| 1 | GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |
|----|--|
| 2 | ZONING COMMISSION |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Public Hearing |
| 5 | |
| 6 | 19422 |
| 7 | 19435 |
| 8 | 19315A |
| 9 | 19428 |
| 10 | 19386 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Wednesday, March 1, 2017 |
| 13 | 11:06 p.m 11:40 pm |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room |
| 16 | Judiciary One Building |
| 17 | 441 4th Street, N.W. |
| 18 | Suite 220 South |
| 19 | Washington, D.C. 20001 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Pages: 1 - 32 |
| 22 | Reported By: Shaylah Lynn Burrill |

```
Board Members:
2
        FREDRICK HILL, Chairman
3
        CARLTON HART, Vice Chair
4
        ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner
5
        LESYLLEE WHITE, Commissioner
6
7
  Office of Zoning:
        CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
10
   Office of Planning:
11
        MARIE BROWN-ROBERTS
12
13
  District Department of Transportation
14
        EVELYN ISREAL
15
        JOEL LAWSON
16
17
18
19
20
21
```

APPEARANCES

2

- 3 CHAIRMAN: All right, Mr. Moy, if you could just
- 4 call it real quick.
- 5 MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 That would be application number 19422 of IMA Pizza
- 7 Store 17 LLC. Caption advertised for special
- 8 exception relief under the penthouse requirements of
- 9 Subtitle C. Section 1504.1. Which would allow the
- 10 installation and a full screening of rooftop
- 11 mechanical equipment. This is in the MU-4 zone.
- 12 Located at 1335 Wisconsin Avenue, Northwest, square
- 13 1232 lot 69.
- 14 The applicant was here. There he is. Sir, could
- 15 you sit it right here in the corner. My camera is
- 16 right here. Right in there would be perfect.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Alright, sir. If you could just go
- 18 ahead and quickly introduce yourself.
- MR. DZIERZANOWSKI: Sure, my name is Mateusz
- 20 Dzierzanowski. Principal of DZ Architecture. I/m
- 21 here on behalf of the client &Pizza, to discuss the
- zoning relief for the Mechanical screenings.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Okay, alright, great. So, Mr.
- 2 Dzierzanowski, you drew the short end of the stick in
- 3 terms of getting today as your day. But, I don't have
- 4 a lot of questions for you. I don't know if the board
- 5 has any questions in this particular case. I mean,
- 6 the record is very clear in my opinion. Does the
- 7 Board have any questions? Otherwise, I am going to
- 8 turn to the Office of Planning. Alright, Office of
- 9 Planning.
- MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman
- 11 and members of the board. For the record, I am Maxine
- 12 Brown-Roberts. The Office of Planning is supportive
- of the relief that is requested. However, there is a
- 14 letter from the board that says the screening wall
- 15 should be five feet tall. The applicant has requested
- 16 I think in the applicant's submissions, the walls
- 17 were taller than that five feet.
- So, that would be the only concern I have,
- 19 because when they go to get the building permit, there
- 20 may be some conflict, but -
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Ms. Brown-Roberts, are you suggesting
- 22 something?

- 1 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We are in support.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Are you suggesting something?
- MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I am not suggesting I did
- 4 talk to the applicant and tell them they need to get
- 5 that resolved.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: All right, okay.
- MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: But, we are in support.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Okay, and you understand what's being
- 9 discussed?
- MR. DZIERZANOWSKI: Yeah, correct.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: All right.
- MR. DZIERZANOWSKI: If you did I could elaborate,
- 13 but it's been clarified.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: All right, does the board have any
- 15 questions for the Office of Planning? Alright, I'm
- 16 going to turn out to the audience.
- 17 Is anyone here from the ANC to speak out on this
- 18 case? Is anyone here wishing to speak in support of
- 19 this case? Is anyone here wishing to speak in
- 20 opposition of this case? Okay, I'm going to turn back
- 21 to the applicant. Is there anything else you want to
- 22 add?

- 1 MR. DZIERZANOWSKI: Nope.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Alright, I am going to go ahead and
- 3 close this hearing.
- Is the board ready to deliberate? I really -
- 5 again, I thought it was very clear cut, and I am glad
- 6 that you have spoken to the Office of Plaining and
- 7 understand their comment towards you. So, I would
- 8 definitely go ahead and advise you to follow through
- 9 on those. So, I am going to go ahead and approve the
- 10 motion to approve application number 19422, of IMA
- 11 pizza. The motion has been seconded. All those in
- 12 favor? Aye.
- 13 BOARD: Aye.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? Ms. White seconded
- 15 Mr. Moy.
- MR. MOY: All right. Thank, you, Mr. Chair.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: The motion passes Mr. Moy.
- MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as four to
- 19 zero to one. This is on the motion of Chairman Hill
- 20 to approve the application for the relief requested.
- 21 Seconded the motion, Ms. White, also in support, Mr.

- 1 Miller, and Vice Chairperson Hart. We are a board
- 2 seat vacant. Motion carries.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Moy. Summary order?
- 4 MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: And what is your name again sir?
- 6 MR. DZIERZANOWSKI: Mateusz, Mateusz
- 7 Dzierzanowski.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Dzierzanowski, so, Mr. Dzierzanowski,
- 9 if you see me at your pizza place, you remember me.
- 10 Okay?
- MR. DZIERZANOWSKI: Not a problem.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Okay, We're going to go ahead and call
- 13 the next case
- 14 CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Moy, if you like to
- 15 call our first meeting case.
- MR. MOY: Yes, sir. That would be application
- 17 number 19435, of Craig Gerson and Nancy Copeland. I
- 18 will read very quickly the caption. This was a
- 19 request for special exception relief under subtitle D
- 20 section 5201. From the Lot occupancy requirements of
- 21 subtitle D section 304.1. Rear yard requirement,
- 22 subtitle D, section 306.1. Side yard, Subtitle D,

- 1 307.1. And non-conforming structural requirements
- 2 subtitle C, section 202.2. Which would rebuild and
- 3 screen in a deck to the rear of an existing one-family
- 4 dwelling, R3 zone.
- 5 2651 Woodley Road, Northwest, Square 2108, Lot 4.
- 6 Participating -- Oh, this is actually about a review.
- 7 That's right. So, let me just leave it at that Mr.
- 8 Chairman.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you, Mr. Moy. So, is the
- 10 board ready to deliberate? Okay, so, again, after
- 11 reviewing the record and OP the analysis of the office
- of planning, who recommends approval? ANC 3C has no
- objection via a voice vote. DDOT also had no
- 14 objection. And again the Office of Planning report
- 15 that spoke to the proposed deck replacing an existing
- 16 deck that will not be raised higher than what is
- 17 currently existing. So, after reviewing the record
- 18 again, and unless someone has any other thoughts I
- 19 would go ahead and make a motion to approve
- 20 application number 19435 as read by the Secretary.
- MR. MILLER: Second.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Motion has been made, and seconded.
- 2 All those in favor?
- 3 BOARD: Aye.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Aye. All those opposed? The motion
- 5 passes, Mr. Moy.
- 6 MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 4 to 0 to
- 7 1. This is on your motion, Mr. Chairman, to approve
- 8 the application for the relief request. Seconded the
- 9 motion was Mr. Robert Miller. Also, in support is Ms.
- 10 White, Vice-Chair Hart. Board seat vacant. The motion
- 11 carries.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Moy. Summary order?
- MR. MOY: Yes, that can be done.
- MR. MOY. Next, is a request for, as advertised
- 15 for a minor mod/modification of consequence of
- 16 application number 19315A, of associated chat Catholic
- 17 Charities this was a request for minor mod of BZA
- 18 order number 19315. Now requesting a variance relief
- 19 from the size of parking space requirements under
- 20 section 2115.1 to construct three flats an R4
- 21 district. Premises where 611 through 617 Rhode Island
- 22 Avenue Northwest Square 442, lots 4 and 49 through 50.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you. So the applicant is
- 2 requesting minor modification. After reviewing the
- 3 record and also the analysis from the office and
- 4 planning I don't think it's a minor modification. I
- 5 think that they are now asking for parking relief what
- 6 was not discussed as part of the original review of
- 7 the applicant, and I think that it is something that a
- 8 new application is needed. Does the board have some
- 9 comments? Does the board have some comments?
- MS. WHITE: I agree with your comments.
- MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman?
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Hold on one second. All right, go
- 13 ahead.
- MR. MILLER: I know that the OP recommended that
- 15 it be considered a modification of significance. Which
- 16 would require a public hearing. Or, that new
- 17 application be submitted. I think a modification of a
- 18 significance that would require a public hearing,
- 19 might be a little more sufficient. And would still
- 20 preserve the rights of interested parties in the
- 21 neighborhood. So, I thought it was an either, or
- 22 recommendation.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: I agree with Commissioner Miller. It is
- 2 late tonight. So, that sounds like a good idea to me.
- 3 I am at a loss as to what to do next.
- 4 MR. MILLER: Well, Mr. Moy can advise us, but if
- 5 we, by consensus we consider a modification of
- 6 significance, the next step would be it would have to
- 7 be scheduled for a public hearing.
- 8 MR. MOY: That's correct.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Exactly, Mr. Miller. Is the applicant
- 10 here by any chance? Would they like to come forward?
- 11 Would you please state your name for the record
- 12 please?
- MS. MAZO: Very quickly, Samantha Mazo, on behalf
- of the applicant and just, again, very quickly we had
- initially on January 30th filed an application for
- 16 request for modification of consequence. To be clear,
- 17 the situation here. It's not relief from parking. Its
- 18 relief form the parking space size requirements. The
- 19 plans that were shown throughout the process of the
- 20 underlying application, 19315 always showed sub-
- 21 standard parking space sizes and that relief was just
- 22 never requested.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- MS. MAZO: It was just missed, and so we request a
- 3 modification of consequence we received a page reports
- 4 and then we subsequently did file a cover letter
- 5 requesting I mean in filing a modification of
- 6 significance. Also, I like to state for the board
- 7 that we went to the ANC on February 7th and actually
- 8 just today they have filed their reports in support of
- 9 the modification request so that should be in the
- 10 record, or if not I have it in my email.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Okay, if you can make sure it's in the
- 12 record. When could I put a public hearing here? Mr.
- 13 Moy? In a way that is sufficient for us?
- MR. MOY: Sufficient for the board? We can
- 15 schedule this for -- I am beginning to fill up April
- 16 5th now. So, I could always add another one to it.
- 17 But I think for comfort level I'm looking at April
- 18 12th.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right, you were going to say
- 20 something Ms. Mazo?
- MS. MAZO: Is it possible to get it possibly on
- 22 March 29th or April the 5th?

- 1 CHAIRMAN: How many do we have on the 5th? I know
- 2 that 29th is really pretty --
- MR. MILLER: Are there certain notice requirements
- 4 for the public hearing?
- 5 MR. MOY: For variance?
- 6 MR. MILLER: No, for the public hearing.
- 7 MR. MOY: For the public hearing?
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Public hearing.
- 9 MR. MOY: This has been noticed previously, so.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: So, you're comfortable with the 5th?
- 11 I'm trying to now just get it on the schedule. So,
- 12 the 5^{th} you think -- how busy are we on the 5^{th} ?
- MR. MOY: We now have about 5 or 6. But we have
- 14 two sets of appeals, and we just moved today.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Okay, and the other option was the 26th?
- MR. MOY: Would be the following week, which would
- 17 be the 12^{th} .
- 18 CHAIRMAN: The 12th. Ms. Mazo, the 12th doesn't
- 19 work for you guys and why?
- MS. MAZO: We would like to just advance the ball
- 21 it is my understanding that there is a building permit
- just sitting on zonings desk. And it's kind of all

- 1 the way through except for this issue, so we would
- 2 like to get some clarity. We thought we were going to
- 3 get some clarity on the modification of consequence
- 4 and since you've now moved it to a modification of
- 5 significance you know then by the
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Okay, okay, okay. So, let's do the 5th.
- 7 Okay, so well do April 5th.
- 8 MS. MAZO: We appreciate it, and if there is a 40
- 9 day notice. We would just ask that that be waived and
- 10 the applicant going forward would file a pre-hearing
- 11 statement 21 days in advance and post the property as
- 12 necessary 14 days in advance.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: I'm comfortable with that the board
- 14 have any comments? Is the board comfortable? Okay, I
- 15 hereby nods that they're comfortable. Okay, all
- 16 right, so then we're back here on April 5th.
- MS. MAZO: Thank you very much.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Now, before we call our last case, our
- 20 second to last case. There's only two more left? I
- 21 think, right? Mr. Moy.
- MR. MOY: Yes, sir

- 1 CHAIRMAN: I think the 2nd Street -- let's go
- 2 ahead and do the Second Street first
- MR. MOY: Okay, all right. That would be
- 4 application number 19428 of 1937 2nd Street Northeast,
- 5 LLC. Caption as advertised for special exception
- 6 relief under the rooftop element requirements.
- 7 Subtitle E section 206.2, this would add an additional
- 8 unit to an existing 4-unit apartment house. RF1 zone
- 9 1937 2nd Street Northeast, square 3565, lot 55. This
- was last heard at the board's hearing on February $22^{\rm nd}$.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Was that last week?
- MR. MOY: February 22nd.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- MR. MOY: A couple of weeks. Participating is of
- 15 course yourself, Ms. White, Vice-Chair Hart, and
- 16 Michael Turnbull, whom I have an absentee vote.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Okay, yeah, no, that's great. It was
- 18 last week.
- 19 MR. MOY: Was it?
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's why. I was just trying --
- 21 I was just trying to remember because I remember this

- 1 case. So, is the board ready to deliberate? Okay. I
- 2 remember the case, and we had a full hearing.
- The Office of Planning was in support, DDOT was in
- 4 support The ANC5U was in support. However, we did not
- 5 have a letter submitted by the ANC. Since then, we
- 6 wanted to wait until the letter had been entered into
- 7 the record. It was entered into the record. So, at
- 8 this time I feel comfortable resting on the analysis
- 9 of the Office of the Planning and putting forth motion
- 10 to approve application 19428 as read by the secretary.
- 11 Can I get a second?
- MS. WHITE: Second.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Motion has been made and seconded. All
- 14 those in favor?
- 15 BOARD: Aye.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Aye. All those opposed? And then Mr.
- 17 Moy, I think you said there was an absentee from
- 18 commissioner Turnbull?
- MR. MOY: I did and the winner is. Actually, his
- 20 absentee ballot vote is to approve the application
- 21 with any conditions that the board may impose. So
- 22 that would give a final vote to 4 to 0 to 1. This is

- 1 on your motion, Mr. Chairman, to approve the
- 2 application for the relief requested. Seconded the
- 3 motion was Ms. White. Also, in support Vice-Chair
- 4 Hart, and Mr. Turnbull. Board seat vacant, the motion
- 5 carries.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Great Mr. Moy, thank you. Are we going
- 7 to do a summary order?
- 8 MR. MOY: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: All right, Mr. Moy, the last one of the
- 10 day.
- MR. MOY: Okay, that was a summary order? Wasn't
- 12 it?
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Yes, it was a summary order.
- MR. MOY: Just for clarification, Okay, so, this
- would be case number 19386 of IREI 22nd Street LLC.
- 16 As amended for variance relief for the lot width and
- 17 lot area requirements. Subtitled D, section 302.1.
- 18 And a variance in the side yard requirements subtitled
- 19 D, section 307.1. To construct a new one family
- 20 dwelling, R1B zone. 3702 22nd Street Northeast,
- 21 Square 4226, Lot 42.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Okay, is the board ready to deliberate?
- 2 All right, so, I will start. As I recall at the end -
- 3 this was actually quite contentious. At the end of
- 4 opposition there was a lot of concern with the
- 5 neighbors. At the last hearing, we had asked for the
- 6 applicant at least two areas that I remember asking
- 7 for the applicant. One further clarification
- 8 concerning the construction management agreement.
- 9 Also, a more clear understanding of how the neighbors
- 10 were going to be -- there's going to be
- indemnification for the neighbors and terms of any
- 12 kind of damage that might take place to their
- 13 property. I think, Commissioner Miller, I think you
- 14 had talked about the possible narrowing of the
- 15 property. But I didn't really see that in the record.
- 16 I did, and I can see that the applicant is actually
- 17 here. So, we could ask some questions of the
- 18 applicant and actually could you come up. If you could
- 19 introduce yourselves for the record.
- MR. DEVERGER: Paul DeVerger, 1316 Wester Street,
- 21 Northeast. Washington DC.
- MR. CHENG: Sam Chang, 1920 14th street Northwest.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Okay, you guys are welcome. Either one
- 2 is welcome to answer you know, my question is that
- 3 there are two exhibits. One is number 61 that speaks
- 4 to the construction management agreement. And I guess
- 5 you actually speak to the revised plans. Are you
- 6 familiar with the Exhibit 61?
- 7 MR. DEVERGER: Yeah, yeah, I actually do see.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Then the other is exhibit 63. Which
- speaks to the insurance issue. So, again, for me and
- 10 you can answer whatever you have to answer in terms of
- 11 Commissioner Miller. But for the indemnification, and
- 12 then the construction management agreement that you
- 13 continued to discuss. I believe the immediate next
- 14 door neighbors -- can you tell me what happened?
- MR. DEVERGER: Yes, so we just added the
- 16 indemnification clause to the construction management
- 17 agreement. That was presented back into the record.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Okay, you are pro offering that?
- 19 Whether or not they withdraw their opposition of not?
- 20 Correct? That's there? That's in the record. The
- 21 indemnification is in the record, the construction

- 1 management plans are in the record. And you are now
- 2 testifying, did you both get sworn in?
- MR. DEVERGER: I came late today.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Could you both swear in for me? I'm
- 5 sorry Mr. Moy.
- 6 MR. MOY: No, worries. Do you solemnly swear or
- 7 affirm that the testimony that you about the present
- 8 in this proceeding is the truth, the whole truth and
- 9 nothing but the truth?
- 10 MR. DEVERGER: Yes.
- 11 MR. CHANG: Yes.
- MR. MOY: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Miller said it's still
- 14 Wednesday, but if I can drag it on for another 25
- 15 minutes I can get to another day. So, just the reason
- 16 I wanted to get you under oath is because I did want
- 17 to hear you tell me the truth. Which is that you plan
- 18 on honoring the construction management agreement.
- 19 The indemnification for the two immediate neighbors
- 20 and their property and concerns?
- MR. DEVERGER: Yes, certainly. I mean all along
- 22 we have communicated with the neighbors that if

- 1 anything were to go wrong we would take care of it.
- 2 But obviously, that was not enough for the neighbors.
- 3 So, I think memorializing this in the agreement with
- 4 indemnification goes a long way to establish that.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Okay, do think you might do future
- 6 development projects in the city?
- 7 MR. DEVERGER: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Okay, the reason why I say that is
- 9 because you'll be back again, and if we hear that you
- 10 actually didn't do it. Again, whether or not somebody
- 11 lives up to their word is a difficult thing for us to
- understand. So, I am comfortable with what has been
- 13 set forward with my concerns Mr. Miller, do you have
- 14 any comment?
- MR. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll be
- 16 brief. I appreciate that the revised plans were
- 17 submitted that shows the one foot addition to the side
- 18 yard. A half foot on each side of 17 foot wide house.
- 19 I appreciate the photos and the photographs and other
- 20 documents provided that showed that, that is in line
- 21 with what's in line much with what's in the
- 22 surrounding neighborhood.

- I did ask for a statement as to why it couldn't be
- 2 viable at a narrower width. Than even the 17? I
- 3 think it had mention that at 16 or 15 why it wasn't
- 4 viable. I realize it's not as marketable and not as
- 5 attractive for someone to live in. But, I did ask for
- 6 a statement as to why it wouldn't be viable and
- 7 instead we got the photographs and other
- 8 documentation. In keeping with what's in the
- 9 surrounding neighborhood, so, I'm somewhat conflicted
- 10 about going forward. From my own standpoint. With
- 11 that ANC opposition, and the adjacent neighbors
- 12 opposition. Even though we do have the Office of
- 13 Planning strong support. So that is why I am somewhat
- 14 conflicted. If you make a motion I might vote no.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: We can deliberate. I mean we haven't
- 16 gotten to the deliberations yet so?
- MR. DEVERGER: I was under the impression that RR
- 18 conclude that information in the exhibit where we
- 19 described that the context of the neighborhood on the
- 20 majority of the Lots were 18 and 17 foot wide. I
- 21 thought he included like two bullets in there. I may
- 22 be mistaken, I know that he and I had conversations

- 1 about it. I know that one of the main reasons was the
- 2 existing context of the neighborhood then going to a
- 3 width below 17 would force us then to push the house
- 4 back, deeper in the lot. Which would again be out of
- 5 context and actually made encroach us on asking for
- 6 relief from rear yard setback.
- 7 MR. MILLER: Okay, well, I missed that part of it.
- 8 So, we don't have much time here to find it.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: We have plenty of time. I'm still
- 10 trying to get to Wednesday.
- MR. MOY: Thursday.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Thursday? And so you can submit that
- 13 into the record.
- MR. DEVERGER: I know we definitely talked about
- 15 it, I know that he said he would include it in the
- 16 exhibit, but I don't have it in front of me right now.
- 17 But I thought I saw on the page that has the dots that
- 18 represent the widths of the existing homes. I believe
- 19 it was in that slide. Or that exhibit.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Take your time commissioner Miller,
- 21 It's all right.

- MR. MILLER: Well, I don't see it on the exhibit.
- 2 But, if you want to take a look at the exhibit. I'll
- 3 be happy to give it to you and if you can point it out
- 4 to me quickly.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: You have to speak into the microphone.
- 6 Then you're going to have to give it back to
- 7 Commissioner Miller.
- 8 MR. DEVERGER: Okay, well, I don't see it here it
- 9 looks like it may have been cut off. I believe it was
- 10 at the top of this page. But that is something that
- 11 we can provide into the record.
- MR. MILLER: Yeah, that would be useful to have,
- 13 because that is what I wanted to have.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Okay, I understand. That's all right.
- 15 We normally don't go out of order. Let's see what
- 16 happens at deliberation. But I would like those
- 17 submitted into the record if you can do that tonight,
- 18 first thing in the morning. Well, I guess we'll have
- 19 to see during the deliberations and if that is --
- MR. MILLER: Could you state again, for the record
- 21 quickly Mr. DeVerger, what you say it verbally. We

- 1 don't have it on the exhibit. You say they would have
- 2 to encroach on the rear yard?
- MR. DEVERGER: Absolutely, so the conversation
- 4 that we had were first that going below 17 would be
- 5 out of context with the neighborhood
- 6 MR. MILLER: I understand that part.
- 7 MR. DEVERGER: Secondly, it was that once we get
- 8 below 17, that forces us to reconfigure the restrooms
- 9 and things like that. Because now we are constricted
- 10 by the hallways, stairwell. Then that pushes the
- 11 building deeper and possibly forcing us to then
- 12 request relief from the rear yard setback.
- MR. MILLER: And the only adjacent neighbor that
- 14 you had supporting you is the guy in the rear.
- MR. DEVERGER: In the rear.
- MR. MILLER: He might be upset about it.
- MS. WHITE: He had a narrow house? Right?
- MR. MILLER: Yeah. But he has the alley back
- 19 there, right?
- MR. DEVERGER: Yeah, he is across the alley from
- 21 behind us. But right against the alley.
- MR. MILLER: How far back?

- 1 MR. DEVERGER: From the rear yard.
- MR. MILLER: So, whats the rear variety now? MR.
- 3 DEVERGER: Right now we have about 25 feet, I believe.
- 4 MR. MILLER: And how much would you have to go
- 5 back?
- 6 MR. DEVERGER: He didn't lay it out, but that was
- 7 just the initial conversation where we discussed
- 8 preparing the feedback to your question.
- 9 MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. DEVERGER: Yep.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Okay, does the board have anything
- 12 else? Just before I move on since were going to keep
- 13 going I guess. Again, I know that the one neighbor
- 14 that was concerned about the windows. You all moved
- 15 the windows. And then also, there was an issue with
- 16 someone who was in the house with terms like their
- 17 particular health needs. Again, if this does get
- 18 approved, I just really want. I know that it was very
- 19 controversial, I know that there was a lot of
- 20 testimony provided that seemed as though there could
- 21 be a reason as to why you guys wouldn't want to be as
- 22 accommodating as I hope that you will be. I am just

- 1 being very straight forward, and I hope that you do
- 2 your best to work with those two neighbors. In
- 3 particularly, because one again was very concerned
- 4 about damage. The other was very concerned about the
- 5 privacy and the needs of somewhere there, particularly
- 6 during the construction and as such. Okay.
- 7 MR. DEVERGER: Yeah, I think we're going to do
- 8 what we can to work with the neighbors, and defiantly
- 9 accommodate them the best that we can. I mean, all a
- 10 long I don't think there has been any animosity
- 11 between us and the neighbors. I mean we've been
- 12 cordial between each other, and we have respected each
- 13 other's right to their opinions for protecting their
- 14 properties.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Okay, all right. I am going to go
- 16 ahead, are we ready to deliberate?
- 17 Okay, I again, and we've spoken about this now. There
- 18 was a lot of controversy with this particular
- 19 application. We took a lot of testimony, and what I am
- 20 again kind of coming back to again is what I think are
- 21 -- what we are charged to do is if a lot is in need of
- 22 the relief, in order to make use of the lot. That's

- 1 what we're supposed to be here for. And I know that
- 2 the argument was that it is a really narrow lot, and
- 3 therefore it shouldn't be built on. I mean it is a
- 4 really narrow lot and therefore it needs a relief to
- 5 be built on.
- And so, I feel confident that the people that are
- 7 going to do the construction are going to honor what
- 8 they said, and make sure if there is any damage that
- 9 the two immediate neighbors will be made whole through
- 10 this indemnification process and the construction
- 11 management agreement that has now been put in place on
- 12 the record. As well as I had stated before earlier.
- 13 Kind of the needs of one immediate neighbor in terms
- of the health of one of their family members. Then
- 15 the need for privacy, so, I am in favor of this
- 16 application and would make a motion. But however, does
- 17 the board have any other further comments or
- 18 deliberations?
- MR. HART: Only that I have also listened to the
- 20 testimony that we had last week, reading through the
- various reports from the Office of Planning, as well
- 22 as the ANC report and understanding and also

- 1 appreciating the information that you provided. Now I
- 2 was actually able to get to it. It took me a little
- 3 bit. But understanding that the design that you are
- 4 proposing is actually pretty much in keeping with a
- 5 lot of the designs that are already going on in the
- 6 houses in the neighborhood. And I understand that
- 7 there are a lot of difficulties trying to deal with
- 8 that site.
- 9 I think that you have shown that there is a fairly
- 10 reasonable design that is that tries to set back
- 11 from the side yards, or provide some sort of side
- 12 yard. Again, it's not ideal, but it is a fairly
- 13 narrow lot that you are having to try to deal with.
- 14 And I think with that I think I could support the
- 15 application as well.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Speaking of Commissioner Millers
- 17 comments again about the home. I guess I think by it
- 18 going form, bringing it down to a 17 feet had given a
- 19 little bit more side yard relief, and I thought that
- 20 was a good suggestion on your part from the previous
- 21 testimony. I guess kind of my thoughts are another
- 22 foot. I don't know if another six inches, it makes it

- 1 a narrower home I suppose is where I kind of was in
- 2 terms of that discussion. But I do appreciate your
- 3 comment that has gotten us to a place where it is at
- 4 least a little bit bigger of a side yard. Does anyone
- 5 else have any other comments?
- 6 MR. MILLER: I don't disagree with anything that
- 7 you have said, or board member Hart. I want to see
- 8 this thought developed. But I might not be supportive
- 9 of the motion.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Okay, then we'll see how it goes. I am
- 11 going to go ahead and well actually then well, I am
- 12 going to make a motion to approve application number
- 13 19386 of IREI and I ask for a second.
- MR. HART: Seconded.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Motion has been made and seconded. All
- 16 those in favor?
- 17 MR. HART: Aye.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Aye.
- MS. WHITE: Well, I will vote in favor of it
- 20 because it seems as though they are involved in
- 21 constant communication with the neighbors, and they
- 22 want to try to resolve the issue and indemnify them.

- 1 They revised the construction management agreement.
- 2 If they also provide them with copies of the
- 3 indemnification agreement I would definitely be in
- 4 favor of the motion.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: So, all those in favor Aye.
- 6 BOARD: Aye.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
- 8 MR. MILLER: No.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Moy, the motion passes.
- MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as three to
- one to one. This is on the motion of Chairman Hill to
- 12 approve the applicant. Second the motion Vice-Chair
- 13 Hart. Also support Ms. White. Opposed is Mr. Miller.
- 14 We have a board seat vacant. The motion still carries.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: And I think it's a full order?
- MR. MOY: It's a full order.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. Well, gentleman,
- 18 thank you foe sitting around.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Moy, do we have any other business
- 20 before the board?
- 21 MR. MOY: No.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: We stand adjourned.

```
This concludes the hearings at 11:40 p.m.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
```