

They're setting the third floor back, I believe it was about 30 or 32 feet, from the front. So, that would not be visible. And also, the height of that third floor has come down from 40 feet to about 35 feet.

And then, at the rear, that third floor also steps in a little bit from the first and second floors. So, we felt that was small, but important.

And then, the overall depth of the addition was reduced quite a bit, from 54 feet to about 32 or 33 feet. So, the result of that is, not only is it just smaller, but it causes a much smaller net impact in terms of shadow or impacts to light to the adjacent property to the west.

MEMBER WHITE: All right. I guess, with those modifications that were made, I'd be interested, once the ANC comes up, just to kind of get a sense of whether or not that changed their opinion of the project as well. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR HART: And, Mr. Chairman, if I might ask to the Office of Planning, Mr. Jesick, did you also look at the -- did the length of the -- the size of the property also impact your view of this?

I mean, it was -- it's about 50 feet left for the rear yard after the addition, if we approve the addition.

But there's like 50 feet left from that. Did that play any role in that?

MR. JESICK: I'd say, not a significant role. We were looking more at the impacts of the, just the depth of the addition, how that would relate to -- or what impacts that would cause to adjacent properties. And then, our larger concern was at the front of the facade or the front of the house as well and what was happening with that facade.

VICE CHAIR HART: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: I'm sorry to make you do this, but could you walk me through again your analysis, Mr. Jesick? I mean, again, since there are people here that are going to be presenting information, I'd like to kind of, if you could, just walk through your analysis a little bit more in detail.

And then, what I am also kind of curious about is, the -- and this comes before us quite often, in terms of what is unduly affected.

And I assume that there was -- I don't know what the Applicant previously submitted, or at least I can't recall if it was in there, in terms of, they might have been trying to match that property all that way, taking it out, and the Office of Planning was not in approval of that.

And I'm a little curious as to why they -- or what your analysis as to why you would have thought that they could go as far back as the neighboring property. So, the
unduly affected question is one that I'm asking, I guess, a little bit, in terms of the property to, $I$ guess, the one that the neighbor pulled his opposition to it.

But also, if you could, just walk me through your analysis as to how you got to approving this.

MR. JESICK: Sure. Well, again, we just went through Section 320.2 in Subtitle $U$ and it gives a number of criteria. So, in that sense, it's very straightforward, you just go through the criteria one-by-one. The height, they're meeting the height, they're not going above 35 feet.

They're not providing more than three dwelling units, so they're not subject to inclusionary zoning. It also is a lot that is large enough to accommodate three units, meaning there is more than 900 square feet per unit being proposed.

The Criteria E says, an addition shall not extend further than ten feet past an adjacent property. They are going beyond ten feet, but you can apply for a waiver from that provision, which this Applicant has done. And that analysis is further down the list and we'll get to that.

You can't block a chimney, they're not doing that. You can't impede a solar panel system, they're not doing that. You have to maintain rooftop architectural elements at the front of the property, they are doing that.

And then, we look at more what might be considered
standard special exception criteria: light and air available to neighbors, privacy, and then, character of the street. In terms of light and air, they reduced, like $I$ said, the delta, in terms of the shadow that's being caused on the adjacent property to the west by pulling that addition back. So, it's not as big as those ones that are already causing significant shadow in that area.

Privacy, there are no windows on the adjacent wall, so they won't be looking over the neighbor's yard at all.

And then, character of the street, again, they are maintaining that front facade. So, we felt that was very important to maintaining the look of the street along Morse. And those are the significant criteria.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, thank you. Does the Board have any other questions for the Office of Planning?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes. I just have a quick question. Do you recall that we were supposed to be changing, and I don't want to put it on this Applicant, that we were supposed to be changing some of the regulations so that when the BZA hears these cases, that the materials would be more definite and that we would see more of what materials would be used.

Do you recall us talking about that? And if so, what happened to it? Well, that's probably more of a question for Ms. Steingasser, but I'm just asking you since
you're here.
MR. JESICK: I recall on a previous case I handled, Mr. Hood, that you thought it was very important that we called out the materials on a -- this was a separate case altogether, where we talked about the materials and how that was important.

Again, in that case, we were looking at the front of the building and what that looks like and they were changing some materials. In this case, since they're keeping the materials the same, we didn't feel it was necessary to call out specific conditions on that part of the design.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Well, let me ask you this, is that rule in place? I think we did that -- and I asked for that, actually, during $Z R$ 16. Is that in place? I don't see it. If not, we need to put that on our list.

MR. JESICK: I don't know of that rule being in place, so we can --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: If you could help me remember to ask Ms. Steingasser to put it on the list and I'll do it the next $Z C$ meeting?

MR. JESICK: Definitely.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Anything we can do to help you, Mr. Hood, to move yourself along there, we're happy to take up our time here.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Applicant have any questions for the Office of Planning?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, now, would the ANC like to come forward? Well, Commissioners, welcome. I'm sorry you had to wait around so long. If I could, if there was a way I could figure out how the Commissioner stuff could go first, that would be great. But $I$ hope it was at least somewhat entertaining. Let's see.

So, normally, the Commissioners get five minutes each. I don't have a clock, so I'll just kind of let you know. And, please, go ahead and start whenever you like.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Good afternoon, Chairman and Members of the Board. First of all, I'd like to note that we were kind of -- they were coming from left field when they said that Mr. Hale is now supporting their project, because Mr. Hale was, these first two signatures, he and his wife were the first two signatures on the petition.

And they also the ones that applied for party status. I realize that they're not here today, but they did not let us know that they were going to support this project. Other members of the neighborhood absolutely opposes the project still.

When we were at the last Commission meeting, which
was on January 9, we actually were warned that if we did not support, that they were going to go by a matter-of-right to do what they can do. So, we were kind of like -- we asked were we being threatened.

They told us, he told us no, but we felt like that. And Mr. Hale, at that time, still was opposing. So, we're pretty much not -- the Commission voted five-zero-one to oppose this project.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Thank you. We may have some questions for you all. So, Commissioner, would you like to give some more testimony?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. So, I agree and I'm glad to hear what Board Member Hood said about running rabid with these monstrosities in our neighborhood. So, I'm glad that the BZA took that on to put these regulations in effect, because that's what we're really trying to do.

We're not only trying to maintain the character of the front of the homes, but we would like to maintain the character and the density in the neighborhood. This is -we don't have a lot of blocks with large lots like this in the Trinidad area and it's one of three streets to have these large lots that this can go on.

We opposed the same thing in Commissioner Buggs'
area, same type of building, it was separate from the house where someone wanted to build a three-story addition on the
garage. So, this is why we're here, we're trying to maintain the character and keep the density down.

We have a parking problem. A lot of us that are opposed have been here for more than 25 years, when we could park in front of our own doors at any time. And as we -- we welcome the change, we welcome the new neighbors, because this has made our neighborhood safer.

So, we do like that these empty homes are being renovated and coming in. But just the fact that we'd like to maintain the character of the single-family home. We weren't aware of the other two buildings that ran amok, because we would have been opposed to that, because we all stood in awe in the alley as they went up and wondered how this happened. But there was some regulations.

I myself renovated my house some 16 years ago and I was told $I$ had the ten-foot rule. So, I'm not against the ten-foot rule to renovate, because $I$ want each individual to -- we're not opposed to a family renovating their home, we're just opposed to developers coming in and making our singlefamily homes into multi-family units.

We have enough multi-family units in the area. So, we're just opposed to that. So, we did vote five-zeroone, with one abstention to not have the -- not support the variance request, which is -- they needed ANC approval. So, we did not vote to approve that.

So, we just want your support and follow-up in what our recommendation is, not to approve the application. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you, Commissioner. Just so you know, they're applying for a special exception, not a variance. It's a special exception request. But it still is the same project.

The -- were you around when -- what Chairman Hood was speaking of is when the Zoning Commission changed the regulations so that you couldn't do matter-of-right, the property that's to -- the other larger property is already there. So, were you a Commissioner at that time?

COMMISSIONER LEE: No, I was not.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Okay. So, I'm just curious, because that was how the Zoning Commission was addressing this situation that was going on and brought it to the level of a special exception, to be able to ask for certain things. Which is what the Applicant is asking for.

And the criteria that we need to do to evaluate whether or not to approve that special exception. A special exception -- the reason why I'm mentioning, the special exception is an easier test than a variance test in terms of approval. Does the Board have questions for the Commissioners?

VICE CHAIR HART: Just one quick one.

Commissioners, thank you very much for coming down and spending the time with us. And I'm not sure which Commissioner, either one of you can answer, but $I$ have the question to Mr. Sullivan earlier and $I$ know that this not a letter that he submitted, this is the letter that the ANC submitted.

But in the letter, the first sentences says that ANC 5D resolves to approve the application for variance/special exception.

And you were -- were you under the assumption that -- were you thinking that it was a variance or a special exception or were you thinking that it was -- or do you have this kind of as a letter that you put out to include both the variance and the special exception?

I'm only asking because they're only looking for a special exception, which as the Chairman just said, is -there are things that are in the zoning regulations that can be allowed if the BZA gives them permission to do that.

Variances are things that are not allowed under the zoning regulations, that an Applicant would have to come and prove that there's some extraordinary reason that we should be giving them that relief. So, if you could just --

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: So, Chairman Hart, when the letter was written, we were under the assumption that it was kind of like one and the same, variance/special exception.

I guess, my ignorance didn't think that it was one or the other, it was both.

VICE CHAIR HART: No, it's -- don't feel bad, zoning is not an easy thing for most people to just pick up and, oh, let me read 1,000 pages of fairly dense text.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Exactly.
VICE CHAIR HART: But -- so, don't feel that way, I was just asking just to more get clarity around, sometimes when folks send things, they kind of say, well, we always kind of say this whenever we send a letter out that says variance or special exception of, whatever the address is.

And I know you all do more projects than just this one and I just was more getting clarity around that. But what you've said is fine. I understand that it is not - in some ways, most people think it's kind of splitting hairs, that variance, special exception, whatever, you want some relief.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Right.
VICE CHAIR HART: And so, I understand that, $I$ just wanted to get a little clarity. Thank you.

MEMBER WHITE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. You just heard Office of Planning kind of give some history on their original position, where they denied, they were in denial of the relief.

But then, they submitted a supplemental report,
based upon conversations that they had with the Applicants regarding some of their concerns. And then, they've modified it in support.

And one of the things that kind of stuck up in my mind was the fact that they felt as though the modifications were in line with the look and feel of the other houses, especially on the front, especially because the Applicant had agreed to preserve the existing look, especially with the frontage.

I just wondered if you had some comment or if your opinions have changed somewhat, based upon the supplemental report submitted by OP? It's Exhibit 52, I believe.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Well, when the Applicant redid their plans, they sent them to us, well, to me on December 28. I tried to pull together a Single Member District so that we can discuss what the plans, the changes were. But there was just not enough time.

And I normally try to hold my Single Member District meetings the Thursday before our Commission meeting, so that I'll be able -- I'll have a chance to not only explain to my constituents what the changes were, but also explain to my fellow Commissioners what the changes were.

I did not have the opportunity to do that. It was not enough time. So, $I$ can't even say that $I$ knew what the new changes were myself.

COMMISSIONER LEE: And to what we just heard from the Office of Planning, I'm a little taken aback, because I think 33 or 50 feet, we're still looking at a monstrosity in the rear yard.

So, for me, I'm -- like you just said, splitting hairs, 20 feet, but it's still going back a large amount past the ten, but that's still 23 past what matter-of-right is. So, I'm a little taken aback why they thought that was better or why that would be more appealing to us as residents.

MEMBER WHITE: But the height aspect of it, you're comfortable with?

COMMISSIONER LEE: No, I'm not -- I'm only comfortable with matter-of-right.

MEMBER WHITE: Okay.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, let me ask this, are you two relatively new Commissioners or have you been Commissioners for a while?

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: 2014.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Me also, 2014.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.
COMMISSIONER BUGGS: And this would be the first
BZA case that I --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.
COMMISSIONER BUGGS: -- had the pleasure of sitting in.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Well, let me say this, let me commend you, first of all, on having a Single Member District meeting. And I like the way you have that coordinated, where you have your Single Member District meeting before you have your full ANC. Let me commend both of you. But let me ask this as well.

First of all, ANC, I have a lot of respect for ANCs, being a former super soldier. So, I understand how it is, that's one of those thankless positions that I've been in many times.

But let me ask, those two other properties that have the -- that were, as you said I said, you've got to be careful, don't quote what I said, because you'll end up in the paper.
(Laughter.)
ZC CHAIR HOOD: But what I'm saying is, those two other projects, they were matter-of-right at that time, I'm sure. Now, let me ask you, would you all have been opposed to those?

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. And you mentioned that, the threat of a matter-of-right came, whether it was a threat or not, but the issue of a matter-of-right, you said you were fine with a matter-of-right. But, obviously, the Applicant
didn't want to do a matter-of-right, because we're all here having this discussion.

So, that lets you know that there's some significance to this, as opposed to doing it as a matter-ofright. So, I just wanted to compare that. But I want you all to know that from my standpoint, continue to do a good job like you're doing, regardless of what we have done. We're going to try to talk through this as well. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR HART: Mr. Chairman, one other point. Commissioners, also, you noted that you hadn't -- you weren't aware that the Hales had kind of changed their position on this.

They actually submitted a letter, which is Exhibit -- that we see -- we keep on telling what the exhibit numbers are and we do that because if somebody's looking for this or trying to understand what we're talking about, they can easily go to the record and found out where I was talking or some of the other Board Members.

So, I understand that you may not have this in front of you, so $I$ was going to read it. It's a very short letter. But it's a letter from both David and Geraldine Hales, who live at 1119 Morse Street Northeast, and they sent this letter to the BZA.

It says, we are owners of 1119 Morse Street Northeast and our property is direct adjacent to 1121 Morse

Street Northeast. We have met with Brandon Jackson from HJB Properties, LLC, to discuss the proposed addition and conversion to that property and the need for special exception relief and a waiver from the ten-foot rule.

Having been apprised of these plans, we do not believe that the addition is likely to have a substantial negative effect on our light and air or privacy. We, therefore, support the application. And then, it was sincerely and they signed it.

I am not saying that to sway you necessarily, it's more just to let you know that they actually did submit something, it wasn't just Mr. Sullivan saying that they were supporting. They did actually submit a letter.

And I don't know why they -- actually, they did say they cc'd ANC 5D, but the letter was dated to us January 15, 2018, so that was Monday. So, yes, it was a holiday, so you probably will get it today or tomorrow.

But, again, I was just letting you know that they have changed their position and $I$ thought that you just might be interested in what they were saying.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LEE: But we also have the petitions of the neighbors, and that's been submitted, and most of them are here today.

VICE CHAIR HART: I agree.

COMMISSIONER LEE: They live on the same side of the street as the addition. But there also is a third property that's up the street that's the same as the two that are adjacent to this building. So, that's what I said, we're trying to maintain -- those were a matter-of-right.

VICE CHAIR HART: I understand.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: I understand. I was just saying that the next-door neighbor had actually submitted something to the record about their support of the project.

CHAIRMAN HILL: And I guess, just to piggyback what Vice Chair Hart said, the meetings that you have with your constituents, again, like our -- what we look at for -- there are criteria that we look at for when we're granting whatever the relief is that we're asking for.

And if they're special exception, there are certain criteria. If it's a variance relief, then there's a three-prong test that is a much higher bar in which to pass.

The criteria that we're looking at right now is, like, $U 320.2$ lists all of these different criteria that we look at in terms of -- and primarily, it's adverse impact, in terms of light and air, on the neighboring property. And then, a variety of other criteria there that the Office of Planning, in their report, has gone through.

And that's just kind of some of the feedback that we get. But our job, again, is to look at the regulations and see whether or not we think that the standard is being met, per those, whatever the request is. So, yes, so that's what that is. All right.

Anybody else for the Commissioners? No? All right. Thank you, Commissioners.

Is there anyone here, I'm going to, I don't think there is, but I'm going to ask first, is there anybody here who wishes to speak in support of the application? Okay.

Is there anyone here that would like to testify in opposition? Opposition? If you could please just raise your hands, $I$ just want to see how many of you there are. Okay.

Could you guys just scoot down one or just free up a chair? And then, I can take four people over here. Again, I think you've all been sworn in, correct?

MS. WARD: Right.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, great. Well, welcome. Again, thanks for making it through here, this longer day, long day, $I$ should say. We still have a little bit more to go through. So, as public members, you have three minutes to testify.

MS. WARD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: I don't really have a clock,
unfortunately, so I'm just going to kind gauge it as we go along. Or, actually, I'll do a little stopwatch here. So, before we start, and I know you did introduce yourselves already once, at least one of you did, if you wouldn't mind please introducing yourself from my right to left for the record. And then, we can start.

MS. WARD: Doretta Ward, 1141 Morse Street Northeast.

MS. FRISON: Teresa Frison, 1184 Morse Street Northeast.

MS. RAMSEY: Karen Ramsey, 1413 Montello.
MS. ROGERS: Frances Rogers, 1116 Morse Street Northeast.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. If it's all right, then, Ms. Ward, I'm going to start with you.

MS. WARD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And then, you need to just push the button, and you can begin whenever you like.

MS. WARD: Okay. Early on, I had submitted my opposition letter. And I also submitted the neighbor's opposition letter, along with their signatures.

I since -- I noticed later on, when I went online to look at it, it did not take the last page. So, $I$ have an updated list of 18 owners in the neighborhood who oppose the special request of Mr . Jackson.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. You can go ahead and submit that afterwards --

MS. WARD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: -- if you could.
MS. WARD: Okay. And according to this -- if I'm looking at this correctly and you're saying that there's no light affecting the neighbors, it appears at 9:00 a.m. there is definitely light affecting the neighbors. And that's a total complaint and it would affect them permanently at various hours during the day, along with the air flow.

And as the other Commissioner stated, we're totally against these buildings coming up in the neighborhood. This is a single-family neighborhood. Although it's not labeled as historic, we have unique historic homes in our neighborhood.

And we would like for it to stay at that look. As well as, the rear is just -- these large rear additions, is like an eyesore. You have houses going in and out. You got all these rears, so far we have three. And honestly, it's an eyesore and it's enough.

Also, to the -- I have a support -- we have a lady that also signed our petition who's about two doors from 1119. She is opposed of it too, and it would definitely affect her light and shadow. That's all I have for right now.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Thank you. Next, please. MS. FRISON: Thank you, Commissioners, for this opportunity. I have a letter on record under my name and my husband's name.

I also have the same letter done by the people who live at 1184, 1188, and 1186, they would also like to sign on to that in opposition. As well as a separate letter that I do not believe was sent via email, from our neighbors that are residing at 1159 and 1161, in opposition of this.

We do have three row houses on our block that have been converted into condos. One was the same model that they're proposing, which is two units. And then, we have two homes, single-family homes, were converted into three units.

We currently have eight homes on our block that can be converted into condos. We are a single-family residence. Imagine that, we would have 11 condo units on a single block in the city, if you said yes to everybody that's going to come up.

And believe me, they're all going to come up, because they have the space to do this, to go to three or to two condos.

And we just can't afford this. It's going to damage our infrastructure. Our neighbors on that side that already have the three condo units, their water pressure is not what it used to be, because they have -- instead of
having all these single-family homes, now they have eight family homes in addition on their block.

Our roads are -- we have lots of kids on our street, we have speed bumps, people still come down that road hitting those speed bumps at $35-45$ miles an hour.

If we have more people coming down our street to add to these houses, it's going to have more transportation, more damage to our infrastructure, and it's going to damage the light that people see from across the street, not just their neighbors.

The people that live across the street from the fairly new condo that's just the two units, they don't have the light that they used to have, the morning light. So, it's -- it really changes the nature of our neighborhood. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you.
MS. RAMSEY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. I live two blocks away, but have been following this development, as well as other developments in the neighborhood closely. And we've been having a number of large buildings come in. Some of them are helpful in supporting increased housing needs and IZ units for the neighborhood, including the buildings on Florida Avenue.

The new buildings that are being proposed now do not do that. They instead take a single-family home that
would have been affordable for a family at \$400,000 to \$500,000, a wealthy family, but now, they're being converted to condos that sell for $\$ 700,000$ each, which is pushing people further out of the neighborhood. Where you could have purchased a home, you're now purchasing part of a home for far more money.

And I know our Commissioners answered a lot of questions, but just to supplement what they have said, both 1121 and 1135 have been under discussion with the ANC for over five months. They've been very heated discussions, it's creating divisions in the neighborhood.

It's highlighting a lot of the negative impacts of putting in a 40 -foot or 35 -foot wall in someone's backyard, where they cannot garden, they can't sit outside. They have people standing on their roof decks, looking down over them, dropping things into their yards.

It's becoming an issue, and in particular, in the 1100 and 1200 blocks of Morse. I know that, in this past year, that you have not approved, I forget whether it was a variance or a special exception, for 1165, which was asking to do the same thing, putting in a three-unit condo and going back 40 feet.

So, if you're not approving one project which was not supported by the neighborhood, I don't understand how you will start picking and choosing. So, the block starts to
push back and it has a negative impact on the people that get stuck in the middle of 40 -foot walls in their backyards.

If you have condo conversion on either side of you, the best thing you can do at that point is just to move. And that's not helpful to residents of the neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, thank you.
MS. ROGERS: Hello.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Hello.
MS. ROGERS: I don't have anything technical to add, just kind of emotional. And I don't understand why Mr. Hale's backed down, because up to last week, he was against it and he said, if they go up, I'm going to have to move. And so, I don't know what happened between the last meeting and his letter.

But I feel as though, for every special exception that's approved, others will be asked. And just down the street, a house has just gone on the market and they're asking \$799,000. You could use it as a single-family home or a condo conversion.

And that's the attitude that people are taking on that side of the street, particularly because their side has longer lots than my side does. And when you start putting in these here-there-here-there, you have two or three, four houses in-between two monstrosities.

And you can't live like that, you just really
can't. And so, my objection is probably just tell them, we won't accept it.

My water pressure did go down, even though the water company came and put in new pipes and stuff, my water pressure did go down. And I asked the guy next to me, did your water pressure go down? And he said, yes. I said, oh, I said, maybe I had old pipes. Well, I don't have old pipes.

But it's -- when they put those new units in here, they -- and Mr. Hale's complained about his internet and it going out, because it does not have the same kind of service that he had prior to the new building. So, that's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. ROGERS: I'm just totally opposed.
CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand, Ms. Rogers. All right. Does -- yes, you can give that to the Secretary there, the submission. Does the Board have any questions for the witnesses? Okay.

I mean, the only question $I$ have, again, the understanding that I'm getting is, you're opposed to the project, you're opposed to the massing, you're opposed to what they're doing there, in terms of the development. And I get that, $I$ understand that. Okay.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Could I ask the young lady, the third person who spoke, and I forgot your name, I'm sorry. MS. RAMSEY: Karen Ramsey.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Ms. Ramsey, you said you'd followed this whole discussion about the pop-ups, pop-backs, poparounds, and whatever else they're going to create. If we help the -- one of the criterias that we have to look up -and I'm not negotiating, I'm just using what the regulations say.

One of the things is to not go ten, I think it's ten feet back from rear wall, I mean from the rear. If that was held to the strict application of the regulations, would you have a problem with this project.

MS. RAMSEY: I would not. I think ten feet is reasonable. It allows people to expand for growing families, to convert a building into other units. But $I$ have seen the impact from friends who have the 35 or 40 -foot extension next to them and it diminishes their quality of life and has created a lot of problems for them with their households.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, in your opinion, since you say, and I'm asking you since you said you followed this whole discussion, and others may have as well, but she mentioned it, do you think the way the regulations are written, that it's helpful to -- do you think they -- well, naturally, they can be improved, but do you think this is a start from us not
having anything?
And I know the Chairman probably is going to say that I'm taking my own time. I'm trying to get educated so we can --

CHAIRMAN HILL: This is very helpful, so please --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Oh, it's become helpful? Okay. (Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: Everything's individually based. (Laughter.)

MS. RAMSEY: From my perspective, if you have seen the impact of some of the development gone amok, and you've put in regulations, if you then just approve every special exception that comes up, what's the point in having them? Because then you end up with the same result.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, and I'm just trying to -- I'm going to ask this. Do you think that we should -- let me ask you this. Do you think we need to relook at these, because we made a special exception, do you think it needs to be relooked at?

MS. RAMSEY: Yes --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm talking about --
MS. RAMSEY: -- I do.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: -- the ten different criterias that we came up with.

MS. RAMSEY: Yes.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I was just going to make a comment, as far as, just to the witness, $I$ guess, as far as approving every special exception that comes up, we don't approve every special exception that comes up.

Each one is different. And so, that's how we look at it. So, okay. All right. Mr. Sullivan, thanks for playing musical chairs there. So, you have some comments?

MR. SULLIVAN: I would just like the Applicant to address the comment about the threat. And then, just in general, his interaction with the community --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. That's fine.
MR. SULLIVAN: -- and the history of this
particular --
CHAIRMAN HILL: I don't necessarily like the word threat, either. Words get used here a lot that inspire certain feelings.

But I was curious and so, it's nice to address that whatever the by-right -- I mean, I understand like a negotiation tactic, whatever that might be, if that was or wasn't, it doesn't matter. But -- I shouldn't say it doesn't matter. I'm curious as to what the by-right was, because -yes. Okay, we can start it there.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HILL: And, please, you can address any comments you like.

MR. JACKSON: Yes. I just wanted to make it clear that I wasn't trying to threaten anybody. I would never threaten somebody, especially when I'm trying to get them to vote in favor for me, it wouldn't quite make any sense for me to threaten somebody at that point in time.

I wasn't trying to do that and I'm sorry if I offended people and they took it that way, $I$ did not mean it like that at all.

But I've been hearing a lot about the front facade and the pop-ups and people not liking to see the pop-ups from the street. And so, when I was just kind of laying out, I was like, well, if we can't do this, we're going to have to try to do something else.

And I was like, that's kind of what our by-right options were, which would be something similar to a two-unit building, instead of three.

It would be two that would have the pop-up underneath 35 feet, but that pop-up would have to be pushed forward, which would make it visible from the street. Whereas, what we were proposing would move back from the street.

So, I was trying to trust the fact that we were doing something to try to make everything still look uniform
along the street, by moving the pop-up portion, having it set back and not having it be near the front.

Because if we did the by-right of only ten feet, and if we did something that had a pop-up on there, you're going to see it from the front. That's all I was saying. It wasn't a threat, it was nothing like that. That was all I -- I was just making that point.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Jackson, that's okay. I mean, I appreciate you clarifying it. And they're -- these particular projects create a lot of emotion within the community.

And -- but I understand that, as everyone is trying to get their point across, and outline what would happen if something else doesn't happen, then that's what you're providing clarity to. You wouldn't have been pushing back, the front would look different.

MR. JACKSON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: That's all I was trying to say.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Let me ask, how much different -this is the plans, I think, that we have. How much difference would it be than what's already there? I mean, what's already came before the regulations were put in place? MR. JACKSON: I'm not sure. ZC CHAIR HOOD: When you pushed it forward, how
much difference would it be from the other two units that are -- or other conversions that have already been done? MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry, are you saying -ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm saying -MR. JACKSON: -- the alternative?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes. Your alternative that you mentioned about moving forward. If we held you to the strict application of just ten feet in back --

MR. JACKSON: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: -- you said you'd have to move it
forward. What difference would there be from -- because you can see the other ones from the street, correct?

MR. JACKSON: Yes, right.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, what difference would it be?
MR. JACKSON: Nothing, you would still see it from the street.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Right, you'd still see it from the street. So, you pushing it back and you've already got some already on the street that are already up front, what difference would yours make from being up there as well? If we held you to the strict application of the regulations?

MR. JACKSON: Well, I mean, it was -- people were opposing being able to see it. So, I mean, I don't quite understand what you're --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: That's all right. So, they're
opposing being able to see it and they're also opposing you taking it further in the back?

MR. JACKSON: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: So, it's kind of --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, what's the --
MR. JACKSON: -- what I was trying to figure out is, well, which way do you want me to go? That's why I was trying to do.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: And that's why I've been working with the neighbor at 1119 so much. I've been back and forth with him for months. Stopping by, we sit down and we talk about it, trying to figure out, what can you live with, what would be amenable to you, since you're going to be right here?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Right. And I think that's actually good.

MR. JACKSON: And to address what happened --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Let me just ask you --
MR. JACKSON: -- with the --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: No, I don't need to know the rest of it.

MR. JACKSON: Oh, okay.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: I just need to know --
MR. JACKSON: It was from a comment from earlier
about nobody knew about why he's changed --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: As the Chairman already said, we get a lot of we didn't know, $I$ didn't know this, $I$ don't -MR. JACKSON: Okay.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: -- understand it. But did you show -- and I want to go back to the neighborhood, about the potential thinking of it was a threat, but it was not a threat, but it just was an alternative.

I'm going to call it an alternative. In your alternative, did you show views of how it would look one way versus the other way?

MR. JACKSON: Well, yes, I had a sheet that I tried to just hold up and it was like this one.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: But, I mean, did you show that to the community?

MR. JACKSON: Oh, for what --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: When you were --
MR. JACKSON: -- would actually be the alternative?
ZC CHAIR HOOD: -- showing when a matter-of-right, as was stated --

MR. JACKSON: Oh, no, no, no, because I didn't have

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: -- it was during the discussion, while everybody was having the discussion, at the ANC
meeting, about these current plans. And then, I brought up, this would be a matter-of-right

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, you had something to show them what would have been a matter-of-right? Or just telling them?

MR. JACKSON: No, no, I was just telling them. I was trying to explain --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Because a picture is worth a thousand words.

MR. JACKSON: Yes, I think --
ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, a matter-of-right --
MR. JACKSON: Yes, I tried to point to -- no, excuse me, I'm sorry. I tried to point to one that's kind of in the plans to say, the way you see this one here is how it would look from the street, versus it being setback where you can't really see it.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Sometimes a different picture of massing would -- I'm not giving you a lecture, but I'm just saying --

MR. JACKSON: Oh, I understand.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: -- it would actually be helpful for us as well.

MR. JACKSON: No, definitely, this is my first time doing this, so I appreciate all the --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: -- everything $I$ can learn here. ZC CHAIR HOOD: And sometimes, that would be a better understanding for those of us who don't do zoning, as the Chairman mentioned, all the time. Because a lot of the community, the ANC members, they don't do zoning.

This is, as somebody stated, I'm not sure who it was, about 1,000 pages, this is not easy. Sometimes, we need to see how things are going to pan out. So, anyway, that's enough for my lecture for today. Thank you.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any other questions for the Applicant? All right. Okay. All right. We got the -- does -- okay. So, does the Board have any questions to the Applicant?

MEMBER WHITE: I have one question.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure.

MEMBER WHITE: What's your feeling on making modifications from the rear so that it's not as visible? What was your position on that?

MR. JACKSON: On making the rear not visible modifications?

MEMBER WHITE: Like not making the pop-back as far back? Like maybe bringing it in some? Were there some economic reasons or just --

MR. JACKSON: Oh, yes, there's definitely economic
reasons for why you have the pop-up there in the first place. It completely changes --

MEMBER WHITE: Can you explain that?
MR. JACKSON: -- the whole project a lot.
MEMBER WHITE: Can you explain that for the record?
MR. JACKSON: Yes. I mean, you probably have about a 50 percent -- well, I guess, like a 30 percent increase in your profit if you have the pop-up versus if you don't.

MEMBER WHITE: In terms of it being a livable space?

MR. JACKSON: Oh, yes, it's a livable space.
MEMBER WHITE: I mean, I know you're trying to --
MR. JACKSON: So, probably --
MEMBER WHITE: -- make money from it, but why does
it need to go back that far?
MR. JACKSON: The pop-up or the rear addition?
MEMBER WHITE: The rear addition.
MR. JACKSON: Oh, it would be very small if it didn't go back pretty far. Because as you split it on each level, it's only about 19 feet in width, so you need -- in order to get two bedrooms in there --

MEMBER WHITE: Can you show me --
MR. JACKSON: -- comfortably two bedrooms --
MEMBER WHITE: -- the plan on your --
MR. JACKSON: Yes.

MEMBER WHITE: -- just so that we can --
MR. JACKSON: So, if you see, on this sheet, this shows the -- what would be the top is the basement one, which would be the underground unit.

MEMBER WHITE: Right.
MR. JACKSON: And then, the next one, that's going to be the first floor, which is the current street level floor. And then, this shows you the proposed second and third floor, which that's just one unit together, with two levels.

But as you see, space-wise, you kind of can't push it much further or else you lose -- the bedrooms become too small. And the living room area becomes kind of almost not existent.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, I mean, I can understand -sorry for jumping in. But --

MEMBER WHITE: No, that's okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- I can understand the living area space kind of concern. But as $I$ start looking at the bedroom plans, you have a bedroom that is six feet eight by 21 feet long? That is a large bedroom.

And I'm looking at -- this is Exhibit 47. And I don't know -- is that the most recent exhibit? Plans? I've had several versions of the plans up, so let me see if I can get the most recent one.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, I think it is. Yes, this is the most recent plans that you have. And they have a fairly large bedrooms. Bedrooms are -- granted there's an area that you're kind of going into them.

But 21, 20 feet, 21.5 feet, 20.2, 20 feet 0.2
inches, or 20 feet two inches by ten feet eight inches. And I understand that you have to kind of configure it, figure out the configurations. Those just seem really oddly shaped

MR. JACKSON: Yes, that --
VICE CHAIR HART: -- spaces. And it seems as though you could actually save on some of the -- it seems as though that they could be smaller to be able to accommodate a normal size room. And that just seems -- those seem odd to me.

MR. JACKSON: Yes. Well, I'll let Rich address this if I don't explain it correctly. But it's mostly because the rooms are L-shaped. They kind of fit together like Tetris, like Legos.

VICE CHAIR HART: No, I understand that.
MR. JACKSON: There's only one portion that can have the bed on it. And for the rooms to be side-by-side, so you essentially have to give one of the rooms the length of the other bed portion from the other room in order for
them to be side-by-side, or else you have a--
VICE CHAIR HART: But what you end up doing is you -- because of how your circulation happens in the room that is to the -- it's the one in the bottom, the one that's six feet eight by 21 and a half feet, you didn't number those, but it's the bedroom, I don't know, that's on the east side, I think, of the building.

That one, your circulation, you have a door that comes in and then, you have the larger part of the space, portion of the space, is closest to where that door is. And so, if you put a bed there, then you're kind of walking towards the bed first and then, you have this kind of long space that's 11 feet by six and a half feet that's kind of unused.

And so, it just becomes a -- they're just oddly shaped rooms to do. You're saying that you need the space for creating these rooms, and typically you have -- granted, you may not be able to have rectangular sized rooms -- or, sorry, more squarish sized rooms. I kind of get that.

But these seem really large. And it's almost like there needs to be some way of rethinking that. So, I don't know you, you can --

MR. MARKUS: I can address that. So, we run into this issue a lot. So, when you have a certain size lot, the best case scenario -- if you have two bedrooms, the bedrooms,
in order to be a legal bedroom, has to have an egress, an opening to it.

So, it has to be on an exterior wall. And when it's a party-wall on both sides, you can't do it on the side, so you have to do it on the back. And then, when the lot is -- best case scenario is, you get two bedrooms exactly side-by-side, but that only works when the lot is large enough.

So, at 19 feet wide for the lot, then you got the party-walls that brings it in, so you've got 18 feet from interior dimension all the way across, then you put a wall in the middle, if you have two bedrooms side-by-side, you're getting less than eight -- you're getting eight foot bedrooms.

Which for this size unit doesn't really make
sense. So, we end up doing these kind of L-shaped spaces sometimes. And one of them has to be bigger and one of them has to be smaller, but it still has to have a window on the exterior. That's why you get kind of a leg on one of them.

So, the main portion of the bedroom is against the back wall on one of them and the larger portion of the bedroom is set in on the second bedroom with kind of a little leg that goes down to the rear wall. So, it seems very long and it is, but it's just -- you kind of have to figure out ways to work it out when you have a lot of this dimension.

VICE CHAIR HART: I understand that. I guess I'm
just saying that they are odder than I've normally seen. And, again, we do see quite a number of plans for projects and the layout for the rooms has some logic to it and these just seem a little bit less than that.

And it just seems like it's -- they just, like I said, they just seem odd to me. You have a lot of space that you can't -- you're not going to be putting a bed in an area that's six by eight, because a bed, I'm a six-foot person, a bed is going to be six feet and maybe a little bit more. So, that's the length of it.

So, if you're talking about that, then you're -if you're looking at that same bedroom that has that space, you're talking about an eight inch clearance between the -if you're putting the bed there, you have an eight inch clearance between the bed, between the edge of the bed and one wall. Unless you put it sideways, which would be kind of an odd thing to do.

I'm just saying that the -- you do have to think about how the furniture is sitting in a room and it just seems like that second bedroom has a very odd shape to be able to put any furniture in it that's a logical way.

MR. JACKSON: Well, Mr. Hart, just wanted to -- I was going to bring it up. So, I thought of the same thing that you did about the clearance between the bed and the closet doors.

So, what we had -- but we had already submitted everything before talking about the interiors, so I thought, right now, we have to deal with the exterior ten-foot portion. But I --

VICE CHAIR HART: I'm -- sorry. I'm only bringing them up, because the interior has effects on the exterior.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: So, if the interior can be configured in a different way, maybe you're losing space that you -- or you're using space that you don't really necessarily need.

And that's what I'm trying to get to is, is there a way that this is actually configured that is using up more space than is really kind of necessary for that addition. Maybe this addition only really has to go back 20 feet.

I don't know, but I'm saying that it seems a little bit -- those seem a little bit odd and that kind of drives the other stuff, how you lay out where the other things are. But you can continue with your response.

MR. JACKSON: Yes, $I$ don't think it really addresses completely your point about whether or not you can bring down the length of it.

It more had to do with the clearance portion of the bed, so that the door moved forward and then, you had a door that -- one went right into the one room to kind of --
cut off a piece of that bathroom and moving a closet over and the mechanical room over so that the closet to that room moves from that space.

So, you gain two feet, so it's no longer like that six feet eight inches, where you can actually put a bed and if you're hanging off, you're not going to hit the door or something with your feet.

VICE CHAIR HART: So, the closet that is between the two rooms would --

MR. JACKSON: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- be removed? Is that --
MR. JACKSON: No, it would be moved over to the right, to where the mechanical, washer/dryer is.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yes.
MR. JACKSON: So, we're thinking about rotating that 90 degrees into the room next door. So, you see, like -- and take away that closet space that it has for the den and move that bathroom back and you kind of walk through the bathroom with the washer/dryer and you have a walk-in closet there.

And then, you no longer have that closet that would sit at the foot of your bed, you wouldn't be facing the closet any more. So, you gain about two feet in that case.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, $I$ mean, $I$ can kind of understand it would be helpful to have that. But are you
just thinking about that now or are you just --
MR. JACKSON: No, I had already thought about it before. I had actually sent Rich an email about it, but we had already submitted documents for this hearing.

VICE CHAIR HART: And --
MR. JACKSON: But I wanted to address that, because I thought that was something that -- I'm sorry, this is my first time --

VICE CHAIR HART: No, no, it's fine.
MR. JACKSON: -- I thought the interior would be addressed later.

VICE CHAIR HART: What I was saying is that, we're not really approving -- while the plans include the interior plans, I was just trying to see if there was a way to save some of the length, the extension space, if there was a reorganization of how the bedrooms were oriented, so that you didn't have to go farther back.

And that was the only point that $I$ was trying to bring. Whether or not the closet is at this place or that place, if you're not gaining any other -- if you're not reducing the size of the actual extension or the addition, then you're not really -- it's not really helpful, because it's --

MR. JACKSON: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- if you understand what I'm
saying.
MR. JACKSON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. So, this is what I think maybe, so I think we'll -- I want to be able to take a look at this a little bit more, in terms of the decision. I think that, since Mr. Hood has already been volunteered a couple of times for next week, it's just another decision case putting on his agenda.

And so, we can go ahead and do that. I do -- I would like, I guess, $I$ would like to kind of just share where I am, I suppose, with some things.

I mean, I don't know, Mr. Hart, if there are things that you might like to see in terms of your discussion with design or with them being able to pull it back at all. I mean, my thoughts, I guess, are that the Office of Planning has already provided an analysis, so we've got an analysis at this length.

And I don't really -- the opposition continues to be kind of, any kind of -- like if you get three feet out of it, I don't think they're going to be on Board anyway.

But that being the case, if there's some way that you think you might be able to pull this back and achieve your program and you want to try to provide some other different kind of options, I'm sure that the Board would be interested and take a look at that.

The only thing I'm kind of interested in seeing, I guess, is just from the front, again, what the matter-ofright would have been, in terms of you would have, if you got stuck -- if you were at the ten feet back from the wall, what would that make the program look like? And so, that's how there would be a change to what you are able to do.

I do think that -- I mean, these projects continue to bring a lot of testimony from the public and continue to be a very emotional project. I'm glad, I guess, that the Zoning Commission has done what they've done, in terms of kind of getting us to the point where there is a discussion before us in terms of projects like this.

I'd, again, point back out to the community that the ones that were there next door are before the Zoning Commission took action to change what was matter-of-right. So, all of those homes would end up being exactly like that.

And I know that -- and that was even before my time, in that, $I$ know that -- the Chair of the Zoning Commission is here. And there was a lot of testimony taken and a lot of discussion, in terms of how to address this issue.

And there was a lot of, $I$ know, opposition to it, because you were basically taking away more property rights, from people that could have had the ability to do something much larger by right. And so, there's another side of the
discussion to this.
What was coming on and what continues to be a discussion here is that, whether or not the going beyond ten feet becomes anything more than -- anything beyond a special exception, $I$ think, basically would kill that. I don't know where that discussion may or may not be going.

And then, you can also -- and now, I'm just kind of speaking to the people that were testifying, we have people that don't want you to go ten feet back.

And so, it does create a very difficult argument for those that want to do something with the property the way that they're allowed to do something within the zoning regulations versus what you want your neighbor to be able to do. And, again, as one who lives in the city in a very dense area, when things happen to my own apartment, I get very upset about it.

And so -- but then, there are these regulations, one of which is this special exception that there is criteria that, if it's met, then this should be approved, it's within the zoning regulations. So, that's all that I'd be interested in seeing, in terms of it.

And if you can get that to -- I don't think that drawing will take you very long at all, in terms of what you would be able to do as a matter-of-right for the front. And then, again, if you want to do -- I don't know if anybody
wants anything else, but that's the only thing I'd be interested in seeing. Any other thoughts or comments from the Board?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Chairman, since you asked for that, are we going to share that with the ANC as well?

CHAIRMAN HILL: Certainly.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, all the parties, and the ANC is a party, it will be in the record and we'll get that when he gets that to us. All right. Sorry, Ms. Rose, you have a comment?

MS. ROSE: You have to give the parties a week to respond. So, we would be looking at possibly the 31st for a decision.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, the -- so, you guys would get a decision on the 31st.

MS. ROSE: Document would be due, if they could get it by Monday the 22nd. I don't know how soon they can get the document to us.

CHAIRMAN HILL: That's this Monday.
MR. MARKUS: We can do that.
CHAIRMAN HILL: I mean, it's just a drawing -well, apparently, you already have it there.

MR. MARKUS: No, we don't have it, but we can put something together.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MR. MARKUS: It would be a very simple drawing, but it's going to show the massing of what we --

CHAIRMAN HILL: What would happen in the front.
MR. MARKUS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: What would happen in the front. I'm just curious, what would happen in the front.

MR. MARKUS: Right.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Unless the Board is ready to go right now and then, we can do that as well. Okay, all right. So, Monday, we'll get that. Then, everyone will have a week to respond to that drawing.

If there's anything you want to respond to to that drawing, that's all we're asking for. And so, then, we'd listen, hear about that. And then, we'll go ahead and make a decision that following Wednesday, which is the 31st, is that what you said?

MS. ROSE: Yes. The response would be due Monday, January 29. And then --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. ROSE: -- the decision would be Wednesday the 31st of January.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Do you guys understand? All right. Do you have anything you would like to add before I close the hearing?

MR. SULLIVAN: No. Well, a closing, very briefly

CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure, please, go ahead.
MR. SULLIVAN: I would just -- I would answer the question, why have special exceptions at all? I think in this case, it's worked out, because I think it's encouraged renewal of this property, which was vacant for many years, which was up against a large property that had been built under previous regime of regulations.

Which -- and I think this is a good compromise between doing what was done before and working with a neighbor to come up with something that fits in-between what the neighbor has and what was done before.

It also encourages the development of a property that was vacant for a long time. So, and other than, of course, we think it clearly meets the regulations for special exception approval. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Board have anything else? Okay. Then, we'll close the hearing. See you guys -we don't have to see you guys, we'll be here for the decision.

MS. ROSE: Next we have --
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Sorry, Ms. Rose. I was saying, let's go back to the original order that we had proposed at the beginning of the day.

MS. ROSE: So, the Morse Street case?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
MS. ROSE: Next is Application Number 19657 of Mala Mahmood. Pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for a special exception under the residential conversion regulations of Subtitle U Subsection 320.2, to construct a rear addition and convert an existing one-family dwelling into a three-unit apartment house in the RF-1 Zone at premises 1135 Morse Street Northeast (Square 4070, Lot 145).

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. Please introduce yourselves.

MS. MAHMOOD: Hi, my name is Mala Mahmood. I am the owner of this property --

CHAIRMAN HILL: I'm sorry, can you speak in the microphone?

MS. MAHMOOD: My name is Mala Mahmood. I am the owner of the property 1135 Morse Street, which is also going to be my home.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MR. PETYAK: Ryan Petyak, architect at 3877, and project manager of the project.

MR. SHOVE-BROWN: David Shove-Brown, with 3877, architect.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, what we did do was, we tried to put these together, because they're on the same
street. And so, we'll see whether that works out better or not.

So, let's see, I guess, Ms. Mahmood, are you going go present to us? Okay. All right. Let's see, give me one second. Okay.

So, some of the questions, I suppose, are going to end up being with, I guess, questions I'm going to have for the Office of Planning as well. But the Office of Planning is in support of your special exception conversion, but in denial of the rear addition requirement, the waiver, that is.

And so, you can speak to that as you're doing your presentation. So, if you can go ahead and you can explain your project to us and then, you can also speak to how you think you're meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested.

And I'll go and put -- I'll give ten minutes to you, just $I$ don't have a clock, but so $I$ kind of know where you are. But if you take longer than that, that's fine, I'm just trying to understand where we are. And you can begin whenever you like.

MS. MAHMOOD: Okay. Thank you. Can you hear me or should I bring this forward a little bit?

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, bring it a little forward, $I$ guess, yes.

MS. MAHMOOD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: There you go.
MS. MAHMOOD: So, at this -- 1135 Morse Street is the house where we're going to live as well. And we're requesting relief under 320.2 to go 40 feet beyond the most restrictive neighbor. That's 30 feet beyond the allowable 10-foot addition in the back. These are really large lots, they're about 145 feet deep.

And we're requesting to also convert the singlefamily house into three-family flat. Each of the units is going to be family sized. It's very important to us, because we're going to live upstairs and we're a family-sized unit, so we can grow into it.

I can talk a little bit about the Office of Planning portion, but $I$ would -- also Ms. Brandice will also go into it a little bit. So, $I$ will talk a little bit about the neighborhood outreach that we have done.

So, we went under contract on the house in July and since the day we went under contract, we made a really big effort to reach out to the neighbors to understand what the neighbors wanted from our design, because we had rough ideas of what we wanted.

We did want three units, we wanted to go back, but we didn't really know what their pain points were, if there's anything we could have done to address any concerns. So,
just to say that we started talking to our neighbors way, way ahead.

And you'll see in our Exhibits 38 through 44 that we have many, many letters of support for our project from our block, including neighbors very, very close to us. And this is the culminated effort of a lot of talking. So, to start with the outreach.

When we closed on the property, we brought our architect, 3877, in to take a look at the property and we also wanted to go over with our neighbors what they wanted.

And what we found out was that one of our neighbors had -- if you look at our plans, yes, that one, the third photograph shows that one of the neighbors, not next door, but one over, had solar panels in the front. And he asked us not to go up in the front. He said, just as long as you don't touch my solar panels, that's fine.

So, we designed -- we reached out to our architect and we specifically designed the addition to be so set back that you could not either see it from the street or touch the solar panels at all in terms of the shadow impact.

And then, we -- I went to the historic department, I guess, downtown, to understand what materials would be most favorable for our neighbors, if this was a historic area.

Keep in mind, we are not a historic area, I just wanted to do this to understand the best materials that we
could use, so that this would come up later and we could have good materials and not something that they would not like. So, this is something I did as an additional step, to understand what we could -- how we could make the neighbors happy.

Then, we had a Single Member District meeting. And at this meeting, we addressed a lot of issues the neighbors had. It was a well-attended meeting.

One of the outcomes from this meeting was that we had the porch taken out in our first design and the neighbors wanted us to have a uniform front look of the property. And so, we brought our porch back into the design.

The reason we had taken it out in the first place, so we could get more light into that lower unit. But now, we've brought that porch back, so we can have the same look all up and down our street.

And the other thing that came up was parking. So, a lot of our neighbors have issues with the parking situation on Morse Street. And since we're going to live here and we don't have cars and we have two car parking spots in the back as well, we wanted to help in some way.

So, I became the block representative for the RPP process, which is a DDOT process. And our Commissioner told us it would be helpful if $I$ become the block representative, so I've gone back and forth with DDOT and gotten quite a few
signatures from our block to try to get residential parking permit only on our block.

So, this is something that I've done just as an aside, even though we don't really need to be doing that. It's something that was really important for our neighbors to have our block be RPP.

And then, in terms of the ANC process, we've presented in front of the -- I've presented in front of the ANC three times with these changes. And I was asked to get signatures of support.

And the first time $I$ was asked to get signatures of support, $I$ got 48 signatures from all over Trinidad, because I was told go anywhere in Trinidad and get the signatures. So, I spent a significant amount of time knocking on all the doors, showing our plans. And we were told that this way more than we're supposed to be doing. A lot of the Commissioners told us this as well.

And so, and then, we went to the December meeting and had 48 signatures from around Trinidad and nine individual signatures personally written from the 1100 Morse Street block. And that's Exhibit 38 through 44 in our submission.

And then, we were told again to hold off until January. So, we came back and presented again in January. And they asked if we could bring personalized handwritten
notes, because the typed up versions weren't personal enough. But unfortunately, $I$ was traveling, so $I$ couldn't get all of those handwritten, but I got two of them handwritten. And so, it's -- that was a request that they had.

The January 9 ANC meeting is when we got our no vote. I was just very surprised because we were under the impression that the ANC would vote to support us, because we had done whatever they asked in terms of trying to get neighborhood support and that seemed like the biggest issue for our Commissioners.

So, I've gone -- we've made a lot of changes to our plans already and the plans that we had submitted to OP, I have been in touch with OP and we've gone back and forth trying to understand what we can do to make sure that this works for them. And we're very excited to move forward. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any questions for the Applicant?

VICE CHAIR HART: Just one. Ms. Mahmood, did you provide, and it may have, any drawings of what the by-right option would look like in comparison?

MS. MAHMOOD: No. We have --
VICE CHAIR HART: I mean, for the shadow study.
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes, we do have -- we do. And so --
VICE CHAIR HART: You have a shadow study, but --

MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- it has your option of what you're doing.

MS. MAHMOOD: No, we also -- we changed it. We added to it, we made another version that has the proposed and the current. And that is on Page -- it's the second to last page or third to last page.

VICE CHAIR HART: Which exhibit are we talking about?

MS. MAHMOOD: Oh, I'm sorry.
VICE CHAIR HART: Is that 45?
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes, exactly. Forty-six.
VICE CHAIR HART: Forty-five?
MS. MAHMOOD: Exhibit 46 shows the -- it has the elevations in there, as well as the --

VICE CHAIR HART: It's 45.
MS. MAHMOOD: Oh, sorry.
VICE CHAIR HART: It's okay. Forty-six is a petition, so $I$ didn't think that that's it.

MS. MAHMOOD: Sorry, Exhibit 45.
MR. SHOVE-BROWN: Are you looking for shadow study with the by-right, as in pushed all the way up front?

VICE CHAIR HART: No.
MR. SHOVE-BROWN: Similar to what you had talked to the last applicant about?

VICE CHAIR HART: We're looking at it, if you have a ten-foot --

MR. SHOVE-BROWN: Right, okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- for this.
MR. SHOVE-BROWN: Okay, yes.
MR. PETYAK: What was provided was what was existing and then, what is being proposed in the design, and not with the ten-foot rear addition. So, you have existing and the design proposed.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, it is somewhat helpful to have what the by-right and what you're proposing, because then you can kind of see what the difference is between the two.

The what is existing is, since it's flat, it's not really going to be that much of a difference. So, that's why I was wondering what that might be. Especially for the neighbor that's 1135, no 1133.

And could you explain, and I don't know, I'm speaking to you, Ms. Mahmood, but if it's one of the others that would be inclined to answer, what's the difference between, in the -- on Exhibit 45, Sheet A0024, you have what it looks like during August and during different times of the day.

What I'm trying to understand is, there's areas that are grey and there are areas that are green. What are
the areas in grey and green mean?
MS. MAHMOOD: Concrete versus non-concrete backyards.

VICE CHAIR HART: So, the area -- and how did you develop that?

MR. PETYAK: Based off of Google Earth.
VICE CHAIR HART: So, they are approximations for the neighbors? For yours, it's fairly, from what I'm seeing, fairly straight. But the other ones, it just seems like a lot of concrete.

MS. MAHMOOD: Yes, so --
VICE CHAIR HART: That's what I'm trying to --
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes, it's true actually, we are the one who has full concrete, specifically because I don't want to plant anything, so $I$ want concrete all the way through.

VICE CHAIR HART: No, that's fine. I'm not describing that. I'm not -- it doesn't matter to me one way or the other if they do, $I$ just wanted to understand if that's what $I$ was actually looking it.

MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: Sometimes, when you do drawings, you have, well, I'll just put something there, and you kind of assign a color and you don't really think about it, and it sounds like you all have actually thought about what these were. So, it's helpful to understand that.

And the point that $I$ was kind of getting to was, the neighbor that's at 1133 has a small portion of grass. And that portion of grass would be blocked by the addition that, if we were to approve it, that addition that's next to that. And so, it's like almost 100 percent of it. So, that's more of the concern.

It does -- there are some impacts on some of the other neighbors, as you go during sunrise, it looks like there's some impacts as well for some of the neighbors that are to the west, 1131 and 1129. I think, I'm sorry, it's hard reading the numbers.

MS. MAHMOOD: Sorry, yes, it is 1129.
MR. SHOVE-BROWN: Yes, the angle of Morse Street causes really -- the only situation where the shading is affecting the neighbors is in the morning. Based on the angle of the street and based on the way the sun rotates around that property, it affects it in the morning only.

And then, you hit about noon and you can see across the page, we've got morning, noon, and night, or sunset. So, really, it's a morning sun that affects the neighbor to the west.

VICE CHAIR HART: And you just -- you did this in January and August. Okay. Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Anyone else for the Applicant? All right. Okay. Turning to the Office of

Planning.
MS. ELLIOTT: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. I'm Brandice Elliott, representing the Office of Planning. The Office of Planning does have a mixed recommendation on this particular project. We are recommending approval of the actual conversion.

I'm happy to go through the details of that as part of my verbal report. But we are recommending denial of the waiver requesting the rear addition to exceed ten feet. There are a lot of positive things about this particular project that $I$ would like to go ahead and cover.

First of all, the Applicant has clearly done a lot of outreach. We want to make sure that that's acknowledged, because it did impact the design that was initially submitted to OP for review. The design itself, because the Applicant consulted with historic preservation, it does a good job of preserving the facade.

She -- the design -- I'm sorry, the addition is set back far enough from the facade that it should be minimally visible from the street. It's about 30 feet back from the front of the house. And then, the original features of the front of the house are being preserved, including the porch.

And she also consulted with historic preservation, although it's not in a historic district, regarding
materials. And so, we think overall there are some positive elements to the design that should be acknowledged.

The issue that we have is the massing of the 40foot rear addition. And we -- it should also be -- I see the elevation up on the screen showing that this, unlike the project that we saw previously, it does actually provide a four-foot wide court along the east property line.

So, there is some separation between that neighbor and the addition, which helps. But what we see on the other property line is, it's built right on the property line. It will be a common wall and it's fairly blank.

And we're concerned about the impact that the addition has on this -- on the row of houses on this street. Forty feet, we think is sort of pushing the limits of what was intended for this particular regulation. And I don't think that anything has been provided in the Application to sort of convince us that there is no undue impact.

Certainly, with projects, and I saw -- I heard some of the questioning regarding shading of adjacent properties. Certainly, some projects are affected by developments like this.

But what we have to determine is whether there is undue impact. And I think that in this case, there probably is.

One of the other issues that we have is, although
the front facade is sort of preserving the historic character of the neighborhood, is that the alley is impacted by an addition like this.

Most of the homes, while the rear walls are not necessarily uniform, they're sort of characterized by smaller additions, maybe ranging from ten to 15 feet. This one, in contrast, is a significant addition and sort of impacts the pattern of the -- that's been established along the alley for the row of houses.

So, these are all things that we've discussed with the Applicant since filing our report. And we have discussed some design options, which may be up for consideration.

One of the things that we saw in the previous case is that the overall size of the addition had been reduced and the third story was actually providing a setback, so that it would create less impact on the neighbor to the west. The setback from the rear facade.

So, I think we're leaning towards wanting to see something like that incorporated into this design, although if the Applicant and the architects come up with something else, we're open to that. But that's where our recommendation lies at this point. And if you want me to through the criteria, I'm happy to. But I'm available for questions.

MEMBER WHITE: For my knowledge, could you just go
through the criteria? Because this is a recurring issue that we are beginning to see now.

MS. ELLIOTT: We are seeing more rear additions. So, the -- to start, the height of, the overall height of the proposal is actually just shy of 35 feet. It's 34 and a half feet, so it meets the requirement of (a). There are only three units for this proposal, so inclusionary zoning would not apply.

The lot size is just shy of 2,800 square feet, so there's actually 932 square feet of land area per unit, which meets the minimum requirement. So, 900 square feet.

The addition, a waiver has been requested for the rear addition to exceed ten feet. And I have already discussed that in some detail, so I'll spare you a repeat.

The -- (f) requires that any additions not block or impeded the functioning of chimney or external vents. Because the third floor is set back 30 feet from the front facade, it does not impact any existing chimneys or vents.

And (g) requires that it not impact an existing solar energy system. The adjacent dwelling, immediate adjacent dwellings to the east and west do not have solar energy systems. The Applicant did note that the dwelling two doors down to the west does have a solar energy system, but they have provided a sun study showing that there's no impact to that system.

And (h) requires that rooftop architectural elements not be removed or altered. And because the third story is being set back 30 feet, those elements are preserved. And then, the remainder of the analysis sort of goes into the detail of adverse impact, which I've already sort of discussed in impact to light and air.

MEMBER WHITE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Anyone else for the Office of Planning?

VICE CHAIR HART: Just one quick. Ms. Elliott, with regard to the window issue, could you kind of go over that particular portion of this again? Just the windows that are on the, what do you call that, you're calling it the court.

MS. ELLIOTT: We -- I only called it out as a way to provide some additional light and air to that property to the east, because the court, obviously, allows the light to penetrate that space. So, we actually saw that as a benefit to the design.

In terms of privacy to that neighbor, that neighbor has actually provided a letter of support to the record, so they do not seem to be concerned about the adjacency of those windows to the property.

Although, the Applicant did indicate that there would be some sort of frost or some sort of film applied to
those windows to ensure privacy.
VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I was just curious about something, as far as the solar panels go. So, if they were doing -- if this project were to be done by-right, then those solar panels could be blocked? I get a little confused sometimes.

MS. ELLIOTT: So, the regulations regarding the solar panels, I do believe -- okay. Well, now I'm questioning my own knowledge. I'm not sure that it applies to a by-right scenario. I think it's only --

CHAIRMAN HILL: If I understand, they could have gone ten feet back and gone up as high as they can and come up to the front and then, block the solar panels?

MS. ELLIOTT: They could, potentially.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. ELLIOTT: I'm trying to remember if the third story -- it could affect the architectural, the roof detail, which may require special exception. If the third story was brought all the way to the front, that may actually kick in the need for a separate special exception.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. But if it was pulled back the appropriate amount --

MS. ELLIOTT: Right.
CHAIRMAN HILL: -- it could be a by-right option
where it would then be blocking the solar panels. MS. ELLIOTT: Correct. CHAIRMAN HILL: Potentially. MS. ELLIOTT: I think so.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I'm just curious. And then, for the Applicant, I guess, Ms. Mahmood, again, I'm a little -- the windows that you have there, so I'm just curious, how did you get to this point with your program that you are making it a narrower extension and putting in the windows, rather than filling out the whole space? What was the thought behind that?

MS. MAHMOOD: We just didn't want to have a very tunnel-y interior space. We wanted some light to come in on the sides as well, on bedroom. It doesn't create an awkward layout for bedrooms, it helps create more of a home feel, with windows in each bedroom on the side.

And also, yes, it was -- we didn't need that much bulk space. So, we used our square footage in a way that we could keep a separation from the neighbor next door, have a little bit of a gap.

CHAIRMAN HILL: But then, if that property were to try to do what you're going to do, then you'll have this little --

MS. MAHMOOD: That's okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.

MS. MAHMOOD: It'll be light coming in, yes. And the windows will be --

MR. SHOVE-BROWN: Sorry, part of the issue is, exactly what you guys were talking about in the last case, we didn't want to get into jigsaw puzzle rooms that had the long corridor to get to a window or something like that.

So, by creating the court, we allow access to all those bedrooms, to have windows for egress and so on and so forth, so you don't end up with strange shaped bedrooms.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. One more question for the Office Planning. Again, these, I mean, we're going to continue to see these.

And what I guess was spoken earlier by, I think it was one of the Commissioners, in terms of how the Office of -- I know that the Office of Planning goes and evaluates each case on a case-by-case basis.

You had mentioned in terms of kind of working even with the Applicant, how maybe they could pull back the third floor. And I guess, it's -- and I know you can't give me a number, I know.

But where is it that the Office of Planning kind of hits -- like, how far back do you think the Office of Planning would have been like, well, you're really not adversely affecting the neighbors? You can't really tell me that, right?

MS. ELLIOTT: That's right, unfortunately. There is no magic number. I mean, even between this case and the previous case, we see differences. Where in the previous case, for better or for worse, there's the benefit of being adjacent to existing large condominium buildings.

And so, even if that addition comes in slightly smaller than those, they're not going -- it's not going to have as great an impact as, say, this project, which is midblock and doesn't have other adjacent large buildings.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, I see what you're saying. So, that's, again, where the Applicant tends to work with the Office of Planning to see where people kind of end up or possibly could end up?

MS. ELLIOTT: Right.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And, again, 1 look to the Commissioners and whoever else -- I mean, again, they, as the Office of Planning has mentioned, for better or for worse, they're adjacent to a property that came in before the regulation change and you're trying to go back as far as those properties.

It looks like, if you throw back out that -- how far are you going back and the shadow studies. I'm looking at the shadow studies and just seeing how far back you're going with relation to the other -- it looks like you're kind of going as far back as those.

MS. MAHMOOD: They were 54 feet back.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And you're how far back? MS. MAHMOOD: Forty.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, regardless, I'm just trying to understand a little bit, as to how -- I'm trying to -- I'm just educating myself in terms of how the Office of Planning gets to where they get to. There's more reasoning to it than you think.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: And so, I'm just trying to understand for myself. Okay.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Ms. Mahmood, how many feet did you say you were going back?

MS. MAHMOOD: Thirty feet beyond the allowable ten, so it's 40 from the --

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, you're going back a total of 40?

MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Thirty over the allowable?
MS. MAHMOOD: Allowable, yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, thank you.
MS. MAHMOOD: Thanks.
VICE CHAIR HART: Ms. Mahmood, just out of
curiosity, you've gotten -- there is a letter from the neighbor on 1137? Do you have a neighbor -- one of the adjacent neighbors is --

MS. MAHMOOD: Right.
VICE CHAIR HART: -- supportive of the addition?
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: And that's the one from $1137 ?$
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. And the --
MS. MAHMOOD: It's in the record.
VICE CHAIR HART: Okay. And 1132?
MS. MAHMOOD: 31, sorry 33.
VICE CHAIR HART: 33, I'm sorry.
MS. MAHMOOD: I've communicated with her and we have gone back and forth. She's out of state, she was moving when we were deciding all of this. And so, we've been in touch and she's not opposed to the plan, but there's no letter in the record yet.

VICE CHAIR HART: All right. And you said you've gone back and forth on --

MS. MAHMOOD: When we changed our designs, we updated again. And so, we have communicated with her via text as well.

VICE CHAIR HART: Was she looking for something to be changed?

MS. MAHMOOD: No.
VICE CHAIR HART: I mean, during this, you're saying that --

MS. MAHMOOD: She had no suggestions and she said she didn't have any opposition.

VICE CHAIR HART: And did 1137 have anything that they were looking for?

MS. MAHMOOD: They weren't looking for anything, but they said during the construction phase, that I stay in touch with her. And I'm going to, because we're going to live here, we want to have good neighbors. So, she said as long as we don't work in the late hours of the night and on Sundays, and that's something we are definitely going to obviously do, because of all that.

And also, a point that I think Ryan can talk a little bit about is, we're not -- we're creating an internal skeleton for the property, when we're building it. So, we're not going -- we're not latching into the brick of the partywalls.

So, we're losing a little square footage inside, so that we don't impact our neighbors next door. And that's important to us.

VICE CHAIR HART: No, that's fine.
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: And my last question is on the
roof deck. It says, public. What does that mean? MS. MAHMOOD: Yes, I'm sorry, it just means like -MR. SHOVE-BROWN: It means Ryan can't type. (Laughter.)

VICE CHAIR HART: I'm not even sure what the actual word was supposed to be.

MS. MAHMOOD: Everyone's invited.
(Laughter.)
VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, I was just trying to figure that out and I can't remember which exhibit that was in now. Oh, it was in Exhibit 45. And I'm assuming that was just meant to be a roof deck.

MS. MAHMOOD: Yes. And that roof deck is only for the upper unit.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, let's see, we're going to go out into the audience in a second here. But, Ms. Mahmood, as we're kind of going through this, first of all, I'd also like to say as the Office of Planning had said, it is commendable that you tried and have gone out and done the outreach that you have.

I understand how the whole thing works and that sometimes you're asked to do one thing and then, you're asked to do something else. So, you have tried.

The part that I'm still here with is that,
basically, you have the Office of Planning in denial of what you're trying to do, except for -- basically, they're in denial of what you're trying to do. They don't think you meet the criteria.

And your ANC also doesn't think you meet the criteria. So, you're kind of at both noes at this point. Okay.

And not that that matters, $I$ mean, we can go against the Office of Planning. I haven't seen us go against the Office of Planning and an ANC before, but I'm letting you know where we are with this at this point, as we're going through this process.

But at the same time, again, working with your neighbor about the solar panels, as I'm finding this day interesting, because we're hearing more and more from this street, again, the neighbors, whatever they end up trying to do later, they are very deep lots.

They are very deep lots. And that's why the Zoning Commission did enable this to be something that would be coming forward to us, because of this type of situation. So, all right.

Does anybody have anything for anybody before I turn to the audience? Okay.

Would the ANC Commissioners like to speak? Is there one Commissioner, two Commissioners? Okay, great.

Commissioners, if you could introduce yourselves again for the record and then, as I mentioned before, you have five minutes each to provide testimony.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Hello, I'm Yvonne Buggs, Commissioner Yvonne Buggs of Single Member District 5D.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner Clarence Lee, Chairman of 5D.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner Buggs, you can go first, if you'd like.

COMMISSIONER BUGGS: Okay. So, I've had a Single Member District with, I'm going to say Mala, because I can't get that pronunciation right, so I've had several meetings with her. And then, she's come back to the ANC again.

And she's visited me in my home and each time, I told her that her plans did look better than what we've seen, but she still had a lot of opposition from her neighbors. And that's what $I$ needed her to focus on is, coming together with them.

Thus far, she has not. They still are in very much opposition. So, that's -- I have to stand with my constituents.

CHAIRMAN HILL: No, that's great, I appreciate that. Commissioner Lee?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. As the same -- well, even just hearing Commissioner Hart and both gentlemen, both of
you speak in regards to, we're still in opposition because of the deep lots, trying to maintain the integrity of the neighborhood. And I've never even looked at, considered the architecture of it.

But $I$ don't know if this is testimony or not, but like you say, I did the same thing at my house on Trinidad avenue, before the matter-of-right, when I could have went back the whole length of the yard.

And one of the things that, even when people don't realize is that you take away the rear neighborhood aspect of it. So, I have to walk about my deck and cross over and speak to my neighbor, next door to me.

And over the time, it's even like, it gives me great protection. Somebody can see who's coming up into my backyard, see who's coming up to my window. My house was broken into, because of my addition, but my neighbor was able to call the police.

So, just trying to keep this back as a -- trying to bring it back to a neighborhood. We're glad for new neighbors, we're glad that the crime is down, we're glad that the -- well, the crime is down. We're certainly glad for that, but we like to -- I raised my children there.

Glad the schools are better. She won't have to go through what I had to do, paying for private school, because the schools weren't better. So, as we get new
neighbors, now that I'm an old parent, and even when you think about these additions, I'm trying to get my son to leave, because $I$ raised him to be nice.

So, I'm trying to get him to leave as a grown man who decided that -- so, even when you look at these things, so the room size. And he has a small room. When I made my addition, I have all the luxury, they have children rooms. So, because $I$ kept it as a single-family home.

That's really what we're trying to do here. We're not against people moving in. And, in addition, when I did my home, I have a handicap bathroom for my then grandmother. I still have -- well, we have a handicap bathroom, because we envision our seniors coming to live with us if need be. We wanted to make something that -- but it's all one home.

So, that's the only thing I would add. Because when I heard Mr. Hart talk about the architecture part of it, that is what we're talking about too. When you talk about these room size, I'm looking and I'm see more adults.

I have a house across the street from me that's redone, but it's more focused on roommate style, which causes a parking problem, the cars and the more. So, when do see some of these new homes, it is bringing in more adults, not families.

It's bringing in adults, roommate style, so not -we're a popular neighbor, we're close to the bars. And a lot
of people making homes that focus on that. And you can just make more money off of it with the rent, because we have people with five and six roommates in these three and fourbedroom houses, with additions, because they're using the full range of the house.

So, I mean, if it's a matter-of-right, I'm all for a matter-of-right. And I'm even for if somebody wants -doesn't have to maintain the historical presences, because I think that's your choice. If you move, since we're not a historical neighborhood, if you move in, if you want to change the front, if you want to take the front, you want to get more light in, $I$ understand that.

I'm all for that, as long as it's a matter-of-
right. But these monstrosities that keep popping up, we have to scale them back. We have to really bring back. So, if we're going to build this as a neighborhood for families and children, we can't have -- I'm not against young adults, because like I say, $I$ have one in my house. I have two in my house.

But what I'm saying is that, that we want to have more families coming in. And so, that's it. And so, we welcome her as a family, and we welcome her as a family wanting to bring in her father. I truly understand that too. Seniors, I'm caring for now. I understand that. But we just want it as a single-family home.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Go ahead.
MEMBER WHITE: So, what would it take for the ANC to support her application, in your mind?

COMMISSIONER LEE: If I was at the meeting, a matter-of-right. Whatever she chooses to do within the matter-of-right, within the regulations. You saw some wisdom in doing this, in setting these rules.

And I think that the rules haven't been set that long and I think we should follow the rules of what you've already set, the new rules that are set for people who want to move into neighbors and modify their homes.

MEMBER WHITE: Okay.
COMMISSIONER BUGGS: And for the community, the neighbors, they need to be, have peace in where they live. These neighbors have been living there for $30,40,50$ years now.

And now, a lot of them that's getting these big pop-ups, they're saying, well, okay, I'm just going to go ahead and move to somewhere, I can't stay here. I can't even come out and visit with my neighbors, because I've got this blockage now.

So, once we start approving for all of the new homes that they want to build back, and I'm just -- I live around the corner and $I$ know most of these neighbors on Morse Street.

There was a house that just went up for sale two doors down from me. And they are making this house beautiful with no pop-up, no nothing. And so, why can't other people come in and do the same for these single-family homes and go somewhere else where they have apartment buildings to build apartments.

COMMISSIONER LEE: And like my last comment, even the impact, $I$ don't know how this affects you guys, but the impact of raising our taxes. When you bring these homes in and there's a senior citizen 50 percent break, but a lot of our folks haven't hit that age at 65, so our taxes continue to grow before we get that 50 percent break for being a senior.

And that also is a financial impact. When you think about taxes going from $\$ 600$ a year to $\$ 3,000$ a year in the matter of a mortgage. So, I've been there 27 years. So, I started at \$600, now I'm at \$3,000.

So, when you think of the impact, and that's because of the ongoing sales of the homes and the cost of the homes being above the $\$ 600$ range, that can impact somebody who's not financially -- who don't have the financial means. I'm blessed, it's not a problem for me.

But I look at my seniors who -- federal government employees who can retire at 55-56. So, their incomes won't be cut, but they might have to work longer, because they got
to worry about paying that property tax. The home is paid for, but the property tax keep increasing, due to these renovations.

And I did it to my neighbor, $I$ increased the value of my neighborhood. But I was there and it was my home before and it's still my home now.

And so, I think that was one of the things that -I don't know if that's a consideration, but when I think about when these things happen, how somebody's going to be able to afford to keep their home who's already paid for it, worked for it all their life, who had it. Because the prices when we bought was $\$ 60,000$.

So, that's a matter, your house is paid for, but the taxes are now \$3,000-4,000 a year. Because they keep going up. Our real estate says that every time a sale goes up, there's a limit. But $I$ never see it go down, it went up every year.

And so, this also is just going to increase that burden on the families that are there, the seniors that are there, people trying to live -- who want to stay, because it's a convenient neighborhood. That's the one thing about it, it's -- even during the day, when I first moved there, and I used to drive to work.

I worked in this building. I used to drive from my house to the parking lot, which you all took away, but I
used to drive to my house to the parking lot to this building right here. And so, it was very convenient.

So, that's one of the things that draws people to it, draws working people to it, because it is great. And the buses are great. But when we get this large amount of homes just being converted to these -- and we have plenty of fourunit buildings in the neighborhood.

We're not against -- because we have -- a lot of the homes are four units. We have a lot of four-unit buildings in the Trinidad area. But we're trying to maintain a home and that brings a group of people together.

We have some apartment dwellers, we have some home owners, and then, we can bring everybody back together so we can still continue to improve. Because we still have some issues, but we're getting better.

And we want people to be able to communicate with each other in the back of the house, as well as in the front of the house.

MEMBER WHITE: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LEE: You're welcome.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Certainly, sir.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Can I ask a question? First of all, let me apologize for the three of them taking away your parking lot.
(Laughter.)
ZC CHAIR HOOD: But we --
CHAIRMAN HILL: We weren't here then.
(Laughter.)
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Oh, right. Well, anyway. Let me ask you, did you follow the whole pop-up, and I hate to -I hope the Chairman will indulge me, because he told me I was taking my time on these things.

Did you follow the whole 20 hours worth of testimony, probably more than that, of the pop-up, pop-back, and some of the adjustments we've made since then? Did you follow that whole creation of these regulations?

COMMISSIONER LEE: No, I did not.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Because I'm hearing some of the same things that you're saying that came out from others. And as the Chairman has already mentioned, we've got both sides and that's how we got to where we are now. This is trying to be the happy medium to evaluate, because at first, there was nothing. That's why you have those other pop-ups that were a matter-of-right.

You heard the Office of Planning's report. Would you agree with it? I mean, would you accept that as what they have -- and Ms. Elliott, I have Ms. Elliott's name right this time. Right, Ms. Elliott? Did I get it right? Okay. It's only took me -- how long did it take me, Ms. Elliott?

MS. ELLIOTT: Five years.
(Laughter.)
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Five years? Okay. But anyway, five's a charm. So, you heard Ms. Elliott's report. Would you be -- would that be approvable -- or whatever the word I'm trying to use -- let me ask it this way, because $I$ want to make sure I use proper English.

Would the ANC approve of her report and be acceptable in moving forward with this case after you heard her recommendations?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I'm not -- I head part of it being a denial. And that's on the extension. But is that the extension past the ten feet?

MS. ELLIOTT: So, the actual -- we would like to continue working with the Applicant on the length of the addition. What's been proposed down the street is a 33-foot extension. We can start there and see where the discussion go.

We would also like to see a setback on the third floor from the rear of the building, so that it's not just a giant flushed wall. It would have a little bit more of design to it.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I think, in order not just to open the door and have it run rampant, I'm sticking with matter-of-right and a single-family home. So, I wouldn't be
in approval of what she's designing. It's not a matter-ofright and maintaining a single-family home.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All right. And maybe I should give you more time, because I'm not sure where we're going with this. So, you can evaluate this. But, anyway, that's fine, I've heard your answer for now.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Well, Commissioners, I've got to say, it's late even for us down here. I've got to tell you, this is a very -- I find it's a very difficult job, I've got to say. I mean, it's a really -- it's very emotional. I understand why everybody feels the way they feel.

And it's difficult, because we are here to judge the regulation and the standard. So, we look at those standards. I mean, it's a very -- it's not that abstract, right?

I mean, there is some to it that I'm trying to figure out sometimes in terms of why something might be undue versus not, in terms of the -- and I'm kind of even talking about, just for myself now, how the light and air is affected. Whether -- on these other properties.

And what was also brought up before was, if your neighbor next door was in approval or not. That was another
thing, whether or not you just happened to be lucky enough that the neighbor down the road might want to do the same thing, and so, they're not going to be in opposition to you.

I guess, $I$ just wanted to make a statement, which is that, $I$ don't think it's easy. I don't think you all think it's easy. I know that -- again, all of us living in the city here, we're happy for some changes that happen to our neighborhood and we're not as happy to others.

It sounds like you're not even that into the conversion, you don't even like the conversion aspect of the homes being able to go to three units. So, the by-right, I mean, it's even a special exception, I think, for the conversion.

So, it gets into a lot -- and as Mr. Hood was talking about, Chairman Hood talking about, they had 20, many hours of testimony about getting to this point. It was a long conversation that I've just had.

But at the bottom line, the Applicant still doesn't have the Office of Planning on Board and doesn't have the ANC on Board. But I appreciate your testimony. And are we done with the Commissioners? Okay. So, thank you all for coming down.

Is there anybody else that wants to speak in support? Anybody want to speak in support? Anybody want to speak in opposition? If you'd please come forward? We're --

I lost somebody, so we're going to take just a three minute break here and we'll be right back.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 4:28 p.m. and resumed at 4:36 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, Ms. Rose, if we can get started once again. Okay, so if you could please introduce yourselves from my right to left and as members of the community you will each get three minutes. And I will keep the timer on you, if that's okay. And if you would please -let's go -- introduce ourselves first, please, right to left.

MS. WARD: Hello, my name is Doretta Ward. I live at 1141 Morse Street Northeast.

MS. FRISON: Hello, I am Teresa Frison. I live at 1184 Morse Street Northeast.

MS. RAMSEY: Karen Ramsey, 1413 Montello Avenue.
MS. ROGERS: Francis Rogers, 1116 Morse Street Northeast.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. Ms. Ward if you'd go ahead and begin whenever you get a chance?

MS. WARD: Okay, hello again. First off, I did an updated neighborhood petition list. I gave it to Ms. Rose. Since the one that I submitted online I've had more owners to sign. And they oppose the -- Ms. Mala's project.

Also I wanted to add that in one of the ANC meeting we mentioned Mala's trying to contact the owner at

1133 Morse Street Northeast. We mentioned in the meeting that the owner does -- no longer lives there. She's renting her house out to Air B\&B or renters. So it would not affect her at all. As well as 1139 -- the lady -- she just put her house up for rent. I mean, I am sorry. She just put her house -- advertised her house for sale. And within the advertisement she's stating that it's a good investment for a condo.

Several people did sign Mala's list. But a lot of those folks are not within the 200 range. My list is within the 200 range of her property. And I find that about four people, maybe less, are within the 200 range. 1138 is renters. 1178 is renters. And 1123 and 1125 -- they're also renters. Yes, she did a lot of leg work. Yes she did. In terms of the property -- residential parking, we have been trying to do that prior to Mala moving in the neighborhood for years. And it has not happened. Because we do have this problem with parking. And it is a hardship on us. And various hours that we come in that we have to look for a parking space.

The rear addition -- oh, I am sorry. I did submit a letter of opposition online. So a lot of what $I$ feel is already online. I am very emotional about it. I've been in the neighborhood for over 37 years and I have become accustomed to being able to go out into my backyard and talk
to my neighbors. And my neighbors, they look after one another. As we get older, we need neighbors looking after us. We need to be able to see. I would no longer be able to see if that wall is put up there.

She talks about the privacy -- she would have windows up and they would be able to look in on us and they will have light but $I$ will not have light. I will not have the -- the continued airflow. And the four people who will be -- the four neighbors who will be affected the most signed the opposition neighbors letter. The one person who did not sign it is the neighbor who we -- nobody can reach because she no longer lives there. She's renting her property out. So all that to say, yes. I am not in agreement to it. It does not -- it does not support the current character of my neighborhood -- what I have bought into. I have bought into the spacious backyard. I bought into the view. And with these long walls coming up, I will no longer have the view, the spacious backyard -- the view to see my neighbors. The view to see the sun. I'd have to look around to probably see it now with the way the buildings are coming up. So I totally do not agree with it. It blocks your air, it blocks your sun. And it can be a depressing sight to see when you come outside and you're used to looking at land and air -- I mean, feel air with it. You're used to looking in one direction and see all this land, and then you all the
sudden come out and you no longer see it. Can be a depressing sight for me. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you.
MS. FRISON: Thank you, commissioners, for holding this hearing. It's very much a privilege to come and voice my opinion on changes happening in my neighborhood and how things are affecting us personally. These -- these extensions -- these additional condos are an added burden to really a failing neighborhood. We're -- 1100 block, as I mentioned before, we have eight houses -- now nine houses -that could potentially become condos.

So could you imagine your whole neighborhood once being single-family homes with children, grandchildren, the daycare down the block -- and suddenly, nine out of the 40 homes we have are condos with two, three different families coming in? These condos that went up -- that are hideous -have no contacts with the rest of the neighborhood. They don't have the front porches. They were done by right before you could get involved in this.

I have a picture, if you want to see, and they're really ugly. They have bought these condos at 700 -\$800,000 and many of them are being rented out. So they are investment properties and so when these developers come and try to give you a sob story about how they are saving the community by buying a house that's been neglected, this is
not true.
They -- both of these houses that we're talking about today, $I$ know families that have tried to buy them. They could not outbid a developer. So you're a single family and you can have -- let's say you have $\$ 500,000$ that you can throw down on a house. You're not going to be able to outbid a developer that can bid 700, 800, $\$ 1$ million for a house. So -- but the developers come in and tell you we're doing the neighborhood a good -- it's not. They're changing the nature of our community. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you.
MS. RAMSEY: My name is Karen Ramsey --
CHAIRMAN HILL: MS. Ramsey if you could just move the microphone. Yes, just point it -- really had it extra low there.

MS. RAMSEY: So, again, thank you for letting us attend the hearing and provide views on the neighborhood. Much of what $I$ have to say $I$ said during the hearing for 1121. I know your job is to regard each on the merits. And we're also trying to do that. But also looking at the impact on the neighborhood as a whole. And as I stated earlier, the large extensions in the back -- which, luckily OP in this case is taking a different look at as opposed to the 1121 property. I would welcome you to come and spend some time in the neighborhood. We can visit some of those backyards,
sit down, have a beer, show you what it's like to sit next to a 40-foot wall in the backyard and not have sun or air. It does have an impact on the community.

And again, $I$ know that matter of right -- someone can come in, go back ten feet, put in two units, that would address some of the housing shortages in DC with density. What $I$ hear neighbors objecting to repeatedly is three-unit conversions, popping back 30 feet -- 40 feet -- and it's mostly developers that come in that already have two or three homes and are flipping houses and then selling them at a high price. And it's pushing people out of the neighborhood.

People can't afford it. We're losing some of our inclusionary zoning units. We're losing a neighborhood where families could come in or single people could come in and actually purchase a home -- where they wanted to be part of a community -- where you know your neighbors. You can talk to each other. You attend community meetings. And that is being lost by these large pop-ups.

And it may not make sense that it would change the character of a neighborhood that significantly, but it actually does when you look in the neighborhood. So I think that what $I$ have been hearing at the meetings, again, is three-unit conversion is not one what is wanted or welcome in the neighborhood. And it's causing a ripple effect of negative impacts on all of the residents. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you.
MS. ROGERS: I have very little to say. Everybody has already said everything $I$ had to say. And I did submit letters of opposition to both the 1121 and the 1135.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Rogers, can you speak a little bit closer to the microphone? I'm sorry.

MS. ROGERS: Oh, I am sorry.
CHAIRMAN HILL: That's all right.
MS. ROGERS: Can you hear me now?
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, now I can.
MS. ROGERS: Okay. I have submitted letters online in early January in opposition to 1135 and 1121. And I think my letters state it -- because I had time to think about it and I didn't have time to talk -- so it states my feelings. But the only thing that $I$ would go along with is matter of right. Because that's the law as it is now. I still don't think $I$ really like the third floor. And then when you have a third floor and you can put a penthouse -a deck on top of a penthouse. But three units -- I am against. And 60 feet out $I$ am against. It's -- I think I do it a little bit better in my letter. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you. All right, does the Board have any questions for the witnesses?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, thank you all very much.

Okay, so Ms. Mahmood, I am going to get -- let you have an opportunity to kind of, you know, respond to anything you want to respond to or make a conclusion or comments. But -but -- beyond you spending even a lot of time on that, I mean, we're going to have a continued hearing, I think. I mean, at this point again, you have provided us with your case, right? And you've also provided us a case in how you met the standards. I suppose we could vote, but I don't think you'd win. And so I say that because I -- just based upon the -- the testimony we've taken as well as the information that's in the record as to whether or not you're meeting the criteria for us to meet the standard, I think there's a lot in there that would -- would be against you in terms of -- at the very least, the -- the extension. In terms of how far you're trying to go back.

So unless the Board has any other thoughts to this, I would probably try to have a continued hearing and ask the Applicant to continue working with the Office of Planning and see if there could be anything the Applicant could do to provide a different type of design that the Office of Planning could perhaps get behind. And then after that would be taking place -- and I would imagine, you know, you would want to take a look at the ANC again to see if -if the new design would be something that the ANC could get behind. Now based upon the discussion we've had and what
we've seen, $I$ doubt that would be the case. So I don't know whether that really helps you or not.

MS. MAHMOOD: I am happy to work with the Office of Planning. And we -- you know, we really want to work it out.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And again, I guess -- and this is -- this is not necessarily, Ms. Mahmood, to you as much as just, you know, kind of applicants and everything -and the members of the community here. Like, even if the Office of Planning says yes -- or, says yes -- even if the Office of Planning presents criteria that they think supports the application, it doesn't mean that the application is going to get approved. Particularly in -- in this kind of case, because there's a lot of moving parts going on. And there's a lot of things going on with regard to this particular issue that we're faced with in terms of the zoning. Does the Board have any other thoughts?

VICE CHAIR HART: Just one, Mr. Chairman. I had asked this earlier, but $I$ would kind of reiterate. If you could provide a -- for the shadow study, just to understand what the -- the by right is, that would be helpful just so that we could use that for -- you know, for our future understanding. And I would echo chairman's comment regarding working with the Office of Planning to understand what the -what you might do to be able to make changes to that -- that
would then help the Office of Planning get to a part that -that they could be in support of it. Again, it's -- not saying that we're going to be approving of it, it is we have to use the ANC report and the Office of Planning. We -- we give them great weight as we are reviewing the project. And -- so it's just helpful for us to understand where -- where each of them are. But $I$ think that having that would be -would be helpful. But I do appreciate the -- the shadow studies that you have included because it does given an understanding of -- of what is kind of going on behind the -behind building. And that's it.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Oh -- I am sorry.
MS. MAHMOOD: Yes, I was going to say, that's
fine. Having that studied or the - by right as well.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So we're going to have a continued hearing. I would suggest we do it for the next time that Mr. Hood is here. And I think it is going to take a little bit of time, actually, to do this. I don't know how long you all's planning is going to take. I don't know where you are with this. I don't know if you're going to get to a point that you're -- the -- something with the Office of Planning. So I think he's back on the 14th of February. Is that correct, Chairman Hood?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Right.
CHAIRMAN HILL: So then I would -- I -- unless he
-- he can respond to me, but I am saying that is probably enough that you -- it gives you a month to kind of work with you all's planning, work with your architect. See if you can get to a place that you can get their support. And if you have a chance to go to the ANC and get some kind of feedback from the ANC, that would also be welcome. However, what were you going to say, MS. Mahmood?

MS. MAHMOOD: I was going to say that we can probably get -- work on the design of it pretty quickly and get it to the Office of Planning. And if she has time, we can probably talk about that sooner than that -- if that's - is that something that -- that you're open to? A little before we only -- we already held the house in July -August. And it's been a really long time, so we're trying to move with a little --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, I appreciate that. And I understand. I guess -

MS. MAHMOOD: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: This is -- this is probably the best you're going to be able to do, I think. In terms of, like, the Office of Planning, you know, they have a lot of stuff on their plate as well. And so they're going to have to like, fit you in, you know? And so -
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: If -- so -- so the Office of

Planning, you can help the -- you can -- you can see whatever can or can't be done by the 14 th of next month.

MS. ELLIOTT: We can work in that time frame, yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So then you would need to give us a supplemental?

MS. ELLIOTT: Yes, I imagine that the Board would

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
MS. ELLIOTT: Would be interested in one.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes. And then the ANC would need time to respond to the supplemental, Ms. Rose?

MS. ROSE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So they would need a week to be able to respond to the supplemental. So if I worked backwards from the 14th -- how does that work with all the paperwork?

MS. ROSE: Would the Applicant be able to file their submission by January 31st?

MS. MAHMOOD: Yes.
MS. ROSE: And then the responses would be due February 7th.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes -- no, I am just looking at the Office of Planning. The 31st means the Office of Planning is working with the Applicant by the 31st. In other words, your tight time frame just became tighter. So -- so
you're okay with that?
MS. ROSE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
PARTICIPANT: We're available.
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, okay. All right. So we're going to get a supplemental report by when again? I'm sorry, Ms. Rose?

MS. ROSE: January 31st.
CHAIRMAN HILL: January 31st we will get a supplemental from the Office of Planning -- giving the ANC two weeks?

MS. ROSE: No, February 7th.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, February 7th. Okay, one second. February 7th. Are we coming back -- I thought we were coming back here the 14 th? We're coming back here the 7th?

MS. ROSE: And ten the 14 th will be the date that we will be back here -
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. I'm just saying, that gives them two weeks. I don't understand the two weeks thing.

VICE CHAIR HART: No, I think what -- I think what the Secretary is saying is that we wouldn't want to give -we wouldn't want to have the -- the supplemental report and
then the response to that -- we're -- we give ourselves a week to be able to kind of look at that information.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: As opposed to having it on, like, the 13th or something, which is very -- very short period of time for us to actually review what's being -what's being submitted. So --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: It just provides us with a little bit more time.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So those -- can you repeat those dates, Ms. Rose? I'm sorry.

MS. ROSE: Yes, no problem. January 31st for the first submission. And then responses by February 7th. CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Responses from the ANC by February 7th.

MS. ROSE: Yes. And then the Board would consider a decision on February 14th.

CHAIRMAN HILL: We would have a continued hearing on the 14th.

MS. ROSE: Continued hearing.
CHAIRMAN HILL: We would have a continued hearing on the 14th. I saw the commissioner raise her hand. We -you have to come speak in the microphone, I am afraid. And if you'd introduce yourself again.

MS. BUGGS: Yes, this -- Commissioner Buggs. I just wanted to say that the commission -- the full commission doesn't meet again until February 13th.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. Okay.
MS. BUGGS: So would we have to do something
CHAIRMAN HILL: You -- you will -- I mean, Commissioner Buggs, I think that we are asking for responses by the 7th, which is -- so you'll get a chance to look at the Office of Planning's supplemental report on the 31st of January. Then you have a week to give us something in terms of what you think of that supplemental report. And then you -- the hearing -- a continued hearing -- so you can come here -- I hate to say you can come here again. You can come here again on the 14 th in terms of providing any continued feedback for this particular case. And so that's what I would think in terms of the timeline.

MS. BUGGS: Okay. I'm going to try really hard to do that, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HILL: You mean coming on the 14th?
MS. BUGGS: Uh-huh. Because I do -- I do have a good government job.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right -- no, no. That's okay. No, I don't think -- honestly -- honestly, Commissioner Buggs and Commissioner Lee, like, you know, you
guys have been here all day. And I appreciate all the people that have been here all day, okay? And just like I've got to say something every time -- every time I say this, everybody -- we've been here all day, okay? And I've got a job. Okay? Right? Okay, we've all got jobs, okay? This is not our job, okay? This is volunteer job, basically, for the community.

MS. BUGGS: Oh, this is not your job?
CHAIRMAN HILL: This is not my job. Okay?
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: I mean, it's my job, but I don't get -- so -
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: So I am just trying to point it out -- and sure, it's late in the day, right? You know, I already -- you know, my wife is already like, where are you? So -- but nonetheless, it is important that we hear from the ANC. So the ANC will have a week to turn around and give us something -- whenever the report is. And I am sure that will be enough in terms of what your position is on whatever the supplemental report is from the Office of Planning. Okay. Does the Board have any other comments? Commissioner Lee?

MR. LEE: Yes. I just want to know, is this going to require another vote from the ANC on the new plan? So we voted to not support -- to be in opposition. Now, when we
get the new plans, do we have to -- will it require another vote from the commission?

CHAIRMAN HILL: I suppose what -- let me think about this a little bit more. I suppose if Ms. Mahmood thinks that she can get a vote from -- it will be up to Ms. Mahmood. Ms. Mahmood, if you think that you're going to be able to get -- you know, you like to present to the ANC. And the ANC will pass -- which would be great, right? If based upon your new plans you think you can get the support from the ANC, you should present to the ANC. And then that letter and the ANC support will have great weight for us. Unless the -- you all have any other thoughts?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: If the ANC -- because the ANC meets the 13th. And we're going to hear the support team -even if the representative from the ANC comes down and give it to us verbally and then we get the -- letter. And I don't know if you can do a letter overnight or not. But -preferably. Because I don't want to put the pressure on them. They're going to meet the 13th, they're coming on the 14th, they can give it to us verbally. We can take it up to the last minute of what their position is -

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, all right. Let's do this. Ms. Mahmood, I am sorry, the -- okay, let -

MS. MAHMOOD: I just -- to make it easier, if I do do that and they have a letter that they can fill out that
day about the report, $I$ can even hand it in the next morning, if that's something that you -
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, let -- I don't think so MS. MAHMOOD: I'm just -

CHAIRMAN HILL: So commissioners, do you think -if -- do you think Ms. Mahmood could get on the calendar for the 13th?

MR. LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, so Ms. Mahmood, go ahead and present to full ANC, okay? If you get a no, you get a no. If you get a yes, you get a yes, okay? And then go ahead and you can at least testify as to what happened at that ANC meeting on the 14th, okay? And if -- commissioners, if you want to give something to the record and submit, you know, something, that lets us know what happened on the 14th, that would be helpful.

As well as, again, the 7th -- which is a week after the -- the 31st, you have an opportunity to submit something in writing about the supplemental report from the Office of Planning. Okay? And the ANC can submit something whenever they want at any time, okay? So you can submit something to us -- if I think that's right. I mean, you can submit even more stuff, okay? But I am just giving you kind of like a deadline that we'd like to look at something about
the supplemental report that the Office of Planning is going to give on the 31st. Okay? All right. Okay, does everybody understand?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, so do you have anything, Ms. Mahmood, that you're confused about?

MS. MAHMOOD: I know I look confused, but -
CHAIRMAN HILL: I don't think you look confused at all. By the way, you're very composed. You seem pretty good. You know.

MS. MAHMOOD: Thank you. I think I understand everything. I would like -- yes. I guess at the next meeting I will discuss
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. Great, great, great. Okay. All right, thank you all very much. (Pause.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, Ms. Rose.
MS. ROSE: Next is application 11636 of Scott Yurcheshen. Pursuant of the 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for a special exception under Subtitle E, section 5201 from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle $E$, subsection 304.1, and under Subtitle C, section 1504 from the penthouse requirement of Subtitle C, subsection 1500.4 and from the penthouse setback requirements of Subtitle $C$, subsection
1502.1, to construct a roof deck and expand existing rear decks on an existing flat in the RJ-1 Zone at premises 26 Q Street Northeast (Square 3520, Lot 118).

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, if you could please introduce yourself?

MR. BLAKE: My name is Michael Blake. I am the architect on the project.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, Mr. Blake. So you are representing the property owner?

MR. BLAKE: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And do we have a -- something that says you can do that?

MR. BLAKE: Yes, there should be a form. I'm trying to pull up --

CHAIRMAN HILL: That's all right. If you -- I'll go with that.

VICE CHAIR HART: Exhibit 10.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, Exhibit 10. Thank you. I am a little unclear -- and maybe you can tell us what the specific relief is that you're asking for. Because there was like a revision. And I am still unclear as to whether it is lining up with what the Office of Planning thinks you're asking for. And also, then, the -- you are aware, obviously, that the Office of Planning does not support your penthouse setback argument. And so what $I$ would first like you to do
is, again, go over what it is you're trying to propose, hit those highlights that I just mentioned -- you can start with what it is you're specifically asking for. I am going to put ten minutes on the clock just so $I$ kind of know where we are, and if it goes longer, it goes longer. And you can begin whenever you'd like.

MR. BLAKE: Okay, thank you. Just trying to get this to come up on the -- oh, there it is. If I can just quickly address -- I think you said that there was a -- a revision. I don't believe that was the case. There was corrected architectural drawings that were just showing that there was a projection in the front. Has really nothing to do with the project, just correcting the drawings. Just --

CHAIRMAN HILL: So what was announced and captioned by the secretary is the relief that you're requesting?

MR. BLAKE: Yes, that's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MR. BLAKE: So -- and the relief relates to the lot occupancy. Since we are proposing to extend the -- the decks -- replace the existing decks with deeper decks. And then also for the roof deck -- first of all just being allowed to do the roof deck in general in this zone. And then also to have relief from the setbacks -- both side and rear setbacks. And that's for the roof -- for the roof deck
only.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MR. BLAKE: So, as you can see in the pictures, there currently is three levels of decks. Each level has their own deck. And the top unit, which is level three and level two of this house, the decks are basically unusable. There was an issue with the $C$ of 0 and basically the decks had to be shortened because of a previous case. And they're -- they're basically unusable. We -- to get up on the roof to do the survey for this house we had to go in the neighbor's roof -- neighbor's deck to -- to go up there. With their approval, of course.

But -- so the house right now has no access to the roof, basically. And the two unusable decks --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Can you tell me why the decks are unusable? I remember this case.

MR. BLAKE: Well, I mean, you can see in the picture here you've got the furniture in front of the doors. They're basically -- I shouldn't say their unusable. They're unusable to -- to access the roof, which is one of my -- the homeowner's concerns is access to the roof. But they're -they're just not -- they don't give a lot of quality outdoor space.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, okay. All right, I will let you continue. Thank you.

MR. BLAKE: So, we're -- the lot occupancy comes into play with extending those decks, and that's why -that's the -- the relief we're searching for for that. And then, just in an effort to increase the amount of outdoor space that they have, we wanted to do the roof deck -- to have more of a private, outdoor space for them.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Were you the original owner? I mean, I am sorry. I am just trying to understand. So did you present to us previously?

MR. BLAKE: No.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And so the owner is not here. You're representing the owner. Is the owner who you're representing the person who came before us the last time?

MR. BLAKE: No, I think it was the developer that -- the case that was involved -- the developer before.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I think it involved the developer and the owner of one of the units. But okay.

MR. BLAKE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right.
MR. BLAKE: So -- I mean, I think it's -- I don't have a lot more to add. I am happy to take questions on it. And I am sure we can discuss the notes from the Office of Planning.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, thank you. Did you get
sworn in today?
MR. BLAKE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Did you get sworn in in the morning?

MR. BLAKE: I think it was like the second round

CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, second round. Okay. Well then I don't feel so impressed by you. Okay, can I turn to the Office of Planning, please?

MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and the members of the Board. My name is Matt Jesick. I am sitting in for Mr. Bryan Golden today. The Office of Planning supported the project in general. But we could not support the setback relief for the real -- I mean, at the rear of the penthouse -- or, excuse, the -- the rooftop deck level. We thought that it would be overly visible compared to a conforming solution which would have a one-to-one setback. And therefore would go against the intent of the penthouse regulations. I would be happy to try to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does anyone have any questions for the Office of Planning?

VICE CHAIR HART: Just a quick one. Mr. Jesick,
so the spiral stair case goes up to the roof. And then there's the -- the railing that's against the -- you know, against the back wall. So you're just -- you're saying that the railing should be moved back but the spiral staircase and then the railing that's associated with that is okay?

MR. JESICK: That's correct.
VICE CHAIR HART: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Jesick, so I am trying to remember this case just because I -- and maybe I am just wrong, but $I$ thought when we were here before we made them make the deck smaller. No?

MR. JESICK: No.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, all right. Okay, but so you're -- the Office of Planning is in support of the size of the decks. Your only issue is the setback from the railing in the penthouse?

MR. JESICK: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does anybody have any questions for the Office of Planning?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. If you have any questions for the Office of Planning?

MR. BLAKE: Not a question, just I think -- you're know, it -- we're happy that we can keep the spiral staircase. We did a lot of exploration to try to do that
with an interior stair, and it just was not acceptable to the homeowner. So, you know, being able to keep this -- this spiral stair and deal with the setback relief there is helpful. The only thing -- I think it's going to be a challenge considering the -- the DCR the building code requirements for positive connection for deck railings to jog that portion of the railing and the deck back. If $I$ can zoom in here on this section that's on the screen. It's -

PARTICIPANT: Go in the middle.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
PARTICIPANT: Little farther up.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
PARTICIPANT: Yes, go into the middle. Not that one, but over to the -- over to the right. Those -- the plus and -- and minus. That will zoom it in.

PARTICIPANT: Above where your cursor is, it's right next to the blue hand.

MR. BLAKE: Oh, yes, okay. I've got it. So we already have -- $I$ think this is -- a double -- a two-by-eight that we're - or soon we could get to work. This is already going to be a bit of a challenge to have this narrow piece here where we have to kind of route the deck to get to that -- the landing for the stair. So with pushing that back to, you know, to provide the railing setback -- and this is again, excuse me -- $I$ have run into this before and what
happens is you can't -- the railings can no longer just be fastened directly to the decking, or even, really the decking wood. That there is some pretty stringent requirements for getting positive connections to the deck structure itself.

So with not being able to just attach the railing to the decking, we will need to push the whole structure back itself. What's going to happen then, I think, is that the deck is going to have to get higher because of, you know, we'll be moving part of the roof as it slips forward. And I think it's just going to create some challenges with that.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Blake, I am going to interrupt you just for a second. So did you work with the Office of Planning to try to figure out how their discussions and -because the penthouse setbacks stuff, like, I am just letting you know, like we rarely go against that. And so, you know, you talked to the Office of Planning and couldn't figure out a solution?

MR. BLAKE: No, I didn't -- we did not have any back and forth with this.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Okay, keep going.
MR. BLAKE: That's basically my comment. I think that it is -- you know, it's acceptable for us to do that. I think it's going to cause some challenges in terms of construction, I just wanted to -
(Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, all right -- because I am going to let you know, the penthouse setback thing, like, you might as well just forget it. You know? Like I mean, it rarely -- it has to be extreme. You know? And I -- and I still haven't seen -- so I would just -- and I am sorry you had to wait all the way to the end of the day to hear this, but I would go ahead and go back and work with the Office of Planning and figure out -- and if you get everything you want except for you have to figure out how to -- you know, do the setback?

MR. BLAKE: And we are prepared to accept that -that we -- you know, we accept the comment that you want to see the setback for the railing. And we just have to figure out how to engineer that and -
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: But we have to see it is the whole thing. We can't approve, just, you know -- we'll see what it looks like.

VICE CHAIR HART: And actually I would -- if I am concurring with the Chairman. I think it would be helpful to understand -- I understand that the drawings that you provided are -- you know, they're -- it is a little bit hard to understand how the deck is -- what the deck is attached to exactly. Is it attached to the sides?

MR. BLAKE: Yes.

VICE CHAIR HART: And so what we're seeing is some cross timbers that are under, and then there is another one that is, $I$ guess, to the -- in this image there's another one that's to the -- I don't know how you describe where that is. But it is at the other end of the -- of the deck. And -- and so you're saying that to be able to support the railing on the end -- one of the reasons that it's there is because there's a member that needs to be underneath that. And that -- to have clearance for that member that's underneath it, if you move it back, that means the deck has to get taller. But we really don't know how tall that can -- that means. Maybe a good six inches.

But again, $I$ don't know what that is. And I don't know what that does to the -- you know, where you're attaching it to the sides, how much distance that -- you know, what -- what that creates -- what issues that creates. So as the Chairman said, I think that going back and talking to the Office of Planning and just having a -- a dialogue with them would be helpful so that we don't have to spend time trying to kind of figure this out now. I just think you just need to have a little bit more of a conversation with them to see what -- what works.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes. I guess, Mr. Blake, I am not trying to be short with you. I am just realizing that -- I am just trying to get to where we're going to be. And -- and
so, you know, you need to get back with the Office of Planning. Try to figure out -- I'm just letting you know, again, as I mentioned several times, the penthouse setback stuff, like that's just, is a nonstarter for some people on this Board, I know for sure. And so -

ZC CHAIR HOOD: And I will be -- suffice that to say it's a nonstarter. So let's see what we can do. I think the Chairman's advice is very -- something you want to take heed to.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes?
MR. BLAKE: And just to clarify because we're -it's the -- the rear yard setbacks and then also the -- the side yard setback -- the side one-to-one setback for the -from the side lot lines that -- there wasn't any opposition to that from the Office of Planning as I understand. Is there opposition to those setbacks?

CHAIRMAN HILL: From us, you mean?
MR. BLAKE: Yes.
ZC CHAIR HOOD: Just any penthouse relief -- I just -- any penthouse relief, 1 can tell you, $I$ am going to have a problem with. So you need to -- unless you can prove a case, like he said, $I$ think I've only known maybe BZA to do it twice.

CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, okay. So what you're asking -- now I need further clarity on this from the Office
of Planning -- the side - what is it? So you're looking for relief from the back for the railing, correct?

MR. JESICK: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MR. JESICK: But there is also the one-to-one setback from the side.

CHAIRMAN HILL: On the penthouse?
MR. JESICK: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And what -- can you show me that, please?

MR. JESICK: So --
CHAIRMAN HILL: And the Office of Planning was in support of the relief from the one-to-one setback on the side?

MR. BLAKE: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And why were you in support of that?

MR. JESICK: I believe the rationale that Mr. Gordon gave was that it -- it was not as visible as the one at the rear of the structure. So you're not apparently at odds to the regulations with the requirement of the one-toone setback.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Blake, can you show me that again?

MR. BLAKE: Sure, I can. If I could just quickly
and before I flip this sheet. The rationale that we had was that this is in the middle of a very long block of houses and that the side yard -- you're not going to be able to see these side railings at all.

CHAIRMAN HILL: See if you can put your hand over the relief. Right, okay. Okay. I can't speak for the Board until we see it. So I would go ahead and work with the Office of Planning to get the penthouse relief on the rear if you want to keep the -- if they're on Board with the relief for the sides, then you can come back and make your case. And unless -- Chairman Hood, do you have any thoughts about that?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Let's see if we -- anything on the penthouse, let's see if we can make it -- can make it whatever the requirement is. I'm going to leave it at that. Because you may come up with a compelling case working with the Office of Planning, as the Chairman -- I don't want to preempt anything or discourage anything.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So I would try -- I would -- again, in terms of -- well, let me ask another question. In terms of financing or -- or the project, I mean -- how much of a delay are you able to absorb? Or -- the reason why I am asking is again, Chairman Hood is back here again on the 14th. I've already established this now, right? And so you
would be again working with the Office of Planning. And then you would have an opportunity to come back here when Chairman Hood has already reviewed the record. Does the 14th seem like a reasonable time for you in terms of your project? MR. BLAKE: We'd like to -- it's getting a little close Because we're trying to start construction in the spring. We still have to go through the permit. This is already pushed back because of -- just an inability to coordinate with the ANC for the initial hearing. So we've already been delayed two months. This was supposed to be in November with holidays and all that kind of --

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, all right. So then, how quickly does the Office of Planning think they would have an opportunity to work with the Applicant so that we could get back -- it doesn't have to be the Chairman. And so even then it's probably only buying you a week, but maybe a week is something. I don't know.

MR. JESICK: I would say that's probably about as fast as you could move it. To move it up one week to the 7th.

CHAIRMAN HILL: To move it up one week to the 7th. Okay, great. So I would work with the Office of Planning. I would go ahead about that rear railing. I would go ahead and see what you can do in terms of the argument for even the -- any penthouse relief. You know, because again, that is
where the past people from the Zoning Commission, because they've had to go through this a lot, they've been the ones that have been most -- not concerned. They've gone through a lot of effort in terms of penthouse relief.

So I don't know who the next commissioner will be here on that day, so you will just have to see how that commissioner works. So I am saying, I would go ahead and probably show if you adhered to the one-to-one, what it would look like. What you would have to do to make your argument that at least the sides should remain the way they are. And then you know, can work with the Office of Planning for the rear. Okay?

MR. BLAKE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, so then if we're trying to get you back here by the 7th of February and still then the ANC has to have seven days for -- there will be a supplemental report then again, correct? So the ANC would have to have a week. What seems to work, Ms. Rose?

MS. ROSE: January 24th for the original submission. That's next Wednesday.

CHAIRMAN HILL: For the submission for the Applicant?

MS. ROSE: Yes. And then the response would be due the 31st.

MR. JESICK: The 31st is fine with us.

CHAIRMAN HILL: The 31st we would have the report from the Office of Planning, right?

MS. ROSE: Well, who would be -- would someone be responding to that?

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes. Then the ANC would have to have an opportunity to -- anyone would have to have an opportunity to respond to anything that we get from the Office of Planning.

MS. ROSE: So they would need a week, and that would be on the 7th. So how much time does the Board need to review it?

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, all right. I mean, Mr. Blake, you're only getting one more week. So I will go ahead and like put you down with Mr. Hood because he's back here. And so then he'll know what's going on. So we can go on the same timeline as that previous case that we did, correct? MS. ROSE: Okay, so if you have a hearing on February 14th?

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
MS. ROSE: And then the 31st of January the initial document will be filed. And then the responses would be due on February 7th.

CHAIRMAN HILL: So the 31st -- just so I am clear -- the 31st is when we get the report from the Office of Planning? The supplemental? And then that means that you
have to get something -- $I$ can't put that on the calendar -you have to get something to us.

All right, so can you work with the Office of Planning and get those on the 24th, which is a week from today?

MR. BLAKE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And then that gives you a week to get something by the 31st. And then that gives the ANC or anybody time to submit something by the 7 th , and then we're back here by the 14th.
(Pause.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right, any questions, Mr. Blake?

MR. BLAKE: No, thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, thank you.
(Pause.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Or, I am sorry. Just to be clear again, it's a continued hearing. Okay -- on the 14th.
(Pause.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, Ms. Rose. Whenever you like.

MS. ROSE: Next application 19654 of 523 8th Street, LLC. Pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle $X$, Chapter 10, for area variances from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle G, subsection 704.1, from the rear yard setback
requirements of Subtitle G, subsection 705.3, and from the nonconforming structure requirements of Subtitle C, subsection 202.2, to construct a rear, first-floor addition, and add a new third floor to an existing two-story restaurant in the MU-25 zone at premises 523 8th Street Southeast, Square 903, Lot 841.

Again, a couple of preliminary matters in this case. First, it has been brought to the staff's attention that the parking is located in ANC 6B, not ANC 6A as noted in the application form. However, the correct ANC has filed a report. Yesterday they filed a report in the case. And we need an authorization letter from the owner authorizing the tenant or the tenant's representative to proceed.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Could you introduce yourself, please?

MS. WORSLEY: Sure. My name is Gayll Worsley. I am the architect.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Could you spell your last name, please?

MS. WORSLEY: W-O-R-S-L-E-Y.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Worsley?
MS. WORSLEY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Worsley, let's see -first of all, thank you for being with us for the whole day. I guess you were sworn in at the morning -- in the morning?

MS. WORSLEY: I was in the morning, yes.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: I feel so bad, because I don't know where this is going to go now. And it took me this long to get to this point. I like the restaurant. The restaurant is really nice. I wish you were the restaurant owner. I'd feel a lot better about -- I don't know what's going to happen here. Like -- so you don't have an authorization letter to represent the property owner, correct?

MS. WORSLEY: I do, actually.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh.
MS. WORSLEY: It should have been uploaded yesterday. If not, $I$ have a copy of it if you'd like to see it.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, well. Now -
MS. WORSLEY: The owner -- Ambar actually owns the property. So -

CHAIRMAN HILL: Which exhibit is it? Which exhibit is it?

MS. WORSLEY: It should have been -- I'm not quite

CHAIRMAN HILL: You have the letter with you?
MS. WORSLEY: I can forward it to you. I have it
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. WORSLEY: She emailed it to me yesterday -they're out of town.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. WORSLEY: They -- they are the -- Ambar is 523 8th Street.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, so -- all right. So you are -- I guess that was a little bit of the confusion. The restaurant owns the -

VICE CHAIR HART: Can I ask you a question? Ms. Rose, is the issue that we don't know that Ambar is the owner of 523 LLC? Is that the -- 523 8th Street, LLC? Is that the issue?

MS. NAGELHOUT: That's part of it. The application came from the LLC. There's nothing on the record identifying who the LLC is.

VICE CHAIR HART: Yes. And so I understand what you're saying, Ms. Worsley. It's the issue of -- if it was Ambar that was, you know, kind of -- the Applicant, then it would be one thing. But because it's the LLC that -- they have created, it gives us a little bit of -- kind of one off. It's like, well who is representing them -- and so I think that's some of the confusion that we're in.

MS. WORSLEY: And they're out -- they're out of town, so they did send over a letter yesterday and -- I
believe it was uploaded. But -
CHAIRMAN HILL: Well we have a letter that's dated from September 21st. That's why I didn't understand when you said that yesterday.

MS. WORSLEY: Oh, she sent a supplemental letter saying that they -- they are both the owner of Ambar and they are known as

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And you're saying you have that there in front of you?

MS. WORSLEY: I could forward it to you -- an email of it.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. How do we get a copy of that?

MS. NAGELHOUT: It could be submitted into the record later and you can proceed with the hearing. It just -- it would have to come into the record before an order could be issued.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So please submit that into the record.

MS. WORSLEY: Okay, will do.
CHAIRMAN HILL: And anyway you're testifying that you represent the owner of the building?

MS. WORSLEY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. And then we have -- the correct ANC is ANC 6A, which we do have -- oh,
sorry, 6B. And we do have a letter from 6B? Okay, all right. So -- okay, Ms. Worsley, I am going to go ahead and let you present. Okay?

MS. WORSLEY: Thank you so much.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, you're telling me too.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Let's see, so now I am going to give you ten minutes on the clock.

MS. WORSLEY: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HILL: If you can go ahead --
MS. WORSLEY: I'll make it brief.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Walk through what it is you're doing. Originally there -- we didn't have -- there was the confusion about the ANC. We didn't have an ANC report. Now we have an ANC report. There was the confusion about, again, authorization. I guess we all feel comfortable now with the authorization. You're going to submit that into the record afterwards. And you can go ahead and start and tell us what you're trying to do and how you're meeting the criteria to allow us to approve this or deny it.

MS. WORSLEY: Okay, very good. This project is located in the 500 block of $8 t h$ Street Southeast. It's a distinct, two-story restaurant. They proposal is to do a third story for additional seating and at some point maybe private dining, private events. Currently the rear portion
is in not-great shape. You'll see even -- so that's a picture of the rear. Right now the trash cans are placed in the alley. And as you can see the structure is not in the greatest of strength. The large -- there's some kitchen equipment that you see, a fan, an air conditioning unit and
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. WORSLEY: Which acts as a kitchen, which is
located in the rear of the -- of the building on the first floor. With the proposed addition -- essentially we're -the best way to think about it is that we would be enclosing the stair that you see that's coming down from the second floor. And in doing so we are basically going to enclose that little area that you see, which would take us up to the property line.

One of the strong requests of the ANC as a community is that we provide a trash room. They have a lot of problems with rodents and even though the trash cans are stored relatively neatly, they would much prefer to have an indoor trash room. And so as a part of the negotiations with the community we are including an indoor trash room as a part of the project.

The owner is not trying to reinvent the wheel with the kitchen. We did make some adjustments to the kitchen and in doing so we did take a look at their rooftop equipment,
relocating the existing rooftop unit, which is located toward the front and all kitchen equipment. And one of the things that we discovered as we went through the code requirements for setbacks and separations is that the current configuration doesn't quite meet what's required for distances between intakes and exhausts.

So as we enclose this, we did try to explore how to figure the stair. And we did meet it with a -- a hardship and try to get stair area and get the kitchen equipment out. The distance is the separation at this rear portion of -- and get the trash room in at the same. So we just had practical difficulty in fitting a lot of stuff in a little bit of space. But we did make it work. And we're just requesting relief on the ground of that we are -- we need to be egressed. We need to keep our code required distances for the mechanical equipment. And we already basically are enclosing what's there. We're not impacting the community in a negative way.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Does anybody have any questions for the Applicant?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, $I$ am going to turn to the Office of Planning, please?

MR. MORDFIN: Good afternoon, I am Steve Mordfin with the Office of Planning. And the Office of Planning
supports this application. The Applicant did apply for relief from rear yard, not conforming structures, and lot occupancy. Lot occupancy is permitted at 100-percent for commercial uses. And it's only limited for residential uses. As this building will be 100-percent commercial with no residential at all, OP finds that there is no need to grant relief for lot occupancy.

The other two -- the rear yard, the Applicant is going to build back to the rear lot line and go straight up for the three floors. So there's two different rear yard requirements. Office of Planning recommends approval of both of the, which will allow the Applicant to construct the trash room at the alley, which is where it needs to be for the collection of refuse, and then it will then go up and allow for the installation of the second stairwell at their back, which is needed for health requirements and also to allow the kitchen to be vented up to through the roof.

And the last one, nonconforming structure, because the Planning Office also recommends approval for the extension of a nonconforming structure there. So the Office of Planning recommends approval of this application and is available for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So Ms. Worsley, this was self-certified?

MS. WORSLEY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So the Applicant doesn't need lot occupancy is what the Office of Planning is saying?

MR. MORDFIN: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So you understand that part?

MS. WORSLEY: Yes, I do.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So you would be all right with changing the application that you don't need lot occupancy?

MS. WORSLEY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And if they don't need lot occupancy, then do they still need nonconforming structure? (Pause.)

MR. MORDFIN: It doesn't appear they need nonconforming structure, $I$ don't think, because -- oh MS. WORSLEY: The stair.

MR. MORDFIN: The stair. Well, it will be nonconforming in there when they've completed it. I don't know if they meet the rear yard requirement as it's required currently.

MS. WORSLEY: I believe because of the stair and the landing that we're still nonconforming.
(Pause.)
MR. MORDFIN: It doesn't look like they need nonconforming because the building appears to conform to the
requirements.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, so they would just need -variance relief for a rear yard setback requirements for Subtitle G, section 705.3. That's all they would need?

MR. MORDFIN: They would need both rear yard requirements because they don't provide either the 15 -feet from the center line of the alley for the --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Right, I know.
MR. MORDFIN: Even at building height, or 15 feet from the rear lot line or any building height above 25 feet.
(Pause.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Vice Chair, you follow? Okay.
VICE CHAIR HART: Yes, we were -- we were just trying to look at the rear yard is where we got into two aspects.

MR. MORDFIN: Yes.
VICE CHAIR HART: One is from the center line of the alley. One is from the actual lot itself, the lot line.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Correct.
VICE CHAIR HART: But there. So I understand that.

CHAIRMAN HILL: So how do we make the motion?
VICE CHAIR HART: So it would be that the Applicant would be -- that we would be approving the
variances for rear yard setback requirements of Subtitle G, 705.3. Correct? I'm making sure that that's the only one that in fact that you've -- the one we've listed, and also, two, that is listed in OP report.

MR. MORDFIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, so -
MS. ROSE: In the record we will need a revised self-certification.

MS. WORSLEY: I'll provide that as well if -- when I put the

MS. ROSE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, Ms. Worsley, it's been a long day for me. And I, thank goodness, have other people up here. Do you understand what you're going to be revising the self-cert to say?

MS. WORSLEY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right, and I'm following your lead now, Vice Chair, I mean. Okay.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All right, so do you have any questions for the Office of Planning, Ms. Worsley?

MS. WORSLEY: No.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Does the Board have any questions for the Office of Planning?
(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Is there anybody here from the ANC?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Is there anybody here wishing to speak in support?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Is there anyone here wishing to speak in opposition?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Is there anything else you'd like to say, Ms. Worsley?

MS. WORSLEY: No, thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Is there anything the Board has for Ms. Worsley?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I am going to go ahead and close the hearing. Is the Board ready to deliberate? Okay, Mr. Hart, could you help me?

VICE CHAIR HART: Mr. Chairman, sure. Thank you, Mr. Mordfin, for your information and stepping through this -- it doesn't seem like it's that difficult, but it seems like there are just some pieces that we were trying to understand. If - and for the Office of Planning report on that project $I$ actually agree with the Office of Planning report for the project. And I think that -- that the Board
should vote to approve the application of the, I guess, revised relief that we've noted, which is for the variances for the rear yard setback requirements of Subtitle G, 705.3. And to remove the other relief that's -- that was requested. (Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes, I was going -- I'm saying, how is that different from what I first said? (Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: I'm going to have to go back and -- what? All right. Okay. All right, so does the Board have any thoughts?

MEMBER WHITE: Yes, I'm comfortable with the two versions of the rear yard relief under Subtitle G, 705.3, I think. It was spelled out in terms of the two versions of rear yard relief that are needed for this particular application. My only other thought is the ANC letter -talking a little bit about specifically asking for the interior trash room with access door directly from the interior of the building as well as a roll-up door accessed from the exterior. My only question is whether or not that was something that the ANC wanted us to include as a condition, or whether or not the Applicant is just going to incorporate that into the relief, which is fine.

VICE CHAIR HART: I mean, I was just looking at the drawings that were Exhibit 30. I guess it's sheet HR-13,
which is a floor plan. They actually have the trash room. That's included in there. It's got a rolling door. It's actually identified as one five by seven rolling door. There's an access -- or, access to the trash room from the interior of the restaurant. So it looks like there's actually --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MEMBER WHITE: That's fine. That's fine. I just couldn't -- it was a little small. I couldn't make that out.

VICE CHAIR HART: See, I just blew it up on my laptop.

MEMBER WHITE: Thank you. I'm comfortable with it.

VICE CHAIR HART: So, I think with that -- I'd make a motion to approve application number 19654 of 523 8th Street, LLC for the variances for the rear yard setback requirements of Subtitle G, 705.3. To construct a rear first-floor addition and add a new third floor to an existing two-story restaurant in the MU-25 zone at premises 523 8th Street Southeast, Square 903, Lot 841.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Second. Motion was made and seconded. All of those in favor, aye.
(Chorus of aye.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: All of those opposed.
(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN HILL: The motion passes, Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Staff would record the vote is four to zero to one, with the motion by Mr. Hart seconded by Mr. Hill. With Ms. White and Mr. Hood in support of the motion, with one voice in favor therefore the application is amended.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Summary order?
MS. WORSLEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay thank you. Thank you very much.

PARTICIPANT: Thank you.
PARTICIPANT: Have a good night.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Moy, is there -- I mean -- Mr.
Moy
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Rose, is there anything left for the Board today?

MS. ROSE: I'll check.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. ROSE: No.

CHAIRMAN HILL: No? All right, great. Then we stand adjourned. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 5:45 p.m.)
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